LFC Requester:

Email: legisfir@nmag.gov

Emily Hilla

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Date Pre	pared:	1/30/25	_ Check all that app	ly:		
Bill Number:		HJR 10	Original X Correction			
		Amendment		Substitute		
	Rep. Pa	tricia Roybal	Agency Name and 305 – New Mexico			
Sponsor: Caballero		ro	Code Number :	Department	of Justice	
			Person Writing			
Short		Voting with Felony ion, CA	Analysis:	ASG Serena	ena Wheaton	
Title:			Phone:	505-537-767	76	

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Approp	riation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring		

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurri ng	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

BILL SUMMARY

<u>Synopsis:</u> HJR 10 is a proposed N.M. Constitutional Amendment to remove the current voting restriction found under art. VII, Section 1 of the N.M. Constitution, which states that convicted felons are not allowed to vote. If passed and adopted, this amendment would allow convicted felons a constitutional right to vote. The remainder of HJR 10 tracks the current language of the N.M. Constitution.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

N/A

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

HJR 10 may conflict with NMSA 1978, Section 1-4-27.1(A) (2023) which states that a "voter is ineligible to vote while imprisoned in a correctional facility as part of a sentence for a felony conviction." The two would possibly conflict where someone is a convicted felon and still serving time in prison. HJR 10 if passed and adopted would give convicted felons a constitutional right to vote, but Section 1-4-27.1(A) would abridge that constitutional right in its current form. By comparison, those convicted for a misdemeanor, and serving time in prison, are able to vote. *See* NMSA 1978, Section 1-20-18 (2001).

There would be no conflict where a convicted felon was no longer serving time in a correctional facility.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

N/A

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

N/A

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

N/A

TECHNICAL ISSUES

N/A

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

N/A

ALTERNATIVES

N/A

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status quo.

AMENDMENTS

N/A