

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 01/27/14
LAST UPDATED 01/27/14

SPONSOR Neville **HB** _____

SHORT TITLE Additional 3rd & 11th District Judgeships **SB** 113

ANALYST Jorgensen

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY14	FY15		
	\$900.0	Recurring	General

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB 135, and relates to HB 141

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

Attorney General's Office (AGO)

Office of the State Engineer (OSE)

Public Defender Department (PDD)

SUMMARY

Senate Bill 113 creates two additional judgeships, one in the 3rd and one in the 11th Judicial Districts and proposes creation of district water courts within the 3rd and in the 11th Judicial Districts. The bill provides that the district water judge shall give water cases priority, and that the water judge shall hear other civil cases in addition to water cases. The district water judges are subject to the same nomination and election requirements as other judges, and must develop substantial expertise in the areas of water law and western water issues.

SB 113 includes an appropriation of \$900 thousand to the Administrative Office of the Courts for the new judgeships in the 3rd and 11th Judicial Districts

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The bill contains a \$900 thousand appropriation from the general fund to allow for the hiring of the additional judges and accompanying staff. The bill includes an emergency clause which would require immediate appointment of additional judges, but the bill does not include an appropriation to support the creation of the position in fiscal year 2014.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The provisions contained within SB 113 are somewhat duplicative. In 2004, the Supreme Court created a water court structure consisting of a designated water judge in each judicial district. The water judges are civil judges that hear civil cases in addition to water cases. The water judges are required to receive ongoing education regarding water law and regional water issues to ensure that they develop expertise.

In 2009 the Supreme Court designated a statewide water rights adjudication judge to preside over the adjudications in state court. The statewide adjudication judge provides centralized case management and refers specific matters to a special master as appropriate. The AOC has noted that this practice promotes efficiency because case activity is highly variable, and the adjudication judge can rely upon special masters when warranted by the case activity. This structure has promoted implementation of uniform procedures in all state adjudications, improved claimants' access to information, improved case efficiency, and has ensured consistent judicial decisions in the water rights adjudications.

The AOC states that, unlike local water cases that arise in particular judicial districts, adjudications extend geographically to hydrologic boundaries and therefore generally occur in more than one judicial district. Further, the Supreme Court determined that the unique issues presented by adjudications, including the exceptionally large number of claimants, the long duration of the cases, the essential function of court record tracking and analysis, and effecting meaningful notice and case procedures for all claimants, including unrepresented claimants, are most effectively addressed by a single adjudication judge.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

SB 113 contains an emergency clause but no appropriation which may result in the creation of unfunded judgeships in the 3rd and 11th judicial districts in fiscal year 2014.

The OSE has noted that, as drafted, it is unclear whether SB 113 will affect the current judge presiding over the water rights adjudications pending in each of these two judicial districts.

DUPLICATION, RELATIONSHIP

SB 113 is a duplicate of SB 113, and is related to HB 141 which creates five additional judgeships, four in district court and one in magistrate court.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The appropriation should be designated to the 3rd and 11th Judicial Districts rather than the AOC.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The AOC has noted that although there may be a need for additional judgeships in the Third and Eleventh Judicial Districts, they are not critically needed, not supported, nor included within the unified budget.

The General Appropriations Act currently funds four additional district court judgeships. Three out of the four recommended judgeships were created with 2 FTE and an appropriation of \$234 thousand each.