

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 2/8/18
SPONSOR Campos **LAST UPDATED** _____ **HB** _____

SHORT TITLE Pharmacy Act Licensure & Registration **SB** 258/aSRC

ANALYST Chilton

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| Estimated Revenue | | | Recurring or Nonrecurring | Fund Affected |
|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | | |
| | See Fiscal Implications | See Fiscal Implications | Recurring | Pharmacy Fund |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases) *See Fiscal Impact below

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

| | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | 3 Year Total Cost | Recurring or Nonrecurring | Fund Affected |
|--------------|------|---------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Total | NFI | Minimal | Minimal | Minimal | Recurring | Pharmacy Fund |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Response Received From
 Regulation and Licensing Department (RLD)

Response Not Received From
 Department of Health (DOH)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SRC Amendment

The Senate Rules Committee amendment strikes the terms “manufacturers” and “manufacturer’s warehouses” from the list of those persons or entities considered in the definition of “wholesale drug distributor.” Remaining behind in the definition section HH are own-label distributors, private-label distributors, jobbers, brokers, distributor's warehouses, chain drug warehouses, wholesale drug warehouses, independent wholesale drug traders and retail pharmacies that conduct wholesale distribution.

Synopsis of Original Bill

Senate Bill 258 amends the Pharmacy Act (Section 61-11-2 NMSA 1978), adding definitions of “outsourcing facility”, “repackager,” and “third-party logistics provider.” The definitions are summarized as follows:

- Outsourcing facility – a single-address facility registered with the federal government to compound sterile drugs. (FDA definition)
- Repackager - perform[s] the operations a formulator would handle if the formulator were packaging the product into consumer-sized containers. (FDA definition)
- Third-party logistics provider - an entity that provides or coordinates warehousing or other logistics services of a product in interstate commerce on behalf of a manufacturer, wholesale distributor or dispenser of a product but which entity does not take ownership of the product nor have responsibility to direct the sale or disposition of the product (definition in SB 258)

Outsourcing facilities and repackagers are then added (in Section 61-11-9.1) to the groups requiring surety bonds for initial or renewal licensure. All three types of entities defined above are added to Section 61-11-14 to the list of types of licenses to be issued by the Board of Pharmacy, with a fee set for each license of up to one thousand dollars. The three types of facility are also added to those that might be penalized (in Section 61-11-20) by suspension or revocation of licensure if their state licensure or federal registration is suspended or revoked.

Several changes in syntax are made elsewhere in the Pharmacy Act that do not change the meaning of those passages.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

RLD, which includes the Board of Pharmacy, does not indicate a cost to the agency in licensing these new types of provider. In fact, existing repackagers, third-party logistics providers, and outsourcing facilities are licensed currently as wholesale drug distributors. The Board of Pharmacy does not anticipate changing the licensing fees charged to these types of entities, which currently are set at \$700 every two years.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

RLD indicates the reasoning for adding these definitions as follows:

This bill will allow the board to maintain oversight of these facility types in a manner reflective of facility operation; it will harmonize board licensure and regulation of these license types with recently enacted federal law.

In 2013, the Drug Quality and Security Act, Pub.L. No. 113-54 (DQSA) created a new category of drug compounders, termed “outsourcing facilities”. Outsourcing facilities engage in a type of manufacturing. The board licenses manufacturers, but, given the fundamental differences between traditional manufacturers and outsourcing facilities, a separate license class will help the board to maintain appropriate oversight.

The DQSA preempts a state from regulating a third party logistics provider as a wholesale drug distributor, and excludes re-packager from the definition of wholesale

distributor. The board historically licensed and regulated re-packagers and third party logistics providers as wholesale drug distributors.

This amendment to the Pharmacy Act is necessary and required to avoid conflict with federal law, and enable the board to maintain effective oversight of these entities.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

RLD states that, if the bill were not passed, “The board [of pharmacy] may face challenges over statutory authority to regulate these facilities, and will face challenges created by inconsistencies with federal law. Regulation of these entities serves to protect the health and safety of the public by preserving drug supply chain integrity; and enforcement of operational standards, including for sterile compounded products.

LAC/al/jle