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## Albuquerque Area Master Plan Overview

## Goal / Principles

$\checkmark$ Goal

- Develop strategic plan for management, acquisition and disposal of state facility assets
$\checkmark$ Principles
- Locate state agencies to achieve functional, operational, and logistical efficiency
- Promote convenient public access to government services
- Provide equitable and adequate space
- Realize economic efficiencies
- Protect long-term asset value
- Establish framework for individual campus development / redevelopment


## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ General

- Anticipate continuing demand for consolidated and distributed state office facilities to meet service demand in the area
- Redevelop "Super Block" Complex for consolidated state office functions - relocate YDCC
- Develop Southern Los Lunas Campus (Correctional Site) site for specialized secure and therapeutic uses
- Redevelop Los Lunas Hospital site to support local state field office demand
- Establish policies and investment strategies to provide a flexible development framework
- Address land use, infrastructure and architectural character
- Work with LCS, PCD and appropriate local government agencies


## State Owned Properties in Metropolitan Albuquerque



## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ Super Block Site Conceptual Development Plan

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Steep, challenging terrain |
|  | Desired buffer zone |
|  | State Building Development Zones |
|  | A - High Density, uses serving metro area |
|  | B - Low Density, specialty use |
|  | C - Medium Density, field office uses, or specialty use |
| -----$1-\ldots$1 | D - Current secure uses (Long-range redevelopment) |
|  | Access to uses |
|  | Proposed access through site |



## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ Super Block Site

- Area "A"
- Develop site for high density office uses serving the entire metro area
- Consider purchase of DOT lands and not entering into new long-term lease commitments
- Work with DOT to develop Emergency Management Response Center elsewhere
- Area "B"
- Reserve northern area for compatible low density specialty uses
- Area "C"
- Support CYFD master planning effort for transition to Missouri Model
- Explore potential reuses for YDDC property
- Reserve for field or specialty uses
- Potential for short-term leases
- Make only code and health / safety investments
- Area "D"
- Improve access to existing uses
- Redevelop in mid to long-range


## $\checkmark$ State land ownership



- Sites are not incorporated into the village
- Nearly 2,000 acres

State Owned NMCD Land ( $\sim 1200$


## Los Lunas Area

## $\checkmark$ Recommended uses by area



## Los Lunas Sites

## $\checkmark$ Grasslands site

- Hold for future state uses (do not sell)
- Consider short- to mid-term leases
- Explore ways to achieve beneficial use of water rights (including possible transfer to other Los Lunas sites)
- On a larger scale, upcoming statewide study should identify water rights at state-owned properties and explore policy implications
- Establish overall development policies and framework in coordination
 with PCD / LCS staff and local governments


## Los Lunas Sites

## $\checkmark$ Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections) <br> - Focus new development within Special Use Development zone

- Coordinate with CFYD to relocate YDDC to this site
- Maintain agricultural buffers for potential long-term development needs
- Maximize revenue from state leases
- Coordinate with local government for infrastructure
 development
- Retain water rights


## Los Lunas Sites

## $\checkmark$ Los Lunas Hospital Site

- Redevelop site to accommodate existing and future state agency space needs
- Maximize revenue from state leases
- Demolish obsolete facilities
- Make only code and health / safety investments in current facilities prior to demolition
- Partner with local governments to create open space and recreation opportunities
- Create linkages to adjacent parks and recreation sites
- Preserve selected buildings



## Albuquerque Area Plan

## Planning Principles

## Goal

$\checkmark$ Develop strategic plan for management, acquisition and disposal of state facility assets considering

- Agency mission requirements
- Costs of ownership and operation
- Adequacy and condition of current state facilities, and
- Other relevant factors


## General Development Principles

$\checkmark$ Locate state agencies to achieve functional, operational, and logistical efficiency
$\checkmark$ Promote convenient public access to government services
$\checkmark$ Provide equitable and adequate space

- Meet functional needs
- Centralized administrative uses
- Distributed or centralized field offices
- Specialized functions with special location needs
- Provide efficient/effective space organization (e.g., maximize required adjacencies)
- Provide quality environment (e.g., attract and retain personnel)
- Plan for future growth and change with flexibility to meet changing needs


## General Development Principles

$\checkmark$ Realize economic efficiencies

- Reduce recurring state expenditures for long-term leases
- Promote economies of scale and asset sharing (e.g., parking, lobbies, receiving, meeting areas, teleconferencing, etc.)
- Promote sustainable environments (high performance energy efficient green building practices)
- Reduce operational expenses


## General Development Principles

$\checkmark$ Protect long-term asset value

- Provide sufficient resources for maintenance and periodic facility renewal
- Dispose of property only when expected benefits exceed long-term value


## General Development Principles

$\checkmark$ Establish framework for campus development / redevelopment:

- Land use and density
- Infrastructure development
- Circulation / parking
- Utilities
- Drainage
- Landscaping
- Architectural character


## Site Planning Principles

$\checkmark$ Land Use and Siting

- Establish uses appropriate for each site based on surrounding context, function, site availability, and requirements for public access
- Establish a framework for future circulation, parking, building sites, and landscape planning
- Provide guidelines for density, building coverage and building height


## Property Development Principles

## $\checkmark$ Land Use and Siting

- Adopt incremental development strategies that:
- Considers the long-term development vision
- Makes most efficient use of site area (density, land coverage and parking)
- Promotes integrated and structured parking
- Avoids dependence on long-term land acquisitions for implementation
- Allows (as appropriate) temporary 'holding-zones" (portable, metal structures) pending more permanent development


## Site Planning Principles

## $\checkmark$ Vehicle and Pedestrian Movement

- Separate vehicular and pedestrian movement systems
- Establish clear entry and exit points to each campus
- Identify areas for drop-off/delivery and service as appropriate to the site and function
- Provide for safe pedestrian routes between buildings
- Promote a pedestrian-oriented interior with pathways composed of a series of sidewalks, malls and plazas and connections to the open space system.
- Allow for safe (well-lit, and visible) destination travel (building to building) by walking or bicycles


## Site Planning Principles

$\checkmark$ Visual and Architectural Character

- Establish a consistent visual and architectural character unique for each site that capitalizes upon the development history, function and vision for the future
- Establish common landscaping features linking campus zones and identifying areas of open space


## Los Lunas Area

# State-Owned Property in Los Lunas 

## $\checkmark$ State land ownership

- Sites are not within village boundaries
- Nearly 2,000 acres



## Los Lunas Area

## $\checkmark$ Demand drivers

- Population of Valencia County will continue to grow
- Transportation infrastructure will continue to improve
- Public transportation (Rail Runner)
- Road improvements
- Existing state lands will be impacted by population growth
- Population growth will require larger state presence to serve that population


## Los Lunas Area

$\checkmark$ Owned* - 141,600 gsf (rounded)

- Field office - 28,270 gsf
- Corrections Residential - 49,630 gsf
- Special Use - 2,000 gsf
- Public Safety - 16,975 gsf
- Recreation - 18,100 gsf
- Administrative use - 6,400 gsf
- Support-20,250
$\checkmark$ Leased - 110,000 equivalent GSF ( 87,959 LSF, ~\$1.3 m in annual rent)
- Office: 86,314
- Storage: 1,645
$\checkmark$ Total space occupied by state agencies
- $=251,600$ gsf**

State Owned / Leased Space in Los Lunas Area (Gross Square Feet)

$\square$ Owned $\square$ Leased
*Occupied Owned Space. Does not include Corrections Campus, residential leases or vacant buildings
**Assumes LSF $=80 \%$ of GSF

## Los Lunas Area

## $\checkmark$ Other potential or planned uses

- State Game and Fish
- Refugium for the Silvery Minnow on the NE corner of the Los Lunas Hospital site is under construction
- Will use some of the site's water rights
- Human Services Department
- Los Lunas Substance Abuse Treatment and Training Center (proposed siting at Southern Los Lunas [Corrections] Lands)



## Los Lunas Area

## $\checkmark$ Recommended uses by area



## Grasslands Site


$\checkmark$ Site Characteristics

- Approximately 288 acres
- Vacant land
- Surrounding use
- Light industrial (south)
- Isleta Pueblo (north)
- Undeveloped - future use not determined (west)
- Utilities nearby (Village of Los Lunas)
- High visibility from I-25
- Have water rights (permit recently extended)
$\checkmark$ No known demand for state uses in the short or mid-term


## Grasslands Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis

- Legal
- Site is outside of Village boundary but within 3 mile extra territorial jurisdiction (re: platting)
- Drainage
- Storm water will need to be $100 \%$ retained due to lack of public storm water facilities
- Site is not within a designated flood hazard area*
- Transportation
- No paved access, dirt roads only
- 3 means of legal access to site at east, center, and west sides originating from south of the site
- Domestic water
- Village water not available but close by (southwest of site) and could be extended
- Ground water rights attached to site could be a point of negotiation


## Grasslands Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Sanitary sewer
- Village sewer not available but close by (southwest of site) and could be extended
- Majority of site can gravity flow to this point
- Electrical
- High voltage overhead power lines run along north side of site and along west edge, terminating at PNM substation within Los Morros Industrial Park
- Minor overhead lines (service drop for former sod farm) run within the site on wooden poles
- Other characteristics
- Former sod farm area contains no current vegetation
- Western portion of site contains concrete foundations, gravel surfacing, earthen berms, and remains of surface dumping
- Phase I environmental assessment is advised due to previous dumping and commercial uses of property
- Investigation of ground water quality from well is advised


## Grasslands Site

## $\checkmark$ Recommendations

- Hold for future state uses (do not sell)
- Consider short- to mid-term leases
- Explore ways to achieve beneficial use of water rights
- On a larger scale, upcoming statewide study should identify water rights at state-owned properties and explore policy implications
- Establish overall development policies and framework in coordination with local governments
- Provide funding to conduct environmental assessment and investigation of ground water quality


## Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections)



## $\checkmark$ Site characteristics

- Existing uses include Corrections facilities, agricultural, leased to others
- Not in Village boundary
- Access/visibility
- Most lands have low visibility and local road access
- Potential new interchange and river bridge corridor in the area
- Site has water rights
- Adjacent lands will likely develop as residential


## Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections)

## $\checkmark$ Land Ownership



## Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections)

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis

- Legal
- Northern portion of site (North of Morris Rd.) is within Village boundary
- Southern portion of site is outside of Village boundary but within 3 mile extra territorial jurisdiction
- Drainage
- Site slopes uniformly from west to east and gradually from north to south
- $100 \%$ retention of storm water runoff on site
- Flood zone analysis
- Southern portion of site is not within a designated flood hazard area*
- Northern portion of site within Village limits lie within a FEMA designated Zone AE flood hazard zone**
- Contiguous properties with different flood zone assessments advise a reevaluation - Flood zone designation for northern portion of site can be extrapolated to the southern portion as well


## Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections)

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Transportation
- Paved access from Morris Rd (northern portion of site), NMSR 314 on the east, and Miller Rd (County road) on the south.
- Additional access from Morris Rd is acceptable to the Village
- Additional access from NMSR 314 will require a Driveway Permit, and possible modifications to the highway right-of-way for access improvements, and a Traffic Impact Study
- A proposed I-25 interchange at Morris Rd will increase the volume of traffic on that road
- Water
- Public water service from Village is not available at this site south of Morris Rd
- Agricultural and Institutional uses are served by water rights attached to the site


## Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections)

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Sanitary sewer
- Public sewer service is not available currently
- Prison functions are served by onsite private waste water treatment plant
- Lift station and sewer interceptor are under construction along Morris Rd
- Designed to serve upstream loads including northern portion of site
- Depth of interceptor has potential to serve significant contributing drainage area
- Potential to extend lines through southern portion of site
- Other characteristics
- Due to the size of the site and existence of waste water treatment facilities, Phase I Environmental Assessment is advised
- Investigation of ground water quality from well is advised


## Southern Los Lunas Campus (Corrections)

## $\checkmark$ Southern Los Lunas

 Campus (Corrections)- Focus new development within Special Use Development zone
- Coordinate with CFYD to relocate YDDC to this site
- Maintain agricultural buffers for potential long-term development needs
- Maximize revenue from state leases
- Coordinate with local government for infrastructure
 development
- Retain water rights


## Los Lunas Hospital Site



## $\checkmark$ Characteristics

- Physical
- Large - 185 acres
- Partially undeveloped
- Buildings are in poor condition (a lot of investment required)
- Access
- Good access and visibility
- Congested traffic conditions on Main Street
- Existing utilities (Village of Los Lunas)
- Existing Use
- Western portion
- Vacant, developable land
- Central Portion
- Former hospital complex
- Multiple state users
- Eastern portion
- Leased to others
- Surrounding Use
- Educational, residential institutional and commercial


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Land Use Zoning

C-1 Commercial
R-R Rural residential
SU Special use
R-1 Single family residential
R-2 Multi-family residential


Note: Hospital Site is not within Village of Los Lunas incorporated boundaries

## Los Lunas Hospital Site



## Los Lunas Hospital Site

$\checkmark$ Eastern portion


- Leased to other public entities
- Village
- Schools
- County
- Leases are generally longterm and provide no revenue to the State


## Los Lunas Hospital Site


$\checkmark$ Western portion

- Vacant, developable land
- Adjacent to housing developments
$\checkmark$ Southern Portion
- Largely vacant, has excellent visibility and access from Main Street
- Good development potential


## Los Lunas Hospital Site



## $\checkmark$ Central Portion

- Former hospital complex
- Multiple state users
- Health (DOH is lead agency)
- General Services (providing maintenance)
- Public Safety (Police, Corrections)
- Environment
- Labor
- Taxation and Revenue (MVD)
- Some non-state users
- Several vacant buildings
- Many buildings set for demolition
- Some in poor condition
- Due to original purpose, some buildings do not readily accommodate new uses such as offices


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Hospital Site Facility Tenants



## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Hospital Site Facility Uses



## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis

- Legal
- Site is outside of Village boundary but within 3 mile extra territorial jurisdiction (re: platting)


## - Drainage

- Current storm water management method is on-site retention, no drainage outfall from site
- Future storm management will require 100\% retention
- Undeveloped portion of site receives off-site flows from adjacent property on the west
- Site is topographically lower than adjacent NMSR 6 on the south, and the commercial and residential properties on the west
- Storm water harvesting is recommended to mitigate runoff conditions
- Site is not within a designated flood hazard area*


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Transportation
- Center portion currently has single paved access to NMSR 6
- Future access to western portion will be hampered by grade difference
- DOT will require driveway permit and traffic impact study for new access to NMSR 6
- Traffic study should be done with site master plan
- Water
- Public water service currently exists for center developed area of site
- Adequate capacity to support comparable redevelopment density
- Additional capacity will require an extension of existing lines and negotiation with Village
- Additional connections to the main (lying within the NMSR 6 right-of-way) will require a Utility Permit from NMDOT)


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Sanitary sewer
- Public sewer service currently exists for center developed area of site
- Adequate capacity to support comparable redevelopment density
- Additional capacity will require hydraulic capacity analysis all along current lines and manholes
- Currently system gravity feeds to low end of property
- Additional connections to the main are not anticipated due to grade difference
- Main lies within the NMSR 6 right-of-way - will require a Utility Permit from NMDOT


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Other characteristics
- Traffic congestion along NMSR 6 suggests any reuse for existing buildings as non-peak traffic generating uses (recreational, community use)
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is advised due to the age and condition of existing structures and potential for historic dumping activities on undeveloped portion of site


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

$\checkmark$ Redevelop site to accommodate existing and future state agency space needs
$\checkmark$ Maximize revenue from state leases
$\checkmark$ Demolish obsolete facilities
$\checkmark$ Make only code and health / safety investments in current facilities prior to demolition
$\checkmark$ Partner with local governments for open space and recreation opportunities

- Create linkages to adjacent parks and recreation sites
- Preserve selected buildings



## Los Lunas Hospital Site

## $\checkmark$ Recommendation:

Schedule buildings for demolition

- Prioritize by condition and potential future use

LEGEND
$\square$ Good
$\square$ Fair
$\square$ Poor
$\square$ Demolish
$\square$ Unknown


## Los Lunas Hospital Site

$\checkmark$ Recommendation:
Schedule buildings for demolition

- Prioritize by condition and potential future use

LEGEND
Priority 1
$\square$ Priority 2
Priority 3
Preserve for reuse

| Priority for Demolition | Name of building | Occupied GSF | Vacant GSF | FCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 117 Mecham | 13,450 |  | 121.00\% |
| 1 | 118 Midwest NM Community | 1,944 |  | 121.00\% |
| 1 | 126 Porter Hall Probation/Paro | 11,711 |  | 121.00\% |
| 1 | 119 Music and Classroom |  | 4,560 | 105.00\% |
| 1 | 123 Office \& Library CRM | 4,560 |  | 105.00\% |
| 2 | 094 Central Heating Plant | 2,090 |  | 85.00\% |
| 2 | 096 Classroom | 528 |  | 85.00\% |
| 2 | 120 MVITRD Cottage \#4 | 5,575 |  | 85.00\% |
| 2 | 122 New Dillion Hall | 6,274 |  | 85.00\% |
| 2 | 125 Otero Cottage | 6,518 |  | 85.00\% |
| 2 | 097 Cottage \#1 | 5,575 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 098 Cottage \#2 | 5,575 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 099 Cottage \#3 | 5,575 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 099 Cottage \#4 |  | 5,575 | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 100 Cottage \#5 | 5,575 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 101 Cottage \#6 | 5,575 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 102 Cottage \#7 | 5,575 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 103 Cottage \#8 |  | 5,575 | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 112 Huning Cottage | 6,518 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 131 Seligman | 6,518 |  | 84.00\% |
| 2 | 132 Simms Cottage | 6,518 |  | 84.00\% |
| 3 | 108 Fernandez (Gym \& Audit) | 9,359 |  | 75.00\% |
| 3 | 114 Laundry | 5,600 |  | 75.00\% |
| 3 | 115 Maintenance | 6,497 |  | 75.00\% |
| 3 | 113 La Vida De Felicidad | 1,820 |  | 70.00\% |
| 3 | 089 Bashein |  | 19,417 | 65.00\% |
| 3 | 090 Bolack |  | 2,744 | 65.00\% |
| 3 | 093 Campbell |  | 23,718 | 65.00\% |
| 3 | 130 R.D.N. |  | 34,403 | 62.00\% |
| Preserve | 104 Dietary | 11,548 |  | 50.00\% |
| Preserve | 107 Educational Services |  | 24,383 | 50.00\% |
| Preserve | 121 Natatorium | 8,719 |  | 45.00\% |
| Preserve | 095 Chapel | 1,591 |  | 9.00\% |
| Preserve | 091 Burroughs | 12,916 |  | 1.00\% |

## Albuquerque Area

## State Owned Properties in Metropolitan Albuquerque



## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ Existing Facilities

- ~4.2 million square feet
- Office Uses
- Nearly all of leased space
- ~30\% of owned space

Space Use in


|  | Owned |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross <br> Square Feet | USF <br> Equivalent** | $\%$ Total <br> GSF |
| PCD Albuquerque Area* | $\mathbf{1 , 3 4 0 , 7 8 8}$ | 871,512 | $41.9 \%$ |
| 02 Bernalillo County | 547,607 | 355,945 | $17.1 \%$ |
| 14 Valencia County | 779,476 | 506,659 | $24.3 \%$ |
| 29 Sandoval County | 13,705 | 8,908 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Agencies (Bernalillo) | $\mathbf{1 , 8 6 0 , 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 9 , 5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1 \%}$ |
| DOT | 72,250 | 46,963 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Expo NM | $1,362,099$ | 885,364 | $42.5 \%$ |
| DMA | 44,112 | 28,673 | $1.4 \%$ |
| DCA | 382,368 | 248,539 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 , 2 0 1 , 6 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 8 1 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| 409,045 |  |  |  |
| Office Space |  |  |  |


| Leased |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USF | LSF | GSF <br> Equivalent | Annual Cost | \% Total USF |
| 677,195 | 859,761 | 1,041,839 | \$12,569,427 | 100.00\% |
| 637,949 | 805,000 | 981,461 | \$11,749,387 | 93.63\% |
| 22,936 | 32,864 | 35,286 | \$477,110 | 3.82\% |
| 16,310 | 21,897 | 25,092 | \$342,930 | 2.55\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 677,195 | 859,761 | 1,041,839 | \$12,569,427 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 623,714 | 789,450 | 959,561 |  | 92.1\% |

[^0]
## Albuquerque Area

## Own

- Typically acquired for specialized uses, in concert with federal resources or "inherited"
$\checkmark$ Lease
- Mostly office type of uses
- Many leases are supplemented or paid for by federal dollars
- Mostly Field Type of Offices Serving the Metro Area
- Functions that serve public from a central location
- Distributed - field offices located to be close to populations served
- Functions with special location requirements
$\checkmark$ Provided by others
- Typically DOH public health type of facilities provided by the County


## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ Leased Space

- 860,000 LSF - \$12.6 m annual cost
- Mostly office type of use (98\%)
- The majority of leased space is for functions

Leased Square Feet by Type in the Albuquerque Area

Serve from a Central Location
Distributed (field offices)
Special Location Requirements
Space Provided by Others that can be served from a central location ... about $1 / 2$ of this space is currently at one site


## Albuquerque Area

$\checkmark$ The Bank of the West site (Central and San Mateo) accounts for $\sim 20 \%$ of the total Albuquerque area leased square feet and cost

- $\$ 2.5$ million annual lease cost
- 187,000 Isf, ~ 11 acres
$\checkmark 50 \%$ of the functions that can serve the public from a central location are at


## Albuquerque Area

$\checkmark$ Region is expected to continue to grow ... population and geographically implication is continued growth of state facilities

Albuquerque MSA Population (millions of people)


## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ The need for state office space will double in 40 years assuming a $1.5 \%$ annual growth rate

Additional Office Space Needed in Albuquerque Area GSF Equivalent


Note: The state currently leases about $960,000 \mathrm{gsf}$ (equivalent) of office space in the Albuquerque area (does not include office needs associated with specialized uses)

## Albuquerque Area

$\checkmark$ Explore developing state campuses via

- Purchase of existing
- Lease purchase
- Direct state capital development



## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ Super Block Complex



## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ Site use zones

- Multiple Users
- CYFD, DOH, DOT, NMCD


## Use Zone Legend


$\square$
Youth reintegration
(CYFD)

Youth detention (CYFD)

Adult detention (NMCD)

Youth psychiatric treatment (DOH)
$\square$ Administrative offices (CYFD \& DOT)


## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ Building condition as per 3D/l study

- Buildings vary in age and condition


## Condition Legend

$\square$ Good
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$ Demolish*
*Cannot demolish until building is replaced


## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ Transportation

$\square \square \square \square \square \square$
$\square \square \square \square \square \square$
$\square \square \square \square \square \square$


## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ Surrounding Neighborhood - Land Use



## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ Surrounding Neighborhood - Zoning



## Super Block Complex

| Condition Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | DOT Site |
|  | Most desirable, easy to develop, good access/visibility |
|  | Developable, but less accessible/ visible |
|  | Long-Term Tenants |
| $\square \square \square$ | Desired Buffer Zone |
|  | Suggested Access |
|  | Potential Access |

## $\checkmark$ Developable portions of complex



Note: For comparison, the size of Bank of the West property is 10.85 acres lease area

## Superblock Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis

- Legal
- Site is outside of City of Albuquerque limits, within jurisdiction of Bernalillo County - zoned M1
- Drainage*
- Drop across site is $\sim 97^{\prime}$ over a distance of $\sim 2,700$ ' - $3.5 \%$ slope
- Grade is not a uniform slope, some areas are very steep
- State property west of Edith Blvd. is a designated flood hazard zone
- Will contain 18 acre-foot regional detention / filtration pond (under
*From Albuquerque
Master Drainage Study, plate G-15 and Edith Boulevard Reconstruction, Phase II construction)
- 4 existing retention ponds within Superblock site that drain to detention pond at western site
- Ponds shall remain in place, limiting development at those precise spots
- Further development of site may require enlargement of one or more of these ponds
- Any additional runoff generated must be managed on site


## Superblock Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Transportation
- Paved access from Edith Blvd. on the west and I-25 West Frontage Road on the east (Frontage road is one-way traffic)
- Single driveway from Edith, 3 driveways from Frontage Road
- Additional access will require driveway permits
- Traffic Impact Study will be required at Frontage Road for new development in Area "A"
- Creation of an on-site circulation pattern will enhance access to each user


## Superblock Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued) <br> - Domestic water

- Within Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority (ABCWUA) service area
- Top of 1E water pressure zone
- 14 " top of zone water line at Frontage Road
- 12" water line at Edith Blvd.
- 10" dead end line enters site from east and supplies public fire hydrants on site
- Extension of 10 " line to connect to 12 " line would improve service flows and create loop for redundancy
- Loop would require public easement along path of piping, granted to ABCWUA


## Superblock Site

## $\checkmark$ Civil engineering preliminary analysis (continued)

- Sanitary sewer
- Within Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority (ABCWUA) service area
- 48" sanitary sewer interceptor line, flows from north to south through site, serves easterly $2 / 3$ of site
- 8" concrete pipe main at Edith Blvd., serves westerly $1 / 3$ of site
- Upgrade of these lines will require review by ABCWUA
- Other characteristics
- Platted as 3 separate parcels belong to New Mexico Girls School


## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ YDDC can relocate to Los Lunas Area

- The CYFD is moving toward providing services to its clients based on the "Missouri Model" of treatment which emphasizes group therapy in a small, residential atmosphere in regionally distributed facilities
- Existing facilities, including the Youth Diagnostic and Development Center (YDDC) in Albuquerque are designed to accommodate a more institutional treatment model, and cannot readily be modified to meet the programmatic requirements of the new treatment model


## Super Block Complex

$\checkmark$ Some special use tenants have long-term commitments

- Tenants interviewed (Sequoyah, Camino Nuevo) reported significant facility investments and geographic advantages for current location
$\checkmark$ Administrative tenants are "occupying space"
- Located at site only because space was available - do not need to stay at this location
$\checkmark$ Utilities are available throughout site
- Capacity is limited
- Existing systems are in need of retrofitting
$\checkmark$ Proposal for use of undeveloped land
- DOT is interested in portion of land adjacent to their property


## Super Block Site Complex

## $\checkmark$ Constraints

- Topography is difficult on large portion of site
- Infrastructure - utilities are limited in capacity and condition is fair
- Existing facilities vary in condition but will need ongoing renewal


## Super Block Complex

## $\checkmark$ Conceptual Development Plan

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Steep, challenging terrain |
|  | Desired buffer zone |
|  | State Building Development Zones |
|  | A - High Density, uses serving metro area |
|  | B - Low Density, specialty use |
|  | C-Medium Density, field office uses, or specialty use |
|  | D - Secure uses (Long-range redevelopment) |
|  | Access to uses |
|  | Proposed access through site |



## Albuquerque Area

## $\checkmark$ Super Block Site

- Area "A"
- Develop site for high density office uses serving the entire metro area
- Consider purchase of DOT lands and not entering into new long-term lease commitments
- Work with DOT to develop Emergency Management Response Center elsewhere
- Area "B"
- Reserve northern area for compatible low density specialty uses
- Area "C"
- Support CYFD master planning effort for transition to Missouri Model
- Explore potential reuses for YDDC property
- Reserve for field or specialty uses
- Potential for short-term leases
- Make only code and health / safety investments
- Area "D"
- Improve access to existing uses
- Redevelop in mid to long-range
- West Edith Site
- Discuss joint use with Bernalillo County


## Centralized Location

## $\checkmark$ Super Block Site - Area "A"

## Candidates for Superblock Site Administrative Complex

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site \# | Future GSF <br> (based on <br> current <br> LSF) | Future GSF <br> (based on <br> current <br> staff) |  |  |  |  |  |
| L20 | Department of Human Services | Current LSF | Current <br> staff | Future LSF |  |  |  |
| S1 | Division of Vocational Rehabilitation | 4,280 | 21 | 4,708 | 5,885 | 8,400 | 24 |
| S2 | Division of Vocational Rehabilitation | 4,085 | 17 | 4,494 | 5,617 | 6,800 | 20 |
| C1 | Gaming Control Board | 9,847 | 24 | 10,832 | 13,540 | 9,600 | 28 |
| P8 | Taxation and Revenue Department | 31,374 | 59 | 34,511 | 43,139 | 23,600 | 68 |
| C2 | State Engineer / Interstate Stream Commission | 12,631 | 125 | 13,894 | 17,368 | 50,000 | 144 |
| C3 | Environment Department | 20,017 | 80 | 22,019 | 27,523 | 32,000 | 92 |
| C4 | Environment Department | 1,242 | 4 | 1,366 | 1,708 | 1,600 | 5 |
| C5 | Regulation and Licensing Department | 15,981 | 48 | 17,579 | 21,974 | 19,200 | 55 |
| C6 | Regulation and Licensing Department | 1,000 | 7 | 1,100 | 1,375 | 2,800 | 8 |
| G1 | Office of the Governor | 5,000 | 3 | 5,500 | 6,875 | 1,200 | 3 |
| G2 | Chief Information Officer | 1,990 | 11 | 2,189 | 2,736 | 4,400 | 13 |
| C7 | Livestock Board | 5,803 | $*$ | 6,383 | 7,979 | $*$ | $*$ |
| C8 | Nursing Board | 4,972 | 15 | 5,469 | 6,837 | 6,000 | 17 |
| G4 | Retiree Health Care Authority | 1,953 | $*$ | 2,148 | 2,685 | $*$ | $*$ |
| G6 | Public Employees Labor Relations Board | 1,232 | $*$ | 1,355 | 1,694 | $*$ | $*$ |
| G7 | Public Employees Retirement Association | 1,739 | 3 | 1,913 | 2,391 | 1,200 | 3 |
| G10 | Educational Retirement Board | 1,953 | $*$ | 2,148 | 2,685 | $*$ | $*$ |
| C12 | Commission on Organic Commodity | 1,439 | 4 | 1,583 | 1,979 | 1,600 | 5 |
| C13 | Commission on Racing | 3,555 | 11 | 3,911 | 4,888 | 4,400 | 13 |
| C14 | Game and Fish Department | 8,385 | 20 | 9,224 | 11,529 | 8,000 | 23 |
|  |  | 202,442 | 642 | 222,686 | 278,358 | 256,800 | 738 |

[^1]
## Super Block Campus

## $\checkmark$ Users

- CYFD
- Temporary facility - partnership with EMNRD
- Bernalillo County - 2007 HJR 14:
- Right-of-way for widening of Edith Boulevard
- County to construct detention pond to store runoff - leasing property with option to purchase within 5 years
- Recommend that State discuss joint use with Bernalillo County

- Modify detention pond design to incorporate a permeable surface for parking
- Preserve capability for potential future Rail Runner station


Rail Runner station in
Bernalillo = 2.3 acres

## State Government Center

$\checkmark$ Given to the state - former Metro Court

- 65,000 gsf, ~ 1.0 acres , 31 parking spaces
$\checkmark$ Pros
- Prime location - Near courts and local government offices
- Excellent access (cars, buses, rail)
$\checkmark$ Cons
- Lacks parking (but structured parking could be added or leased nearby)
- Requires major upgrades to make ready for tenants
$\checkmark$ There was no interest in a State issued RFP for lease or sale
$\checkmark$ Currently working with Bernalillo County for a possible sale / trade or
 transfer


## Albuquerque Office Demand Analysis

Analysis based on 2005 data. 2008 data will vary but does not substantially alter recommended concepts.

## Consolidation Analysis

$\checkmark$ State agencies in Albuquerque were evaluated and grouped according to type and location needs

- Client base served
- Common clients
- Accessibility to client base
- Transportation routes
- Centrally located
- Near client population centers
- Ability to share consolidated facility
- Compatibility


## Consolidation Analysis

$\checkmark$ State agencies groupings by client base

- General Public
- e.g. Taxation and Revenue
- Commercial
- e.g. Regulation and Licensing
- Government
- e.g. Public Employees Retirement Association
- Justice
- e.g. Public Defender Department
- Special needs
- e.g. Commission on Deaf and Hard of Hearing
- Low income
- e.g. Department of Human Services


## Consolidation Analysis

## $\checkmark$ Current agency locations



## Consolidation Analysis

$\checkmark$ State agencies groupings determine suitability for consolidation

1. Distributed to serve neighborhoods
2. Current location is most suitable

- Occupy owned facility
- Department of Public Safety
- Specialized needs
- State Records and Archives
- Adjacent to specialized function
- YDDC
- Adjacency to existing non-agency entities
- Military Affairs - KAFB

3. Centralized for maximum accessibility
4. Location not critical issue for client base

## Distributed Agencies

$\checkmark$ Agencies that are distributed to serve neighborhoods

- Department of Health field offices
- Department of Human Services field offices
- Taxation and Revenue - Motor Vehicle Division
- Division of Vocational Rehabilitation field offices
$\checkmark$ Consolidation of agencies at multiple neighborhood locations
- Serves clients in neighborhood settings
- Shortens travel time
- Convenience of single point of contact


## Distributed Agencies

$\checkmark$ Current total space occupied by candidate agencies $=\sim 160,000$ SF (interpolated)

- Most Department of Health field offices are provided by Bernalillo County - agency does not pay rent
- ~27,000 SF is owned by State (interpolated)
$\checkmark$ Current total staff occupying candidate agencies' space $=\sim 650$ persons (interpolated)


## Distributed Agencies



## Stay in Current Location

## $\checkmark$ Current location is more suitable than consolidated facility <br> - Occupy owned facility

- Department of Public Safety
- Commission for the Blind - Industries for the Blind
- Specialized needs
- State Records and Archives
- Scientific Labs
- DOH - Sequoya Lodge
- Not compatible with potential consolidated tenants
- YDDC
- Corrections residential facilities
- Adjacency to existing non- state agency entities
- Military Affairs - KAFB
- CYFD - Juvenile Justice
- DVR Careers Mentoring - TVI Work Force Center
- Court of Appeals - UNM Law School


## Stay in Current Location

Current location is more suitable than consolidated facility

- Current total leased space occupied by agencies = ~25,000 SF
- State Records and Archives
- Workers' Compensation Administration
- DVR - Careers Mentoring
- CYFD - Girls Reintegration Center
- Current rent paid $=\mathbf{\sim 2 4 8 , 0 0 0}$ annually


## Stay in Current Location

## $\checkmark$ Stay at current locations



## Centralized Location

$\checkmark$ Centralized for maximum accessibility by multiple transportation modes

- Bus lines
- Interstate adjacency
- Railrunner
$\checkmark$ Agency administrative functions can be consolidated
- Department of Health administration offices
- Department of Human Services administration offices
- Taxation and Revenue administration offices
- Division of Vocational Rehabilitation administration offices
- CYFD administrative offices
- Commissions and Boards


## Consolidate Location

$\checkmark$ Candidates for Consolidated Campus

- Current total space occupied by candidate agencies = $\mathbf{3 8 0 , 0 0 0 ~ S F}$
- ~20,000 SF is owned by State, balance is leased
- Most agencies experience high frequency of visits by client base
- Current total staff occupying candidate agencies' space $=\sim 1,250$ persons
- Includes agencies that prefer downtown location due to adjacency to courts and municipal facilities
- ~112,000 SF
- ~420 staff


## Centralized Location

## $\checkmark$ Consider for Centralized Campus



## Centralized Location

## $\checkmark$ Downtown location preferred



## Centralized Location

## $\checkmark$ Centralized office building site

Candidates for Centrally Located Administrative Complex

| Site \# | Agency | Current LSF | Current staff | Future LSF | Future GSF | Future staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | Department of Health | 33,902 | 40 | 37,292 | 46,615 | 46 |
| L19 | Department of Human Services | 17,893 | 77 | 19,682 | 24,603 | 89 |
| L21 | CYFD | 18,896 | 51 | 20,786 | 25,982 | 59 |
| L22 | CYFD | 40,137 | 113 | 44,151 | 55,188 | 130 |
| L26 | CYFD | 64,136 | 255 | 70,550 | 88,187 | 293 |
| J1 | Corrections Department | 26,500 | 73 | 29,150 | 36,438 | 84 |
| J2 | Corrections Department | 12,677 | 42 | 13,945 | 17,431 | 48 |
| J3 | Public Defender Department | 33,444 | 175 | 36,788 | 45,986 | 201 |
| J4 | Public Defender Department | 12,010 | 45 | 13,211 | 16,514 | 52 |
| J6 | Commission on Crime Victims Reparation | 5,637 | 19 | 6,201 | 7,751 | 22 |
| J5 | Attorney General | 27,168 | 87 | 29,885 | 37,356 | 100 |
| P1 | Taxation and Revenue Department | 540 | 4 | 594 | 743 | 5 |
| P8 | Taxation and Revenue Department | 63,964 | 190 | 70,360 | 87,951 | 219 |
| G1 | Office of the Governor | 5,000 | 3 | 5,500 | 6,875 | 3 |
| S7 | Commission on Status of Women | 3,414 | 7 | 3,755 | 4,694 | 8 |
| S8 | Aging and Long Term Services Department | 4,109 | 17 | 4,520 | 5,650 | 20 |
| S9 | Commission on Deaf and Hard of Hearing | 3,992 | 40 | 4,391 | 5,489 | 46 |
| P12 | Commission on Martin Luther King Jr. | 1,900 | 2 | 2,090 | 2,613 | 2 |
|  | Totals | 375,319 | 1,240 | 412,851 | 516,064 | 1,426 |

## Location Neutral

## $\checkmark$ Non-location specific agencies

- Agencies tend to serve professional community or government personnel
- Public employees interests
- Commissions and boards
- Regulation and Licensing authorities
- Agencies tend to provide statewide authority and services
- Highly accessible location is not as critical
- Adjacency to other agencies for convenience to client base is not as critical


## Location Neutral

## $\checkmark$ Centralized location not required



## Location Neutral

## $\checkmark$ Non-Centralized office building candidates

| Site \# | Agency | Current LSF | Current <br> staff | Future LSF | Future GSF (based on current LSF) | Future GSF <br> (based on current staff) | Future staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L20 | Department of Human Services | 4,280 | 21 | 4,708 | 5,885 | 8,400 | 24 |
| S1 | Division of Vocational Rehabilitation | 4,085 | 17 | 4,494 | 5,617 | 6,800 | 20 |
| S2 | Division of Vocational Rehabilitation | 9,847 | 24 | 10,832 | 13,540 | 9,600 | 28 |
| C1 | Gaming Control Board | 31,374 | 59 | 34,511 | 43,139 | 23,600 | 68 |
| P8 | Taxation and Revenue Department | 63,964 | 190 | 70,360 | 87,951 | 76,000 | 219 |
| C2 | State Engineer / Interstate Stream Commission | 12,631 | 125 | 13,894 | 17,368 | 50,000 | 144 |
| C3 | Environment Department | 20,017 | 80 | 22,019 | 27,523 | 32,000 | 92 |
| C4 | Environment Department | 1,242 | 4 | 1,366 | 1,708 | 1,600 | 5 |
| C5 | Regulation and Licensing Department | 15,981 | 48 | 17,579 | 21,974 | 19,200 | 55 |
| C6 | Regulation and Licensing Department | 1,000 | 7 | 1,100 | 1,375 | 2,800 | 8 |
| G1 | Office of the Governor | 5,000 | 3 | 5,500 | 6,875 | 1,200 | 3 |
| G2 | Chief Information Officer | 1,990 | 11 | 2,189 | 2,736 | 4,400 | 13 |
| C7 | Livestock Board | 5,803 | * | 6,383 | 7,979 | * | * |
| C8 | Nursing Board | 4,972 | 15 | 5,469 | 6,837 | 6,000 | 17 |
| G4 | Retiree Health Care Authority | 1,953 | * | 2,148 | 2,685 | * | * |
| G6 | Public Employees Labor Relations Board | 1,232 | * | 1,355 | 1,694 | * | * |
| G7 | Public Employees Retirement Association | 1,739 | 3 | 1,913 | 2,391 | 1,200 | 3 |
| G10 | Educational Retirement Board | 1,953 | * | 2,148 | 2,685 | * | * |
| C12 | Commission on Organic Commodity | 1,439 | 4 | 1,583 | 1,979 | 1,600 | 5 |
| C13 | Commission on Racing | 3,555 | 11 | 3,911 | 4,888 | 4,400 | 13 |
| C14 | Game and Fish Department | 8,385 | 20 | 9,224 | 11,529 | 8,000 | 23 |
|  | Totals | 202,442 | 642 | 222,686 | 278,358 | 256,800 | 738 |

## Location Neutral

$\checkmark$ Location neutral agencies

- Current total space occupied by candidate agencies = ~200,000 NSF (interpolated)
- Current total staff occupying candidate agencies' space $=\sim 650$ persons (interpolated)
- Site size required to support current and future needs for a campus of this size $=10.21$ acres

The ARC - Dekker/Perich/Sabatini Association


[^0]:    *About $30 \%$ of PCD "owned" space is categorized as an Office Use
    **Assumes 65\% ratio of USF to GSF

[^1]:    Analysis based on 2005 data. 2008 data will vary but does not substantially alter recommended concepts.

