| LFC Requester: | Austin Davidson |
|------------------|-----------------|
| LI C Itequescer. | |

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

| | • | 2/10/2025 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| Bill Nu | mber: | | Check all that apply: | | | | | |
| | | HB 328 | — Original X Co | | | orrection | | |
| | | | Amenda | ment | Subs | stitute | | |
| Reps. Ran Sponsor: Mark Mur | | andall Pettigrew & | Agency Name and Code Number: | 305 – New Mexico Department of Justice | | | | |
| Short | | | Person Writing | Esther Jamison | | | | |
| Title: Repeal Cle | | Clean Fuel Standards | Phone: | 505-537-76 | | | | |
| | | | Email: | legisfir@ni | nmag.gov | | | |
| | | Appropriation | TION (dollars in thous | ĺ | E, | und | | |
| FY25 | | FY | on None | | | Affected | | |
| | indicate e | xpenditure decreases) | | | | | | |
| Parenthesis () | | PEVENI | F (dollars in thousand | (a) | | | | |
| Parenthesis () | | REVENU | E (dollars in thousand | s) Recurr | ing | Fund | | |

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

| | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | 3 Year Total Cost | Recurring or Nonrecurri ng | Fund Affected |
|-------|------|------|------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Total | | | | | | |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis: This bill would repeal the Clean Transportation Fuel Standards (CTFS) program, passed in 2024 (codified at N.M.S.A. § 74-1-18), make coordinating amendments throughout Article 1 of Chapter 74, and prohibit the Environmental Improvement Board (EIB) from adopting or continuing in effect any CTFS rules.

<u>Section 1</u> would delete definitions that relate to § 74-1-3 from § 74-1-3; namely, definitions for "carbon intensity," "fuel lifecycle," and "transportation fuel."

<u>Section 2</u> would delete duties of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) that relate to the CTFS program; namely, NMED's duty to "maintain, develop, and enforce rules and standards" related to "carbon intensity of transportation fuels" as provided for in § 74-1-18.

Section 3 would delete the duties of the EIB that relate to CTFS; namely, its duty to "promulgate rules and standards" that relate to "carbon intensity of transportation fuels" as provided for in § 74-1-18.

Section 4 adds new material that prohibits the EIB from "adopt[ing] or continu[ing] in effect a rule providing for a clean transportation fuel standard."

<u>Section 5</u> repeals § 74-1-18 (the CTFS program).

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

N/A

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

NMED released a discussion draft rule for the CTFS program in December 2024. HB 328 would terminate this rulemaking process.

Further analysis may be needed to determine whether repealing the CTFS program would affect compliance with the EPA's renewable fuel standard program, see 40 C.F.R. §§ 80.1400 to .1479.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

N/A

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

HB 328 conflicts with SB 131, which would *add* a section to NMSA § 74-1-18 that would prevent the EIB from "adopt[ing] or continu[ing] in effect a rule" that requires automobile manufacturers to deliver a "percentage of zero-emission vehicles for a model year to control motor vehicle emissions or for any other lawful purpose." Because HB 328 would repeal § 74-1-18, which SB 131 seeks to amend, there is a conflict.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

N/A

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

N/A

ALTERNATIVES

N/A

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status Quo

AMENDMENTS