LFC Requester:

Scott Sanchez

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Date Pre	pared:	January 29, 2025	Check all that app	ly:		
Bill Number:		SB 161	Original X Correc		Correction	
			Amendment		Substitute	
Sponsor:		chael Padilla and Sen. Pope	e .	305 – New Mexico Department of Justice		
Short Title:	Background Checks for DMA Employees		•	Megan Veach 505-537-7676		
	<u>1</u> J		Email:	legisfir@nn		

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring		

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurri ng	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis: SB 161 proposes to amend the existing language of Chapter 20, Article 3, NMSA 1978. SB 161 would require background checks for personnel at the Division of Military Affairs, including those employees or volunteers assigned to supervise or otherwise be in control of minors attending the national guard youth challenge and job challenge academies, the governor's summer program or other youth programs of the DMA. SB 161 sets forth a procedure for conducting the background checks and the limitations for which the information can be shared.

Section 2 amends NMSA 1978, Section 20-3-2, and would allow the adjutant general to use "other funds available to the adjutant general" to support youth programs.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

N/A.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Section 1B provides that "applicants, employees or volunteers who will be assigned to supervise or otherwise be in control of minors" are subject to the background check requirement. The term "otherwise be in control" appears vague and open to interpretation, which may present difficulties in implementation. In addition, other language in Subsection B requires "employees or volunteers who have been *working with minors*" are also subject to the new background check requirements of the bill. This phrasing is also vague. Lastly, additional language in Subsection B provides that an "employee or volunteer who has *care or control of minors*" are subject to supervision pending the completion of a background check. These various descriptions of volunteers and employees subject to the new requirements of the bill appear internally inconsistent and may present difficulties in implementing the bill's requirements. It also appears that the background check requirement would apply retroactively for individuals already in these positions and require the people in these roles to cover the cost of the background check themselves within six months of enactment.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS N/A

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS N/A

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP N/A

TECHNICAL ISSUES N/A

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES N/A

ALTERNATIVES N/A

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL Status quo.

AMENDMENTS N/A