LFC Requester: Scott Sanchez

Recurring or

Nonrecurring

Fund

Affected

3 Year

Total Cost

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov (Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

Date Prepared: SB 70 Original X Correction Amendment Substitute SB 70 Original X Correction Amendment Substitute Substitut	{Indicate if analysis is on an original	il bill, amendment,	substitute of a	correction of a	previous bill}		
Bill Number: SB 70 Original Amendment Substitute Management Manag	Date Prepared:	25 Check all that apply:					
Sponsor: Brandt				_			
Sponsor: Brandt Number: Short Add Racketeering Crimes Person Writing Douglas Carver Phone: 505-239-8362 Email dhmcarver@unm.edu SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands) Appropriation Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected (Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases) REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY26 FY27 Nonrecurring Affected				Amendmen	t Subs	titute	_
Title: Phone: 505-239-8362 Email dhmcarver@unm.edu SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands) Appropriation Recurring or Nonrecurring (Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases) REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY27 Nonrecurring Fund Affected FY25 FY26 FY27 Nonrecurring			and Code Number:	e NN			mmission 354
SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands) Appropriation FY25 FY26 (Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases) REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY27 Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected Fund Affected	• •			e <u>E</u>			
APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands) Appropriation	ine:		rnone:	505-239-8362	<u>z Email (</u>	ınmca	rver@unm.eau
(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases) REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY27 Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected	Approp	riation		Recurring			
REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY27 Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected	FY25	FY2	6				
REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY27 Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected							
REVENUE (dollars in thousands) Estimated Revenue FY25 FY26 FY27 Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected							
Estimated Revenue Recurring or Nonrecurring Affected	(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure	decreases)					
FY25 FY26 FY27 or Nonrecurring Affected		REVENUE	E (dollars i	n thousands	s)		
FY25 FY26 FY27 Nonrecurring Affected					- Fund		Fund
(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)	Estin	nated Revenue				ing	r una
(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)			F	Y27	or		
(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)			F	Y27	or		
	<u> </u>		F	Y27	or		

FY27

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Total

FY25

FY26

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

SB 70 amends Section 30-42-3, the definitions section of the Racketeering Act, to add the following 11 crimes to the existing 26 that can be charged under the Act:

- sexual exploitation of children;
- criminal sexual penetration;
- criminal sexual contact;
- dog fighting and cockfighting;
- escape from jail;
- escape from penitentiary;
- assisting escape;
- bringing contraband into places of imprisonment;
- tampering with public records;
- impersonating a peace officer; and
- human trafficking.

SB 70 also adds a definition of "criminal gang" to the Act. A "criminal gang" is defined as "three or more persons having a common identifying sign or symbol or an identifiable leadership and who continuously or regularly associate in the commission of criminal activities." "Criminal gang" is also added to the list of entities who can be considered in the definition of an "enterprise" under the Act.

Additionally, SB 70 adds two new subsections to Section 30-42-4, the section of the Act that concerns activities prohibited under the Act. The first of these new subsections would make it unlawful for a person to solicit or coerce another person, including a minor, into becoming or continuing as a member of an enterprise or participating in the racketeering activity of an enterprise. The penalty is a third degree felony. The second new subsection makes it unlawful for a person who is in a leadership position within an enterprise to knowingly finance, supervise or conspire to commit, through the direction of members of the enterprise, any racketeering activity. This penalty is a first degree felony.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The statutory purpose of the Racketeering Act (Section 30-42-2) is "to eliminate the infiltration and illegal acquisition of legitimate economic enterprise by racketeering practices and the use of legal and illegal enterprises to further criminal activities." It is not clear that the additional crimes contemplated for the Act by SB 70 correspond with the purpose of the Act.

Similarly, adding "criminal gang", as defined in SB 70, into the definition of "enterprise" is an awkward fit. The other enterprises in the definition are "a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, business, labor union, association or other legal entity or a group of individuals." A group defined in the bill as "three or more persons having a common identifying sign or symbol or an identifiable leadership and who continuously or regularly associate in the commission of criminal activities" is a different sort of beast.

It is difficult to determine what the effect of passing SB 70 would be on the state's prison population, but the increased crimes that could be considered racketeering and the penalties included in the bill could lead to more people being incarcerated by the Corrections Department. The average per day cost to incarcerate someone in the state's prison system is \$156.45/day; this average includes private and public facilities.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

AMENDMENTS