LFC Requester: Helen Gaussoin
-------------------------------

# **AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov

		(Analysis m	ust be uplo	aded as a l	PDF,	)	
SECTION	NI: GENERAL IN	FORMATIO	<u>N</u>				
{Indicate if a	inalysis is on an origina	ıl bill, amendmeni	t, substitute or d	a correction o	f a pre	evious b	ill}
	<b>Date Prepared</b>	2/15/25		Check all	that	apply	:
	Bill Number:	HB0339	<i>HB0339</i> Origin		$x_{-}$ Correction		
				Amendme	ent _	Su	bstitute
Sponsor:	Angelica Rubio and Andrea Romero		Agency Name and Code Number:		Dept	. of W	Orkforce Solutions-631
			Person \\ Analysis	0		Sarita	Nair
Short	Housing Discrin	nination and	•		•	Emai	il
Title:	Human Rights A	Act	Phone:	505-263-3	187	:	Evan.Sanchez@dws.nm.gov
<b>SECTION</b>	NII: FISCAL IMP	PACT					
	A	.PPROPRIA	TION (doll	ars in tho	usan	ds)	

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund		
FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected		
0	0				

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate expenditure decreases)

# **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY26	FY27	FY28	or Nonrecurring	Affected
0	0	0		

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

# ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

		FY26	FY27	FY28	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
To	tal	0	0	0			

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

### **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis: HB 339 would add source of income discrimination to the Human Rights Act. The bill would also remove public accommodation discrimination and housing discrimination from the jurisdiction of the Department of Workforce Solutions (DWS) Human Rights Bureau and place jurisdiction for those claims in the Office of the Attorney General aka New Mexico Department of Justice.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

None. The volume of public accommodation claims is minimal. To the extent claims do decrease, this will merely offset the unfunded increase in claims the Human Rights Bureau has experienced and will likely continue to experience in connection with the significant expansion of the Human Rights Act to include all local public bodies.

#### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

None.

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

DWS notes that complex housing discrimination and public accommodation claims might be better handled by the Attorney General's Office, which consists primarily of lawyers and legally trained individuals. DWS funding for the Human Rights Bureau is not adequate to replicate that workforce, and the Bureau has administrative investigators instead.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

DWS would want to establish a referral system to ensure that claims filed under the current Human Rights Act or mistakenly filed with DWS after the effective date of this legislation are properly and timely referred to the Attorney General.

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None.

**TECHNICAL ISSUES** 

None.

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

None.

## **ALTERNATIVES**

None.

# WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Source of income discrimination will continue to be permitted at the state level, and piecemeal legislation on this topic may occur at the local level. DWS will maintain jurisdiction over public accommodation claims under the Human Rights Act.

## **AMENDMENTS**

None.