LFC Requester:

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

<u>AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov</u> and email to <u>billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov</u> (Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Date Prepared :	2025.01.30	Check all tha	it ap	pply:
Bill Number:	HB 0173	Original	<i>x</i>	Correction
		Amendment Substitute		Substitute

		Agency Name			
		and Code	OFR	A 6800	0
Sponsor:	Gail Armstrong	Number:			
Short	Responses to failures to comply	Person Writing		K. Grus	auskas
Title:	with plans of care	Phone: 505-584	-3868	Email	kathryn.grusauskas@
				_	ofra.nm.gov

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring		
none	none	recurring	General	

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected
0	0	0	0	0

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Tot	i 100K	120K	140K	360K	Recurring	General

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

This bill is the same as 2024's HB 121. It modifies the Voluntary Placement and Family Services Act to make certain actions by the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) mandatory rather than discretionary if CYFD is notified that the parents, relatives, guardians or caretakers of a child released from a hospital or freestanding birthing center pursuant to a plan of care fail to comply with that plan.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

Mandated investigations could result in increased filing of abuse/neglect petitions, creating a higher need for court-appointed attorneys, as well as out-of-home placements and all of the services associated with removal.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Requiring CYFD to conduct a "family assessment" when a parent, guardian, or custodian allegedly fails to comply with a *voluntary* plan of care does not allow the department to discern whether an assessment is *necessary*. For example, CYFD would no longer be able to determine whether the alleged failure to comply with the plan of care was substantive (resulting in immediate concern for the infant's safety) or temporary or whether an alternative but equally safe plan was put in place. The bill would require CYFD to expend time and resources unnecessarily, without an increase in child safety. The bill would require CYFD to initiate a more formal child abuse or neglect investigation simply when a family declines to accept "offered" services, which, in a voluntary plan would be inconsistent with existing protocols for investigations and would unintentionally deprive the family and CYFD from using its multilevel response system.

Further, limiting CYFD's discretion and mandating actions that could be seen as punitive in this arena is contrary to the public health policy supporting voluntary engagement of families in plans of care. If families fear that the slightest failure to comply with a voluntary plan of care would result in mandatory action against them, they are likely to be less willing to voluntarily seek the services and support of a plan of care, increasing rather than decreasing the risk of harm to the children. Moreover, the family may be less likely to seek post-partum care and newborn care/well-child care from a pediatrician.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Mandated investigations in response to a family's failure to comply with a voluntary plan of care could result in increased filing of abuse/neglect petitions, creating a higher need for court-appointed attorneys, as well as out-of-home placements and the many services associated with removal.

No appropriation is included in the bill to address the potential for increased case filings. Though the additional work would be broken up around the State, I estimate that at least one additional staff member will be needed to absorb the additional duties.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

The discretionary provisions of the Voluntary Placement and Family Services Act regarding plans of care will remain the same.

AMENDMENTS