

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website ([www.nmlegis.gov](http://www.nmlegis.gov)). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 03/06/13  
 SPONSOR SFC LAST UPDATED 03/14/13 HB \_\_\_\_\_  
 SHORT TITLE Judicial Retirement Changes SB CS/25/aSFC  
 ANALYST Jorgensen/Hanika-Ortiz

### REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY14	FY15	FY16		
\$2,667.0	\$2,667.0	\$2,667.0	Recurring	General Fund*
(\$2,667.0)	(\$2,667.0)	(\$2,667.0)	Recurring	Docket Fee Funds*

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

\*See paragraph two in the fiscal implications section.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

#### Responses Received From

Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA)

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of SFC Amendment

The Senate Finance Committee amendment to Senate Bill 25 strikes the \$15 million appropriation to the judicial and magistrate retirement funds.

#### Synopsis of Original Bill

##### Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA)

- Suspends the COLA for FY14 and FY15.
- Applies a 2 percent COLA for FY16.
- Beginning FY16, applies a 2 percent COLA provided the funding ratios are above 70 percent. If the funding ratios are below 70 percent, the COLA is suspended for that year. The COLA may be suspended for no more than 2 consecutive years so that on the third year, a COLA of 2 percent will be applied regardless of the funded ratio.
- Delays the COLA eligibility period for future retirees from 2 full calendar years to 7 full calendar years, subject to a 4-year phase-in period.

Employee and employer contribution rates:

- For FY14, increases employee contributions 3 percent; from 7.5 percent to 10.5 percent of salary.
- Converts employer contributions to a percentage of salary rather than a combination of employer contributions and docket fees:
  - For FY14 for the JRA the employer contribution will be 28.72 percent of salary. For FY15, the employer contribution will increase 3 percent; to 31.72 percent of salary.
  - FY14 for the MRA the employer contribution will be 24.77 percent of salary. For FY15, the employer contribution will increase 4 percent; to 28.77 percent of salary.
- Diverts docket fees to the general fund to pay for employer contribution increases.

New Benefit Structure after July 1, 2013:

- Increases age and service requirements:
  - JRA: 60 years with 15 years of service credit or 65 years with 8 years
  - MRA: 60 years with 15 years of service credit or 65 years with 8 years, 24 years any age
- Lengthens the final average salary calculation to 5 years, from the last year in office.
- Lowers the annual service credit to 3.5 percent for both plans.
- Increases the vesting period to 8 years from 5 years.
- Increases the pension maximum to 85 percent from 75 percent.
- Adjusts proportionately the earned service credit under different PERA plans for a “blended” benefit.

The bill provides an annual \$2.67 million non-reverting appropriation from the general fund to the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, district courts, Bernalillo metropolitan court and the AOC for a portion of the employer contributions.

The bill contains a \$15 million appropriation from the general fund to immediately help improve funded ratios: \$11 million to the JRA and \$4 million to the MRA. The appropriation is divided across three fiscal years; any remaining balance at the end of FY16 reverts to the general fund.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The appropriations table above reflects an annual appropriation of \$5 million from the general fund over three years to help decrease unfunded liabilities in the JRA and MRA. As of June 30, 2012, the MRA is 53.2 percent funded and has a \$27.2 million unfunded liability. The bill’s appropriation of \$4 million over 3 fiscal years reduces the unfunded liability 15 percent. The JRA is 51 percent funded and has a \$72.4 million unfunded liability. The bill’s appropriation of \$11 million over 3 years reduces the unfunded liability 15 percent.

The \$2.67 million in the appropriation table is part of the docket fee-for-general fund swap. The bill proposes to appropriate \$2.67 million to the courts for employer contributions and transfer all docket fees generated by the court to the general fund. In FY12, the docket fees generated totaled \$2.67 million so that there will be no general fund impact so long as docket fee revenue does not decrease.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

The increased employee and employer contribution rates proposed by the bill are insufficient to fund the long-term pension obligations. The table below suggests that the changes proposed by the bill will leave the JRA 20 percent under the actuarial required contributions to achieve solvency. For the MRA, the proposed changes would leave the plan 39 percent under-funded.

FY12 Actuarial Valuation					
Fund	Actuarial Required Contributions (ARC)		Current Statutory Contributions	SB25CS FY14	Percent Difference between ARC and HB95CS
<b>JRA</b>	Normal Cost	29.61%	Employer	8.75%	<b>(20.68%)</b>
	UAAL	30.29%	Docket Fee	16.72%	
	ARC	<b>59.90%</b>	Employee	10.75%	
			<b>Total</b>	<b>36.22%</b>	
<b>MRA</b>	Normal Cost	29.44%	Employer	7.75%	<b>(39.02%)</b>
	UAAL	44.85%	Docket Fee	13.77%	
	ARC	<b>74.29%</b>	Employee	10.75%	
			<b>Total</b>	<b>32.27%</b>	
			Employer	28.72%	
			<u>Employee</u>	<u>10.50%</u>	
			<b>Total</b>	<b>39.22%</b>	
			Employer	24.77%	
			<u>Employee</u>	<u>10.50%</u>	
			<b>Total</b>	<b>35.27%</b>	

The increased employee and employer contribution rates proposed by the bill are insufficient to fund long-term pension obligations for the MRA and JRA retirement plans.

The replacement of revenues from fluctuating docket fees with general fund will provide more stability to the plans and allow for a more accurate actuarial analysis of the funds.

Both plans pay out more to retiree-members than they receive from members who are still working. As of the close of FY12, there were 42 actives contributing and 85 retirees drawing benefits in the MRA. In the JRA, there were 118 actives contributing and 127 retirees drawing benefits.

Given the proposed 3.5 percent annual service credit, the average district court judge (JRA member) who takes the bench at age 45 would be eligible for a full pension benefit of 85 percent of final average salary, or \$95 thousand annually, at age 70. The average magistrate court judge (MRA member) who takes the bench at age 43 would be eligible for a full pension benefit of 85 percent of final average salary, or \$67.6 thousand annually, at age 67.

The Legislative Finance Committee notes concerns that the assumptions used in the actuarial valuation, particularly for future investment earnings of 7.75 percent, may overstate the positive impact of the bill.

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

The PERA notes it will be required to make modifications to its pension administration system to administer the new benefits under the magistrate and judicial retirement systems.

**CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

SB 25 duplicates the House Appropriations and Finance Committee substitute for HB 95 and HB 169

SB 26 authorizing the PERA Board to require supplemental employee contribution increases and set annual cost-of-living adjustments, subject to certain criteria.

SB 27 implements the PERA board's pension reform proposal.

NCJ:AHO/blm:svb