Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the Legislature. LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

### **APPROPRIATION\***

(dollars in thousands)

FY25	FY26	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
	\$289.0	Recurring	General Fund

Parentheses () indicate expenditure decreases.

Relates to House Bills 124 and 125

#### **Sources of Information**

LFC Files

Agency Analysis Received From
Health Care Authority (HCA)
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
Aging and Long-term Services Department (ALTSD)

#### SUMMARY

### Synopsis of House Bill 149

House Bill 149 (HB149) creates the Supported Decision-Making Act.

Section 1 defines "supported decision-maker," as an "adult who seeks to enter, or has entered, into a supported decision-making agreement with one or more supporters", and "supporter" as an "an adult who has entered into a supported decision-making agreement with a supported decision-maker." (The bill does not provide a simple definition of supported decision making;" please see the beginning of the "Significant Issues" section below for a definition.)

Section 3 outlines the scope of supported decision-making agreements and include the supporter helping the supported person with understanding the options available to them at important turning points in their lives, in gathering and understanding the information needed to make an informed decision, and in communicating the decision to appropriate persons.

<sup>\*</sup>Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

### House Bill 149 – Page 2

Section 4 sets requirements for supported decision-making agreements. Supported decision-making agreements are to be entered into without coercion and must be in writing and signed and dated by both parties and at least two adult witnesses. They must include a listing of the types of decisions with which the supporter may assist and those which are excluded from the supporter's help.

Section 5 states that supported decision-makers are presumed to be capable of managing their affairs unless otherwise determined by a court. Mental illness, intellectual disability, developmental disability, or difficulty with communication should not be considered as causes for voiding a supported decision-making agreement, use of which would not preclude the possibility of the supported decision-maker representing themself.

Section 6 outlines the duties of a supporter, including not taking advantage of the supported person, acting in good faith, and not endeavoring to make decisions for that person.

The remaining Sections state that the supporter is not a fiduciary agent for the supported person (Section 7), disqualify various persons from acting as supporters, such as someone who has been convicted of a crime against the person (Section 8), require supporters maintain the confidentiality of the supported person (Section 9), require third parties to rely on the support decision-making agreement (Section 10), identify decisions or requests made with the assistance of a supporter as the decision of the supported decision-maker (Section 11), sets rules regarding the termination of a supported decision-making agreement (Section 12), require persons who believe a supported-person is subject to abuse, neglect, or exploitation of a supported person by their supporter to submit a report to the Aging and Long-term Services Department (Section 13), and establish the Supported Decision-Making Program within the Office of Guardianship in the Developmental Disabilities Council (DDC).

HB149 appropriates \$249 thousand from the general fund to DDC to carry out the provisions of the Act.

This bill does not contain an effective date and, as a result, would go into effect 90 days after the Legislature adjourns if enacted, or June 20, 2025.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$289 thousand contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of FY26 shall revert to the general fund.

Responding agencies do not see a need for additional funding.

# SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The Health Care Authority Notes:

Many New Mexicans need additional support when making major decisions, such as medical and financial decisions, but are not appropriate for guardianship. Guardianship restricts and removes a person's legal rights and should be considered as a last resort for people who are incapacitated and require substitute decision makers. For many New

### House Bill 149 – Page 3

Mexicans, especially those who do not have access to Developmental Disabilities Medicaid waiver services, supported decision making can be an effective tool to assist in making important decisions, allowing them to retain control over their lives.

According to the Center for Public Representation, supported decision making:

Allows individuals with disabilities to make choices about their own lives with support from a team of people they choose. Individuals with disabilities choose people they know and trust to be part of a support network to help with decision-making. Supported decision-making is an alternative to guardianship. Instead of having a guardian make a decision *for* the person with the disability, supported decision-making allows the person with the disability to make his or her own decisions.

According to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), "Twenty states, along with the District of Columbia, have enacted a supported decision-making statute since Delaware became the first to pass supported decision-making legislation in 2015."

### According to Aging and Long-term Services Department (ALTSD):

Research has repeatedly shown that individuals with disabilities and older adults who regularly make their own decisions and maintain greater self-determination experience greater well-being. Further, there has been extensive research which has found that individuals with disabilities who are more self-determined are more likely to recognize and avoid abuse. Several states across the country have enacted various types of supported decision-making statutes. The New Mexico Legislature appropriated \$15 thousand to DDC in FY22 for the Supported Decision-Making Task Force to study supported decision-making across the country and make recommendations on how to implement the program in New Mexico. The Supported Decision-Making Task Force reviewed existing supported decision-making models and solicited key stakeholder input to develop a strategy for implementing supported decision-making in New Mexico, including any necessary legislation, outreach, and education. DDC indicated that codifying supported decision-making would clarify how the model works and create a uniform process and form. HB149 embodies the recommendations that came out of the Supported Decision-Making Task Force.

About 6,000 New Mexicans are under guardianship or conservatorship. The Office of Guardianship typically processes approximately 125 new cases a year and often times has a waitlist. DDC has seen a drastic increase of requests for guardianship services in the past 4-5 years, causing the agency to submit 20-25% budget increases year over year. DDC reports the rate of guardianship applications has doubled in the past several years. If HB149 is passed, it may reduce the number of guardianship applications submitted to the Office of Guardianship.

While this proposed legislation may impact the guardianship system, supported decision-making would not replace either guardianship or conservatorship. If successful, the bill could reduce reliance on guardianship and increase self-determination for individuals with disabilities by providing an alternative and allowing more accessibility to decision-making support.

# **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

#### AOC notes:

The judiciary will need to provide training to judges that handle adult guardianship and conservatorship cases that a supported decision-making agreement is a least restrictive option and may be an alternative to guardianship/conservatorship proceedings. External systems such as financial, healthcare and educational may be hesitant to provide a supporter with information and will likely require much more education and training to ensure the provisions of the supported decision-making are understood and followed.

# CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Related to House Bill 124, Death of Protected Person or Guardian, and House Bill 125, Liability Waivers for Conservators.

LAC/S12/sgs