LFC Requestor: Choose an item.

## 2025 LEGISLATIVE SESSION AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS

## **Section I: General**

Chamber: Senate	Category: Bill
Number: 104	Type: Introduced

**Date** (of **THIS** analysis): 1/27/2025

Sponsor(s): Linda M. Trujillo and Antoinette Sedillo Lopez Short Title: AUDIOLOGY & SPEECH LANGUAGE COMPACT Reviewing Agency: Agency 665 - Department of Health Analysis Contact Person: Arya Lamb Phone Number: 505-470-4141 e-Mail: Arya.Lamb@doh.nm.gov

**Section II: Fiscal Impact** 

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)** 

Appropriation Contained		Recurring or	Fund	
FY 25	FY 26	Nonrecurring	Affected	
\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A	

#### **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or	
FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A

#### ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non- recurring	Fund Affected
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A

Section III: Relationship to other legislation

Duplicates: HB79

Conflicts with: None

Companion to: None

Relates to: None

Duplicates/Relates to an Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act: None

## **Section IV: Narrative**

## 1. BILL SUMMARY

a) <u>Synopsis</u>

Senate Bill 104 (HB104) proposes to facilitate interstate practice of occupational therapy with the goal of improving public access to audiology and speech-language pathology services. Objectives include: increase public access to occupational therapy services by providing for the mutual recognition of other member state licenses; enhance the states' ability to protect public health and safety; encourage the cooperation of member states in regulating multistate audiology and speck-language pathology services; support spouses of relocating military members; enhance the exchange of licensure, investigative and disciplinary information between member states; and allow a remote state to hold a provider of services with a compact privilege in that state accountable to that state's practice standards; and facilitate the use of telehealth technology in order to increase access to audiology and speech-language pathology services.

Is this an amendment or substitution?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No Is there an emergency clause?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

## b) Significant Issues

SB104 would expand access to audiology and speck-language pathology services providers that meet licensure requirements.

Department of Health facilities the utilization of audiology and speech-language pathology services and would have increased access to providers supporting resident and patient needs.

# 2. PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

• Does this bill impact the current delivery of NMDOH services or operations?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If yes, describe how.

- Is this proposal related to the NMDOH Strategic Plan?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No
  - Goal 1: We expand equitable access to services for all New Mexicans
  - □ Goal 2: We ensure safety in New Mexico healthcare environments
  - Goal 3: We improve health status for all New Mexicans

 $\Box$  Goal 4: We support each other by promoting an environment of mutual respect, trust, open communication, and needed resources for staff to serve New Mexicans and to grow and reach their professional goals

# **3. FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

- If there is an appropriation, is it included in the Executive Budget Request?
  □ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
- If there is an appropriation, is it included in the LFC Budget Request?
  □ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
- Does this bill have a fiscal impact on NMDOH?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

# 4. ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Will this bill have an administrative impact on NMDOH?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

**5. DUPLICATION, CONFLICT, COMPANIONSHIP OR RELATIONSHIP** SB104 relates to / is duplicate of HB79.

# 6. TECHNICAL ISSUES

Are there technical issues with the bill?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

# 7. LEGAL/REGULATORY ISSUES (OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES)

- Will administrative rules need to be updated or new rules written?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No
- Have there been changes in federal/state/local laws and regulations that make this legislation necessary (or unnecessary)? □ Yes ⊠ No
- Does this bill conflict with federal grant requirements or associated regulations?
  □ Yes ⊠ No
- Are there any legal problems or conflicts with existing laws, regulations, policies, or programs? □ Yes ⊠ No

# 8. DISPARITIES ISSUES

None.

9. HEALTH IMPACT(S) None.

# **10. ALTERNATIVES**

None.

11. WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

If SB104 is not enacted, mutual recognition of other member state audiology and speechlanguage pathology licenses will not be enacted.

# **12. AMENDMENTS**

None.