LFC Requester:	Julisa Rodriguez

# **AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov

(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

{Indicate if analysis is	on an original bill, amendment	<u>N</u> t, substitute or a correction	of a previous bill}
<b>Date Prepared</b> :		Check all that appl	y:
Bill Number: Sponsor: Leo Jar		Original x C Amendment S  Agency Name and Code Number:	orrection
Short Covera Fitle: Risks	age for Certain Insurance  SCAL IMPACT	Person Writing Phone:	Tim Vigil  Email Timothy.vigil@osi.nm

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring		
\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A	

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate expenditure decreases)

## **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected
\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

## **ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	\$0	\$42	\$0	\$42	N/A	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

## **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

#### Synopsis:

Section 1 of the bill adds a new Subsection (G) to the existing Insurance Code Section 59A-18-17. The change is modeled after a similar provision adopted by the State of California in 2018. Referred to as the efficient proximate cause doctrine, the provision provides coverage if a covered risk is the predominate cause of the excluded risk. If enacted, property insurance industry would be prevented from denying policyholder claims for damages to covered property due to a combination of covered and excluded risks. For example, if fire was a covered risk and mudslide was an excluded risk, the mudslide damage would be covered as long as the damage was proximately caused by the covered risk (fire).

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The Office of the Superintendent of Insurance ("OSI") received a significant increase in the number of complaints regarding insurance companies' failure to cover flood, mudflow, debris flow, landslide following the recent wildfires (Hermit's Peak/Calf Canyon, South Fork and Salt Fires).

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None indicated.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

#### CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None known at this time.

#### TECHNICAL ISSUES

None.

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

In 2023, the CA Insurance Commissioner issued a notice explaining "efficient proximate cause":

Pursuant to the efficient proximate cause doctrine, "When a loss is caused by a combination of a covered and specifically excluded risks, the loss is covered if the covered risk was the efficient proximate cause of the loss," but "the loss is not covered if the covered risk was only a remote cause of the loss, or the excluded risk was the efficient proximate, or predominate cause." (State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. v. Von Der Lieth (1991) 54 Cal.3d 1123, 1131–1132, 2 Cal.Rptr.2d 183, 820 P.2d 285.)

<u>Julian v. Hartford Underwriters Ins. Co.</u>, 35 Cal. 4th 747, 750, 110 P.3d 903, 904 (2005), as modified (May 5, 2005)

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

#### WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

If this bill does not pass, the insurance industry can continue to include a clause in insurance contracts which industry refers as the "anti-concurrent cause clause" which allows an insurance

company to deny certain excluded risks (such as flood, mudslide, landslide, etc.), even when the damage was proximately caused by a covered risk (such as fire).

# AMENDMENTS

None.