Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (<u>www.nmlegis.gov</u>) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Ingle	e ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	HB	
SHORT TITI	Ē	Affordable Housing Oversight Duties	 SB	129

ANALYST Amacher

<u>APPROPRIATION</u> (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY17	FY18	or Nonrecurring		
	\$250.0	Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Mortgage Finance Authority (MFA)

<u>Response Not Received From</u> Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 129, endorsed by the Mortgage Finance Authority Act Oversight Committee, makes an appropriation of \$250 thousand from the general fund to DFA for expenditure in fiscal year 2018 and subsequent fiscal years to fund the oversight of the Affordable Housing Act (Chapter 6-27-1 NMSA 1978). Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of a fiscal year shall not revert to the general fund.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$250 thousand contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. As drafted, any unexpected or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of a fiscal year does not revert to the general fund.

LFC staff deems this appropriation to be recurring as the oversight function being funded is a continuing year-over-year expense.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The MFA highlights the significance of the Affordable Housing Act as an important tool for the state and local governments to create and preserve affordable housing. The Act is enabling legislation for an affordable housing exception to the anti-donation clause of the New Mexico constitution. The Act permits state and local governments to contribute public funds, building or other resources to create or preserve affordable housing. In exchange for these contributions, the Act imposes a long-term affordability requirement on publicly-subsidized properties. To date, as reported by MFA, local governments contributed nearly \$43 million in land and funding for affordable housing using the Affordable Housing Act.

The MFA reports that the oversight duties under the regional housing law are significant and include review and/or approval of: the appointment of regional housing authority commissioners; agreements and transfers or sales of property valued at \$100,000 or more; and annual operating budgets, audits and annual reports. The MFA plays an active role in providing technical assistance and capacity building services to the regional housing authorities, and that it cannot sustain these critical oversight and support functions without state funding.

As previously reported, the MFA has explained the continuing need for this appropriation:

As part of the regional housing authority reform process in 2007-2009, the state legislature designated the MFA as the oversight agency for New Mexico's three regional housing authorities. Because the MFA is an instrumentality of the state of New Mexico and receive no operating funds from the state, it has relied on annual appropriation requests to fund its oversight responsibilities under the Regional Housing Law. In some years, the MFA has received no funding for the regional housing authorities, forcing it to support an unfunded mandate with its technical assistance. This appropriation will cover the MFA's annual costs for oversight of the regional housing authorities, including provision of training and technical assistance.

The DFA has recommended in the past that both financial and compliance audits be done on the regional housing authorities, conducted in line with the New Mexico Audit Act. The DFA noted that with this level of review and analysis, the MFA oversight could prove meaningful in curtailing or eliminating past misuse of taxpayer funds. The MFA advised that it conducts annual on-site audits of each authority. The MFA also noted that it has no direct enforcement authority when funding sources are federal dollars, but it does review Section 8 and other programs while on-site.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Pursuant to the Act, MFA has adopted and overseen rules for the Affordable Housing Act since the Act's inception in 2005. In addition to oversight, the MFA provides technical assistance to local governments as they create and implement affordable housing plans and ordinances required by the Act. To date, the MFA has assisted 40 local governments with affordable housing plans and ordinances. The MFA assistance includes review and approval of plans, provision of affordable housing data, legal review of ordinances, and presentation to local government bodies. The MFA also provides grant funding to assist local governments with the costs of plan development and implementation.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The MFA has noted that as federal fudging for housing authorities declines, many small local housing authorities are consolidating under the state's regional housing authorities, which are rapidly becoming the sole housing service providers in some parts of the state. It noted that there were 26 public housing authorities in New Mexico, and those rated as troubled or substandard by the federal Housing and Urban Development Department (HUD) will likely request to consolidate with Regional Housing Authorities over the next few years. Without oversight, technical assistance and capacity building, regional housing authorities will not be equipped to meet the housing needs of the communities they serve and many rural areas will be left without housing services.

ALTERNATIVES

MFA suggests two alternatives:

- A preferred alternative to this bill is a permanent line item of \$250 thousand in the state budget to support Affordable Housing Act oversight.
- Oversight could be transferred to the DFA, a state agency which receives annual operating funds from the state. This alternative is not preferred because DFA does not have experiences with oversight of the Affordable Housing Act.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

MFA believes that lack of oversight, which protects against fraud and mismanagement, will place the regional housing authorities at risk and could lead to potential abuse of the Affordable Housing Act. Lack of technical assistance to local governments would reduce contributions for affordable housing and could result in less affordable housing development overall.

JMA/jle