LFC Requester:	

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov

(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

	N I: GENERAL IN analysis is on an original		<u>N</u> substitute or a correction	n of a pi	revious bil	1}
	Date Prepared:	1/23/25	Check a	all that	t apply:	
	Bill Number: HB135 Original X Correction Amendment Substitute					
Sponsor:	Kristina Ortez		Agency Name and Code Number:		artment	Development
Short Fitle:	Housing Study Red LEDA Funds	quirement for	Person Writing Phone: 505-231-		Sara Gu Email	Sara.gutierrez@edd.nm.gov

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund	
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

House bill 135 proposes to amend the Local Economic Development Act (LEDA) (NMSA 1978, 5-10-1), making it a requirement that all local government economic development plans and comprehensive plans with an economic development component include the requirement that qualifying entities applying for LEDA funds conduct a housing study of the counties and municipalities to be affected by the implementation of the proposed economic development project prior to submitting an application for public support and that the findings of the housing study be included in the qualifying entity's application for LEDA funds.

The amendment also adds a definition of "housing study" as "a multivariable estimate of housing demand created by new employment, including new full-time economic-base jobs, expected to occur as a result of implementation of an economic development project; provided that the qualifying entity conducting the housing study shall not solely rely on a cost burden analysis. Essentially, this is a housing study that estimates housing demand created by new employment.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Housing studies can take anywhere from two months to a year to be completed, and the cost may range between \$10,000 and \$75,000 depending on the type of special analysis or data collection required.

The purpose of LEDA funds is to help a company expanding or relocating to New Mexico offset the cost of necessary infrastructure for the company to get up and running. Generally requiring a qualifying entity to conduct a housing study completely contradicts the idea of offsetting costs for a company to expand or locate in New Mexico. It is an additional financial burden, will delay a project's ability to move forward, and a requirement that will surely be detrimental to creating successful projects. The cost of a housing study in many instances, in the most part for rural projects, would be more costly than the benefit of a LEDA award itself.

In EDD's economic impact analysis for LEDA projects, companies are asked to provide their expected percentage of workers who will move to the state, county, or city for a job. This helps EDD estimate the expected number of people relocating to the area who will need housing. In our model we also assume that 10% of the workers moving to the area will build a home. This can be considered a reasonable estimate of housing demand.

Most New Mexico municipalities and many counties have LEDA ordinances in place, with the requisite economic development or comprehensive plans. This amendment would require all of those local governments throughout the state to revise their ordinances through the public process, which also takes time and would add to the burden of creating successful projects.

According to Golden Shovel (<u>Housing Studies: An Economic Development Best Practice That Leads to Growth</u>) using a housing study as an economic development best practice at the

community level does inform community leaders and stakeholders in their decision-making for investment of taxpayer funds into economic development projects, and are valuable assets for any community. A housing study can be used to demonstrate the need for infrastructure or to attract housing developers to a community. Housing studies for a community or region should be revised every 5 years. For these reasons, it makes better sense to find ways to support New Mexico communities with resources so that they may conduct housing studies to support greater local economic development efforts, rather than requiring local businesses to conduct and pay for studies that may or may not be relevant to the entire community or to their own expansion or relocation plans.

There are local government planning grant funds available for economic development and comprehensive plans through the New Mexico Finance Authority, and communities may qualify for up to \$100,000. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) grants through the Local Government Division of the Department of Finance and Administration may also be a resource.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

AMENDMENTS