TEO	D	4
THU.	Ken	uester:

## **AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

# WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

<u>AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov</u> and email to <u>billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov</u>
(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

# **SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply:

Original X Amendment Date Prepared: 2025-02-10

**Correction** Substitute Bill No: SB217

Sponsor(s) Michael Padilla Agency Name CYFD 69000

: Debra M. Sariñana and Code Number:

**Person Writing** Joseph Baros

**Analysis:** 

Short DOIT ROLE IN Phone:

Title: PROCUREMENT

**Email:** joseph.baros@cyfd.nm.gov

## **SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

## **APPROPRIATION** (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected
0	0		
0			

## **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring Fund		
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected	
0	0	0			
0	0	0			

# ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATION BUDGET (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	0	0	0	0		

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:

Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act:

## **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

### **BILL SUMMARY**

House Bill 217 defines the authority of the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) Secretary in accordance with existing law, including the ability to:

- Delegate authority and organize DoIT staff, including hiring exempt and non-exempt employees and developing the agency's budget
  - Oversee education and coursework related to technology
- Conduct research and analysis, providing recommendations to the

Governor and Executive Agencies regarding advancements in technology

- Monitor, oversee, and implement best practices, policies, and procedures to enhance efficiency and effectiveness
  - Enter into technical agreements as necessary
- Recommend the reduction of duplicate services, address performance issues, and assess whether another agency is better suited to handle specific functions. When appropriate, propose legislation to transfer or consolidate services
- Provide oversight and review of all information technology projects and report findings to the legislature.
- Support, guide, and review annual executive agency IT plans and compile them into a comprehensive State IT Plan.
- Address billing issues related to DoIT-provided services, with the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) serving as the final fiscal authority.
- Standardize data elements and reporting, eliminate service duplication, and resolve conflicts.
- Develop and maintain a process for awarding special appropriations from the Compute Enhancement Fund.
- Utilize appropriate public or private funding sources, with the Governor's approval, to fulfill DoIT's mission.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

\* No Fiscal Impact to CYFD.

## **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

- Currently, projects exceeding \$100,000 or funded through the Computer Enhancement Fund (Section 7) are required to undergo review and governance by the DoIT Enterprise Project Management Office (EPMO), the Technical Architecture Review Committee (TARC), and the Project Certification Committee (PCC).
  - Under the proposed changes, all projects—regardless of size or funding source—would be subject to DoIT review, potentially leading to delays in contract negotiations, procurement, and project delivery timelines.
  - The proposed changes do not account for urgent projects necessitated by immediate needs, natural disasters, crises, or executive orders.
  - In further discussions for a better understanding of this bill with the Leadership of the Department of Information Technology, it was explaining this was to remove the procurement review aspect that the EMPO is currently doing to Generals Services Division in order to reduce delays in processing contracts and procurement reviews currently. This would focus DoIT on the technical aspect and vision for the future of the organization, which is currently their primary focus. Concerns over all projects and removal of \$100K cap per project is still a concern as this may cause delays in small projects, and emergency related projects. DoIT Leadership acknowledged concerns and agency view point and will take under advisement.

### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

- \* Potential removal of delays in beginning projects as contract review process is consolidated to one agency.
- \* Concerns listed above could potentially be reduced contingent upon GSD being able to address and support additional procurement reviews.

ADMINISTR	ATIVE	IMPLI	<b>ICATIONS</b>
-----------	-------	-------	-----------------

None.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None.

**TECHNICAL ISSUES** 

None.
OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES
None.
ALTERNATIVES
None.
WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL
DoIT will continue to review those projects at or above \$100K or associated with the Computer Enhancement Fund. Procurement and contract reviews would remain in EPMO at DoIT.
AMENDMENTS
None.