LFC Requester:	Scott Sanchez
Er e requester.	Scott Sunchez

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

	ERAL INFORMATIO n an original bill, amendmen	i, substitute or a correction of	a previous bill}		
Date Prepared: 1/21/25		Check all that apply:			
Bill Number:	HB87	Original X Correc			rrection
		Amend	ment	Su	ıbstitute
Sponsor: Rep. D	ayan Hochman-Vigil	Agency Name and Code Number:	305 – New Departmen		
	onsensual Touching	Person Writing Analysis:	Van Snow		
Title: Clarific		_ Phone:	505-537-7676		
		Email: legisfir@nmag			
ECTION II: FISO				mag.gc	ov
SECTION II: FISO	APPROPRIA	TION (dollars in thou	sands)	mag.gc	
SECTION II: FISO		TION (dollars in thou			Fund Affected
	APPROPRIA Appropriation	TION (dollars in thou	sands)		Fund
FY25	APPROPRIA Appropriation FY	TION (dollars in thou	sands)		Fund
	APPROPRIA Appropriation FY expenditure decreases)	TION (dollars in thou	sands) rring ecurring		Fund
FY25	APPROPRIA Appropriation FY expenditure decreases)	TION (dollars in thousand	sands) rring ecurring	A	Fund

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurri ng	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

The Criminal Sexual Contact (CSC) statute, NMSA 1978, § 30-9-12 (1993), criminalizes unlawful and intentional physical contact with a victim's intimate body parts. Under current law, a defendant commits CSC only if he or she touches the unclothed intimate areas of a victim.

HB87 would broaden the definition of CSC by criminalizing any unlawful or intentional physical contact with a victim's body parts, regardless of whether the intimate areas are clothed or unclothed. It would also remove male pronouns found in the statute. Finally, the bill would slightly reorganize the statute by breaking the final sentence of current subsection (C) into its own paragraph. It does not appear that the removal of male pronouns or separating out the final sentence of subsection (C) would have substantive effect.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

None.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The failure of the Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor statute, NMSA 1978, § 30-9-13 (2003), to specify whether a body part must be clothed or unclothed has led to interpretive difficulties. *See State v. Notah*, 2022-NMCA-005, ¶¶ 12-22 (discussing the clothed / unclothed distinction when identifying lesser-included offenses); *State v. Trujillo*, 2012-NMCA-092, ¶ 22 (holding that causing a minor to touch an adult's genitals was a third-degree offense because "unclothed" in Section 30-9-13(B) refers only to the minor victim's body parts).

To avoid similar difficulties, the bill could modify subsection (A) to read:

"Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the <u>clothed or</u> unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's <u>clothed or unclothed</u> intimate parts."

	OTHER	SUBST	ANTIVE	ISSUES
OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES				
OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES				
OTHER SUBSTAINTIVE ISSUES				
OTHER SUBSTAINTIVE ISSUES				
OTHER SUBSTAINTIVE ISSUES				
OTTIER SUBSTITUTE ISSUES				

None.

ALTERNATIVES

None.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status quo.

AMENDMENTS

As noted above.