Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the Legislature. LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

			LAST UPDATED		
SPONSOR	Royba	al Caballero/Stefanics	ORIGINAL DATE	2/1/2025	
			BILL		
SHORT TIT	LE	Magistrate Judge Retirement Changes	NUMBER	House Bill 183	
			ANALYST	Simon	

REVENUE* (dollars in thousands)

Туре	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Magistrate Employer Contributions		\$375.1	\$386.4	\$397.9	\$409.9	Recurring	Magistrate Retirement Fund
Magistrate Employee Contributions		\$330.9	\$340.8	\$351.0	\$361.6	Recurring	Magistrate Retirement Fund
Total		\$706.0	\$727.2	\$749.9	\$771.5		

Parentheses () indicate revenue decreases.

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT*

(dollars in thousands)

Agency/Program	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected	
Court Budgets		\$375.1	\$386.4	\$761.5	Recurring	General Fund	

Parentheses () indicate expenditure decreases.

Duplicates Senate Bill 151, Relates to Senate Bill 138

Sources of Information

LFC Files

Agency Analysis Received From
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of House Bill 183

House Bill 183 (HB183) would increase retirement benefits for magistrate court judges. The bill reduces the number of years a magistrate must serve before qualifying for a benefit from eight years to five years for those retiring over age 65, increases the multiplier used to calculate pension benefits from 3 percent to 3.5 percent, and increases the maximum pension benefit from 85 percent of salary to 100 percent of salary.

Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

^{*}Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

^{*}Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

To fund these increases and improve the stability of the magistrate retirement fund, the bill would increase employer contributions from 15 percent of salary to 19.24 percent of salary and employee contributions from 10.5 percent of salary to 14.74 percent of salary.

The effective date of this bill is July 1, 2025.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The bill would increase benefits paid to members of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) covered by the Magistrate Retirement Act. Article XX, Section 22, of the New Mexico Constitution prohibits the Legislature from enacting any law that increases the benefits paid by PERA unless adequate funding is provided. That section assigns the PERA board the sole and exclusive power to adopt actuarial assumptions, based on recommendations from an independent actuary.

In addition to benefits increases, the bill also includes additional revenue to the magistrate retirement fund. Analysis from PERA indicates the bill is currently undergoing an actuarial analysis and the analysis does not indicate if the bill includes adequate funding to cover the increased benefits cost. Notably, the bill does not contain a provision specifying the change only applies to future service, presumably granting members a retroactive increase to their already earned retirement benefits. This could have a significant impact on the fund. PERA notes it is "unclear" as to whether the change applies only to benefits earned in the future and suggests making it clear that this change would only apply to future earned benefits.

Analysis from the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) does not calculate the fiscal impact of the bill but notes contributions will rise for both magistrates and the courts. Similarly, analysis from PERA does not project anticipated revenue should the bill pass. Total magistrate salaries were estimated from state personnel records as of January 1, 2025, with an increase of 4 percent applied for FY26, based on the Legislative Finance Committee's recommendation for the General Appropriation Act. PERA's actuaries assume annual payroll growth of 3 percent and this assumption was used to project salary increases from FY27 through FY29. The table below includes calculations based on these assumptions.

Fiscal Impact of House Bill 183: Contributions to the Magistrate Retirement Fund

		FY26 Estimate*	Current	HB183		Current	HB183	
Position	FTE	Salaries	Employer	Employer	Diff.	Member	Member	Diff.
Magistrate Judge	67	\$8,846,796	\$1,327,019	\$1,702,124	\$375,104	\$928,914	\$1,259,784	\$330,870

^{*}Adjusted from current salaries based on the LFC recommendation for FY26.

Source: State Personnel Records

Overall, LFC estimates the bill would increase contributions to the magistrate retirement fund by \$705 thousand, or 31.3 percent, in FY26. Employer contributions would increase by an average of \$5,600 per current member and member contributions would increase by an average of \$4,900. The bill does not include an appropriation and analysis from AOC notes the increased employer contributions will be paid "without additional general fund appropriations," suggesting courts currently have sufficient funds within their budget to cover the estimated \$375 thousand in payments. Separately, the judicial branch has submitted a request for a \$13.6 million appropriation from the general fund for compensation increases for judicial branch employees earning less than \$100 thousand per year and it is unclear why the court has not already deployed the \$375 thousand available to partially address this issue.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Pension benefits from PERA's magistrate retirement fund are calculated by multiplying a member's salary against a multiplier set in state law by the number of years of service credit a member has accrued. Currently, the multiplier for the magistrate retirement fund is set at 3 percent, meaning for each year of service credit the member's benefit increases by 3 percent of salary. So, for example, a member with 10 years of service credit would receive 30 percent of their salary. Salary is calculated based on the average of the amount earned during the member's highest paid five consecutive years, typically at the end of the member's career.

HB183 would increase pension benefits for magistrate judges by 14.3 percent by increasing the multiplier from 3 percent to 3.5 percent. So, for example, under HB183, pension amounts would increase from 30 percent of salary to 35 percent of salary for a member with 10 years of service.

HB183 would also increase the maximum allowable benefit, which is currently capped at 85 percent of salary. The bill would increase the cap to 100 percent, in line with recent changes made to the PERA fund for other public employee plans. Analysis from PERA notes members would be required to work a longer period before becoming eligible for the higher benefit. According to PERA's most recent financial report, the magistrate retirement fund had 86 active retirees, with 35 who earned less than 10 years of service credit, 36 who earned at least 10 years but less than 20 years, and 15 who earned 20 years or more of service credit. The average monthly benefit, as of June 30, 2024, was \$3,606.

PERA notes the increase in the maximum allowable benefit does not clearly indicate if previously earned service credit can be applied to this new limit, allowing members who have already reached the 85 percent cap to claim additional pension benefits for credit they have already earned. Similar legislation for other PERA members enacted in 2024 included a temporary provision indicating the increase applied only to future earned service credit. In other words, a member near retirement would need to work additional years to earn additional service credit. Analysis from PERA does not indicate what potential fiscal impact applying the increase retroactively will have on the fund.

HB183 would decrease the minimum amount of service credit needed before a member is eligible for a benefit payment. Members who do not reach the minimum service credit requirement are eligible to receive a refund of their contributions to PERA plus interest but are not eligible for pension benefits. Since July 2014, new members must serve for eight years to qualify for a benefit at age 65; the bill would lower that to five years. Members whose service began before July 2014 need five years of service before becoming eligible and current law allows members with more years of service to retire at a younger age. Data from PERA's financial report indicates 20 of the 86 active retired members, or about 23 percent, served between five years and nine years. It is likely most of these members began their service prior to July 2014 and likely needed only five years of service to qualify. It is unclear what percentage of members whose service began after 2014 have completed at least five but less than eight years of service, but the change could increase the number of members eligible for a pension benefit. PERA's analysis does not address the potential fiscal impact of this change.

Analysis from AOC indicates the bill addresses the persistent underfunding of the magistrate retirement fund. As of June 30, 2024, PERA reported total liabilities of the magistrate retirement fund \$65.4 million and total assets of \$34.3 million for a funded ratio of 52.4 percent. With

House Bill 183 – Page 4

current contribution rates, PERA's actuaries expect the unfunded liability to be paid off in 67 years, above the board's target of 25 years. Fund stability could be improved if the additional contributions included in the bill fully offset the benefits increase proposed and provide the fund with additional resources to pay off the unfunded liability earlier. However, PERA indicates the bill is currently undergoing an actuarial review. (See fiscal implications).

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

House Bill 183 duplicates Senate Bill 151. House Bill 183 relates to Senate Bill 138, which would eliminate a \$1.2 million per year distribution to magistrate retirement fund from tax proceeds that would otherwise be directed to the general fund.

OTHER SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Section 1 of the bill would amend the section of law creating the magistrate retirement fund to include appropriations among the funding sources that could be deposited in the fund. Analysis from PERA notes this language is consistent with typical fund language.

JWS/hj/sgs