LFC Requester:	Hilla

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov

(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Date Prepared: 1/31/25 *Check all that apply:* Bill Number: HB 228 X Correction Original Amendment Substitute

Agency Name

and Code Municipal League (ML)

Number: **Sponsor:** Serrato

Local Restrictions on Certain **Person Writing** Jacob Rowberry **Short**

Phone: 505-992-3538 Email jrowberry@nmml.org Fences Title:

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Recurring	Municipalities

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:

Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

House Bill 228 limits county and municipal restrictions on certain battery-charged fences with alarm systems located outside of areas zoned exclusively for residential use.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The fiscal impact to municipalities would likely be minimal. Municipalities may incur some limited additional costs to administer the provisions of HB228.

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be

reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

HB228 infringes on local permitting and zoning decisions. Permitting and zoning decisions should remain at the local level, given the significant differences in residential and commercial markets, needs, and local approaches across the state. Municipalities currently enact ordinances and have local planning and zoning boards to manage local needs pertaining to battery-charged fences. These decisions are most appropriately left to individual local governments.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

AMENDMENTS