LFC Requester:	Austin Davidson
El C Requester.	rusum Duviusum

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

ndicate if and	alysis is on	an original bill, amen	итет, зиозии	ie or a correction of t	ı previous bill}			
Date Prepared: January 2		January 29, 202	29, 2025 Check all that apply:					
Bill Number: HB175				Original X Con				
				Amend	ment	Su	bstitute	
		arlan Vincent, Rep. 1 Ortez, Rep. Luis I			305 – Nev	v Mexic	0	
ponsor:		s, Rep. Rebecca Do	_	-		Department of Justice		
Short				Person Writing Analysis:		Jocelyn Barrett, AAG		
Title:		R PROJECTS			505-537-7676			
					legisfir@nmag.gov			
ECTION I			RIATION (Email: (dollars in thou		nmag.go	ov	
ECTION I			RIATION ((dollars in thou	sands)		Fund	
		APPROP	RIATION ((dollars in thou	sands)			
	FY25	APPROP		(dollars in thou	sands)		Fund	
	FY25	APPROP Appropriation xpenditure decreases)	FY26	(dollars in thou	sands) rring ecurring		Fund	
	FY25	APPROP Appropriation xpenditure decreases)	FY26 ENUE (doll	(dollars in thou Recu or Nonr	sands) rring ecurring	A	Fund Affected Fund	
Parenthesis ()	FY25	APPROP Appropriation xpenditure decreases) REVI	FY26 ENUE (doll	(dollars in thou Recu or Nonr	sands) rring ecurring s)	ring	Fund Affected	

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

FX	Y25 FY26	EV27	3 Year	Recurring	Fund
	1120	F 1 2 /	Total Cost	or	Affected

			Nonrecurri ng	
Total				

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

HB 175 proposes amendments to 68-4-2 and 68-4-5 of the Forest and Watershed Restoration Act.

Section 1 proposes the addition of the following definitions to 68-4-2 NMSA:

- B. "buffer" means an area treated to reduce wildfire fuel in order to act as a barrier between properties to limit and halt the spread of wildfire and provide a safe zone for firefighters to engage with wildfires;
- D. "high-risk area" means an area having a high probability of wildfire that is likely to spread to projects, structures or wildland-urban interfaces;
- E. "project" means: (2) a project that creates or maintains a buffer in or around a wildland-urban interface;
- G. "structure" means a constructed object, including residential or commercial buildings and outbuildings such as barns and sheds; and
- H. "wildland-urban interface" means the area, line or zone where structures and other human development meet or intermingle with undeveloped wildland or vegetation fuels.

Section 2 proposes the following substantive changes to 68-4-5 NMSA:

Change the title as follows: USE OF FOREST [AND WATERSHED RESTORATION] <u>LAND</u> PROTECTION REVOLVING FUND--PROJECT EVALUATION AND PRIORITIZATION.

Add the following subsections to 68-4-5(B)

- B. A project is eligible for funding:
- (1) if the project is for a public benefit and:

. . .

- (e) will create or maintain a buffer in or around a wildland-urban interface;
- (2) when a project meets the requirements of Paragraph (1) of this subsection and includes treatment of private lands and the division makes a written determination that

the incidental benefit to a private landowner is outweighed by the fire reduction or watershed restoration benefits to the state.

Add the following subsection to 68-4-5(C):

C. A project that is eligible for funding in accordance with Subsection B of this section shall be given priority for funding by the division if the project:

. . . .

(5) is identified by the board as a high-risk area.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

None.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Relationship:

Senate Joint Memorial 1, which requests Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department and the Economic Development Department to jointly study and make recommendations to expedite forest restoration through economic development in New Mexico.

Senate Bill 108, which would make an appropriation to the Department of Environment to plan, design and construct projects to improve river, stream and wetland habitat and surface water quality.

Senate Bill 33, which relates to forest conservation, creating the wildlife prepared program and fund, and adding members and duties to the fire planning task force.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

As drafted, it appears as though the intention is for any approved project to satisfy both subsections 68-4-5 (B)(1) and (2). However, subsection (2) is not applicable in all potential situations. If a project does not have to satisfy both subsections, it is unclear when subsection (2) is applicable.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

None.

ALTERNATIVES

- (1) if the project is for a public benefit and:
 - [(1)] (a) is part of a current state forest and watershed health plan or forest action plan, a community wildfire protection plan, other comprehensive forest and watershed treatment

plan or wildlife conservation or habitat improvement plan approved by the board;

- [(2)] (b) incorporates actions recommended by current plans or, where new plans are developed, seeks to integrate forest, fire and water management with community and economic development plans;
- [(3)] (c) protects watersheds that are the source of drinking or irrigation water;
- [(4)] (d) targets [an area at high risk of catastrophic wildfire] a high-risk area;
- (e) will create or maintain a buffer in or around a wildland-urban interface; or
- [(5)] (f) has obtained all requisite state and federal permits and authorizations necessary to initiate the project, if the project is other than a planning project; and
- (2) when a project meets the requirements of Paragraph (1) of this subsection, and includes treatment of private lands and the division makes a written determination that the incidental benefit to a private landowner is outweighed by the fire reduction or watershed restoration benefits to the state.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL Status quo.

AMENDMENTS

None.