

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes. Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 02/27/15
LAST UPDATED _____ **HB** _____

SPONSOR Smith

SHORT TITLE Raise Gas Tax & Create Road Maintenance Fund **SB** 656

ANALYST Dorbecker/van Moorsel

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue					Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24		
(\$65.0)	\$9,095.0	\$17,980.0	\$27,002.0	\$36,024.0	Recurring	State Road Fund
\$35,930.0	\$37,170.0	\$38,112.0	\$39,615.0	\$41,118.0	Recurring	State Road Maintenance Fund (New)
\$1.0	\$417.0	\$820.0	\$1,229.0	\$1,638.0	Recurring	Local Governments Road Fund
\$35,930.0	\$37,170	\$38,112.0	\$39,615.0	\$41,118.0	Recurring	Counties & Municipalities (New)
\$18.0	\$728.0	\$1,417.0	\$2,117.0	\$2,816.0	Recurring	Counties & Municipalities (Old)
(\$2.0)	\$392.0	\$774.0	\$1,162.0	\$1,549.0	Recurring	County Road Funds
(\$2.0)	\$392.0	\$774.0	\$1,162.0	\$1,549.0	Recurring	Municipal Road Funds
\$14.0	\$113.0	\$209.0	\$307.0	\$404.0	Recurring	Municipal Arterial Fund
(\$2.0)	\$16.0	\$33.0	\$51.0	\$68.0	Recurring	Aviation Fund
(\$1.0)	\$8.0	\$17.0	\$25.0	\$34.0	Recurring	Motor Boat Fuel Fund
\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Recurring	General Fund
\$71,822.0	\$85,501.0	\$98,248.0	\$112,283.0	\$126,319.0	Recurring	TOTAL

Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases

Conflicts with HB 58, HB 262, and SB 394.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Department of Transportation (DOT)

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 656 increases the gasoline tax by five cents per gallon from \$0.17 cents to \$0.22 cents in FY 2019, and increases the tax by an additional one cent per gallon each subsequent year for five years up to \$0.27 cents per gallon in FY 2025. The bill also increases the special fuels tax by five cents per gallon from \$0.21 cents to \$0.26 cents in FY 2019, and increases the tax by an additional one cent per gallon each subsequent year for five years up to \$0.31 cents per gallon in FY 2025.

The bill amends the distribution gasoline tax revenues to split new revenue between the State Road Fund and a new “additional” distribution to counties and municipalities to be used for county and municipal road maintenance and repair. The allocation of the new “additional” distribution among counties and municipalities follows the same formula as used for the existing gasoline tax revenue allocation.

Beginning July 1, 2025, the bill indexes these taxes to inflation based on the “chained price index” for nonresidential construction calculated by the United States Bureau of Economic Analysis. By April 30 of each year TRD must calculate the rate of fuel taxes to be imposed for the following fiscal year.

The new revenue from the special fuels tax is shared in proportion to the current allocations to the State Road Fund and the Local Governments Road Fund.

The effective date of the provisions of the bill is July 1, 2019. The changes in distributions of gasoline and special fuel tax receipts received on or after July 1, 2019.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The bill’s estimated impact of the fuel tax rate increases and revenue distribution changes takes into consideration estimates from DOT and TRD. DOT estimates the revenue impacts over a nine-year period to illustrate the operation of fuel tax indexing beginning in FY2026. According to DOT, fuel-tax indexing would tend to increase the applicable tax rate by one cent every year or two as follows:

	<u>FY25</u>	<u>FY26</u>	<u>FY27</u>	<u>FY28</u>	<u>FY29</u>	<u>FY30</u>	<u>FY31</u>	<u>FY32</u>
Gasoline Tax Rate:	27	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
Diesel Tax Rate:	31	31	32	34	35	36	37	38

DOT used assumptions for fuel consumption from the department’s Road Fund Outlook five-year forecast. The price indexing forecast is based on the IHS Global Insight Long-Term U.S. forecast of the Chained Price Index for Nonresidential Construction. DOT’s estimate for long-run revenue impacts is shown on Table 1.

Table 1.

Illustration of Long-Run Revenue				Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28		
\$45,045.0	\$45,045.0	\$54,067.0	\$66,977.0	Recurring	State Road Fund
\$42,621.0	\$42,621.0	\$44,123.0	\$46,138.0	Recurring	State Road Maintenance Fund (New)
\$2,047.0	\$2,047.0	\$2,456.0	\$3,275.0	Recurring	Local Governments Road Fund
\$42,621.0	\$42,621.0	\$44,123.0	\$46,138.0	Recurring	Counties & Municipalities (New)
\$3,516.0	\$3,516.0	\$4,216.0	\$4,916.0	Recurring	Counties & Municipalities (Old)
\$1,937.0	\$1,937.0	\$2,325.0	\$2,713.0	Recurring	County Road Funds
\$1,937.0	\$1,937.0	\$2,325.0	\$2,713.0	Recurring	Municipal Road Funds
\$502.0	\$502.0	\$600.0	\$697.0	Recurring	Municipal Arterial Fund
\$85.0	\$85.0	\$103.0	\$120.0	Recurring	Aviation Fund
\$43.0	\$43.0	\$51.0	\$60.0	Recurring	Motor Boat Fuel Fund
\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Recurring	General Fund
\$140,354.0	\$140,354.0	\$154,389.0	\$173,747.0	Recurring	TOTAL

TRD notes there is no estimated fiscal impact for the different fuel funds in FY 2015 through 2019 because the bill’s proposed increase in the fuels tax rates and percentage distributions will be phased-in beginning in FY 2020. To calculate the tax rates for gasoline and special fuels for FY 2020, TRD also used IHS forecast for the chained price index for nonresidential construction.

Using DOT’s most recent forecast (February 2014), TRD applied certain growth rates to estimate the gallons of fuel consumed in FY 2021 through FY 2026. The estimated volume of fuel consumption was multiplied by the proposed distribution percentages and tax rates to estimate the costs associated with the applicable and effective dates as described by the bill.

DFA deferred to TRD and DOT to estimate the fiscal impact of the changes to the gasoline, special fuels, and motor vehicle excise taxes. However, according to DFA, increasing the gas tax could decrease demand leading to reductions in distributions to the various funds relative to current law.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Fuel Tax Rates. The last increase in the state gasoline tax occurred in 1993, when the tax was raised from \$0.16 to \$0.22 per gallon. It has since been reduced twice to the current rate of \$0.17 per gallon. The special fuels tax rate was last increased in 2003, when it was raised from \$0.18 per gallon to its present rate of \$0.21 per gallon. New Mexico fuel taxes are lower than in surrounding states and lower than the national average (see attachment).

Because neither of these taxes are ad valorem taxes, that is, imposed as a percentage of the value of the product sold, there is currently no inflationary component in the rate. This has resulted in a reduction in the purchasing power of these two taxes over time as the cost of road construction has increased. Implementing an inflation adjustment on the rates of these taxes would maintain the purchasing power of tax revenues which together comprised 53 percent of state road fund revenues in FY13.

Road Fund. The state road fund is composed of revenues from gasoline tax, special fuel (diesel) tax, weight-distance tax on commercial trucking, vehicle registration fees, and other fee and permit assessments. In FY14, the road fund realized ordinary revenues of \$375.7 million, \$200 thousand more than FY13. DOT's January 2014 forecast update reduced FY 2015 ordinary road fund revenues, expecting them to remain flat when compared with FY14.

DOT notes the reductions in its revenue forecast were due to:

- Lowered expectations for New Mexico's economy;
- Mixed national economic news with an overall net negative impact;
- Lower than expected revenues at the end of FY 2013 and YTD in FY2014; and,
- A significant increase in tribal gasoline sales, reversing the trend vs. the past few years.

DOT also reported FY15 ordinary road fund revenues remain forecast significantly lower than their peak at the height of the US housing bubble. They are expected to be \$18 million below their peak FY07 level. Growth has been and is expected to be below long term road fund trends, now only averaging around one percent a year instead of the two-to-three percent growth observed historically.

The slow growth in road fund revenues is related to a plateau in gasoline tax revenue brought on by gains in passenger vehicle efficiency, fewer vehicle miles traveled per-capita, and slow population growth.

Special fuels tax and weight-distance tax revenues are driven by national consumer demand and tend to be closely related to the state of the U.S. economy. DOT noted the slowing growth rate of special fuels tax revenue is primarily due to increasing efficiency in heavy trucks.

Although the state road fund continues to grow, albeit slowly, the purchasing power of the fund has been significantly diminished as a result of increases in the price of materials and construction costs. DOT estimates that, relative to calendar year 2005, the purchasing power of the state road fund has decreased by more than 10 percent.

Declining revenues are further constrained by significant, long-term debt obligations associated with Governor Richardson's Investment Partnership (GRIP) projects. The total outstanding principal on transportation infrastructure debt is currently \$1.44 billion. In FY16, DOT will pay \$141 million in debt service. When the effects of debt service are accounted for, the purchasing power of the state road fund decreased 32 percent since 1999.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

According to DFA, the proposed gasoline and special fuels tax increases were likely indexed to the price of nonresidential construction to ensure road funds were receiving revenue at the same

growth rate as infrastructure and maintenance costs. However, DFA argues that constantly increasing fuel tax rates are particularly harmful for lower-income families for which gasoline is a large portion of consumer spending. Data from the Consumer Expenditure Survey from the Bureau of Labor Statistics notes that the lowest 20 percent of households spend 13 percent of pre-tax income on gasoline compared to 2.5 percent of the highest 20 percent of households.

DFA adds that wages and incomes for many families will not likely grow as fast or by as much as gasoline taxes, leaving families to spend an ever-larger portion of their earnings for gasoline taxes. Moreover, there is less accountability with an inflation-adjusted gas tax as taxes would increase every year without lawmakers taking action or the voters having any input. DFA is quoted as saying “Perhaps reflecting these concerns, Massachusetts voters just repealed the inflation-adjusted increase to their gas tax.”

DOT recently completed the first-of-its-kind assessment of all system-wide lane miles. The assessment used state-of-the-art imaging equipment to determine roadway conditions throughout the state. The results show New Mexico roadways are in significantly worse condition than previously thought: In FY12, 84.5 percent of non-interstate lane miles were reported in good or fair condition; in FY13, the assessment showed only 70 percent were in good or fair condition. Based on this assessment, DOT estimates FY15 highway construction and maintenance needs total \$866.1 million. The combined maintenance and construction budgets for FY15 total \$407.5 million resulting in an unfunded need, or gap, of \$458.6 million.

Maintenance Needs. DOT estimates total system wide maintenance needs to be \$266 million in FY15. The current \$150.2 million maintenance budget for FY15 leaves a maintenance gap of \$115.8 million. The maintenance gap estimate represents the per year cost of implementing a regular maintenance schedule for roads statewide based on current road conditions.

Because DOT is unable to provide maintenance consistently, roadways continue to deteriorate to the point of needing to be reconstructed at a significantly increased cost. DOT estimates the annual cost of maintaining a good condition road to be \$15 thousand per lane mile, a fair condition road costs an average \$180 thousand per lane mile, and a poor condition road may cost \$500 thousand to \$1.2 million per lane mile to rehabilitate or reconstruct.

Construction Needs. DOT estimates the FY16 need for construction to be \$600.1 million. The largest need is for roadway reconstruction and rehabilitation, which DOT estimated to be \$452.6 million. In addition to roadway construction, another \$147.5 million is needed to replace and repair bridges across the state. The current funding available for new construction is \$257.3 million, leaving a gap of \$342.8 million in FY15.

CONFLICTS

- HB 58 also provides fuel tax increases, and amends many of the same statutory sections;
- HB 262 also provides fuel tax increases, fuel tax rate indexing, and amends many of the same statutory sections; and,
- SB 394 also provides fuel tax increases, fuel tax rate indexing, and amends many of the same statutory sections.

TECHNICAL ISSUES


New Mexico's statewide transportation infrastructure network, 30 thousand lane miles of interstate corridors and U.S. and state highways, is maintained by DOT. Construction needs of the transportation network have increased as routine maintenance is deferred, expenses have increased, and maintenance has been performed less frequently. At the same time, revenue growth is not keeping pace with inflation, and debt service payments require a sizeable share of revenue. To ensure a safe, reliable, and robust transportation network, the Legislature and DOT must work together to identify efficiency gains and new revenue sources.

Does the bill meet the Legislative Finance Committee tax policy principles?


1. **Adequacy:** Revenue should be adequate to fund needed government services.
2. **Efficiency:** Tax base should be as broad as possible and avoid excess reliance on one tax.
3. **Equity:** Different taxpayers should be treated fairly.
4. **Simplicity:** Collection should be simple and easily understood.
5. **Accountability:** Preferences should be easy to monitor and evaluate

HD:PvM/

Gasoline Motor Fuel Taxes per Gallon as of Jan. 1, 2015




Diesel Motor Fuel Taxes per Gallon as of Jan. 1, 2015




History of New Mexico Gasoline Excise Tax

With Inflation Adjusted Series Since Last Tax Increase



NMDOT 2015-02: Inflation Based on BEA Nonresidential Construction Price Index and Consumer Price Index prior to 1961

History of New Mexico Gasoline Excise Tax



NMDOT 2015-02: Inflation Based on BEA Nonresidential Construction Price Index and Consumer Price Index prior to 1961