

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website ([www.nmlegis.gov](http://www.nmlegis.gov)).

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Sedillo Lopez ORIGINAL DATE 6/20/20  
LAST UPDATED 6/20/20 HB \_\_\_\_\_  
SHORT TITLE Study Model Law Enforcement Training SB SM 1  
ANALYST Rabin

### **ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY20	FY21	FY22	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
<b>Total</b>	No Fiscal Impact				NFI	NFI

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates House Memorial 3, Relates to Senate Bill 8

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

LFC Files

#### Responses Received From

Agency responses were not available at the time of this analysis.

### **SUMMARY**

#### Synopsis of Bill

Senate Memorial 1 requests the University of New Mexico (UNM) School of Law to convene the Law Enforcement Academy Curriculum Task Force, which is requested to engage in a collaborative process to review the current curriculum and make recommendations for improvement. The task force shall be composed of 17 appointees by the governor (in consultation with majority and minority leadership in both the House and Senate) and are requested to be made up of professors, law enforcement training experts, community members, and representatives from geographically diverse law enforcement agencies. The task force is requested to meet between the 2020 special legislative session and the 2021 regular legislative session to devise a plan for implementation of its recommendations and is requested to report its findings and recommendations to the governor, the interim Courts, Corrections and Justice Committee, the standing House Judiciary Committee, and the standing Senate Judiciary Committee.

### **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

This memorial is unlikely to have significant fiscal implications. The work requested of the Law Enforcement Academy Curriculum Task Force can likely be carried out using existing resources,

## **Senate Memorial 1 – Page 2**

but may impact the workload of public entities involved with the task force’s work. The memorial does not state that task force members are entitled to per diem and mileage reimbursements, although the members may be entitled to such reimbursements by their employers.

### **DUPLICATOIN, RELATIONSHIP**

Senate Memorial 1 duplicates House Memorial 3.

Senate Memorial 1 relates to Senate Bill 8, which requires certain law enforcement officers to wear body cameras and also makes some changes to the Law Enforcement Training Act (although those changes do not directly apply to the training those officers receive). If Senate Bill 8 is enacted, the task force established by this memorial may wish to examine training related to body cameras and relevant policies and procedures.

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

It is somewhat unclear if the “professors, law enforcement training experts, community members and representatives from geographically diverse law enforcement agencies” the memorial instructs the governor to appoint to “participate in a collaborative process to review the current curriculum and make recommendations for improvement” (page 5, lines 11 through 15) are the same appointees as the 17 members the governor is instructed to appoint to the task force “to review the current curriculum and make recommendations for improvement (page 5, lines 16 through 21). For purposes of this analysis, it is assumed these are the same individuals, but it may be desirable to clarify this in the text of the memorial.

ER/rl