AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

<u>AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov</u> and email to <u>billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov</u> (Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Date Prepared:	1/21/2025	Check all that apply:			
Bill Number:	HB 91	Original	X	Correction	
		Amendment S		Substitute	

Sponsor:	Reps. Ortez & Roybal Caballero	Agency Name and Code Number:	430 – Public Regulation Commission		
Short	Public Utility Rate Structures	Person Writing	_	Judith Amer	
Title:		Phone: (505)490-2	2696	Email jerri.mares@prc.nm.gov	

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

HB 91 introduces amendments to Section 62-8-6 NMSA 1978, to change rate structures for public utilities in New Mexico. The proposed amendments would break out in lettered subsections some existing and some new exceptions to the prohibition against discriminatory ratemaking as follows: A) economic development rates; B) rates designed to retain load; C) rates that reduce energy costs on low-income customers; D) rates designed to reduce or eliminate customer arrears or improve payment frequency; and E) energy efficiency programs targeting low-income customers under the Efficient Use of Energy Act.

Subsections C and D are the proposed new additions to Section 62-8-6. Subsection C seeks to reduce the burden of energy costs on low-income customers. In essence, these proposed amendments to Section 62-8-6 eliminate the statute's prohibition against discriminatory ratemaking to allow a subclass of ratepayers, those who are deemed low-income, to receive service through a special rate. Subsection D seeks to decrease or eliminate "participating customers" arrears or increase the frequency of "participating customers" payments via participation in unspecified "programs" and pertains to rates designed to reduce the burden of energy costs on low-income customers.

The proposed amendments authorize a public utility to seek approval from the NMPRC for a rate structure that subsidizes participating low-income customers and allow the NMPRC to approve utility rates that create a 'preference or advantage' for those customers.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

N/A

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

HB 91 does not define the term "participating customer" and therefore is not specific as to which customers it applies to. HB 91 implies this means low-income customers, but that term is also undefined.

As a result of HB 91, proposed new rate structures can be expected to result in a redistribution of costs among and within customer classes which will result in higher rates for non low-income customers. Ratepayer subsidization of low-income customers has historically been contrary to long established principles of fair, just and reasonable ratemaking and cost causation (i.e. rates are based upon and levied upon the customer that causes the utility's costs, not upon the income status of the customer). While low-income customers may benefit, there are equity concerns since the costs of subsidizing these rates will be redistributed to other non low-income ratepayers. This may be exacerbated for utilities that serve predominantly low-income areas.

All programs targeting low-income customers require accurate customer income data to verify eligibility.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS None.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP None

TECHNICAL ISSUES

A definition of "participating customers" and "low-income" should be added for clarification.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES N/A

ALTERNATIVES None.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL Status quo.

AMENDMENTS None.