Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

| SPONSOR | Gen | try | ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED | 02/02/12 | HB | 176 |
|------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----|-------|
| SHORT TITL | E. | Tax Credit For Cer | tain Business Investmer | nts | SB | Smith |

ANALYST

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| | Estimated Revenue | Recurring | Fund | |
|------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|
| FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | or Nonrecurring | Affected |
| | (\$2,250.0) | (\$4,750.0) | Recurring | General Fund |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 176 creates corporate income tax and insurance premium tax nonrefundable "new market" tax credits. Credits would be allowed in the amount of 39 percent of qualified investments, to be paid out over several years. The New Mexico Economic Development Department (EDD) will determine eligibility and certification for these tax credits subject to the following limitations:

- 1. The maximum amount of qualified equity investments that may be certified and upon which the 39 percent corporate income tax credit or insurance premiums tax credit may be calculated shall be \$200,000,000:
- 2. The maximum amount of credits that may be issued in certificates of eligibility shall be \$78,000,000;
- 3. The EDD shall issue certificates of eligibility amounting to no more than \$16,000,000 of aggregate credits for any one calendar year; and
- 4. The maximum amount of a qualified low-income community investment in any one qualified active low-income community business, on a collective basis with all of the affiliates of that qualified active low-income community business, that may be counted toward the certification of a qualified equity investment, whether issued to one or several qualified community development entities, shall be \$10,000,000.

House Bill 176 – Page 2

The investment must be made through a "qualified community development entity." There is an unstated assumption that the money provided by the entity awarded the tax credits is short- or long-term debt, and must be repaid with interest by the qualified community development entity. In turn, the qualified community development entity will loan the funds to stimulate economic activity. The qualified community development entity must use a minimum of 85 percent of the invested funds for economic development. Thus, the entity could retain as much as 15 percent of the funds for their reimbursement. For purposes of this proposal a "qualified equity investment" means any equity investment in, or long-term debt security issued by, a qualified community development entity that:

- (a) is acquired after the effective date of this 2012 act, at its original issuance, solely in exchange for cash, or that was constituted a qualified equity investment in the hands of a prior holder;
- (b) has at least eighty-five percent of its cash purchase price used by the qualified community development entity to make qualified low-income community investments in qualified active low-income community businesses located in the state; and
- (c) is designated by the New Mexico finance authority as a qualified equity investment not exceeding the annual cap.

The credit certificates are issued to mature over seven years from the date of the investment. The schedule is as follows:

| Year 1 | 0% | Year 2 | 0% |
|--------|----|--------|----|
| Year 3 | 7% | Year 4 | 8% |
| Year 5 | 8% | Year 6 | 8% |
| Year 7 | 8% | | |

HB-176 has a delayed repeal of July 1, 2019.

Effective Date: July 1, 2012

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

TRD reports that New Markets Tax Credit Coalition(1) estimates that the cost of NMTC to the federal government from 2003 through 2009 was \$6.053 billion. This amount was averaged to obtain the annual cost to federal government which was then apportioned to New Mexico based on New Mexico's share of population to obtain the annual NM revenue impact. This amount was used to spread the fiscal impact over the period under consideration based on the timing of issuance of certificates.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

While the NMTC statute requires that projects be located in census tracts where the poverty rate is at least 20 percent or median family income does not exceed 80 percent of the area median. In New Mexico, these definitions mean that approximately two-thirds of the state's area would be eligible for these credits. This raises questions about how well targeted the use of these funds will be. In addition, there are few limitations on the kinds of businesses in which New Markets Tax Credits may be invested. In the past, federal New Markets Tax Credits have been awarded

¹ http://nmtccoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/NMTCC-10th-Anniversary-Report.pdf

House Bill 176 – Page 3

in New Mexico to convenience stores among others; with a wide-open interpretation of what a qualified business is, this program has even fewer safeguards than the federal program. This raises the concern that the proposed credits would not necessarily be targeted toward investments with significant "multiplier" potential to improve economic development in New Mexico. This targeting could be partially achieved with a competitive allocation process, where projects could be ranked and awarded based on factors such as job creation. However, this bill promotes a first come, first serve award process. Due to the lack of targeting and competitive allocation process, this bill lays out all of the costs to the state without clearly defining what benefits the state will reap in return.

Another important point is that as with many economic development incentives, it will be difficult if not impossible to determine whether investments made using the proposed credits would have occurred in the absence of the credits.

Finally, it should be noted that the Economic Development Department is responsible for determining eligibility on all projects under the Statewide Economic Development Finance Act, including the federal New Markets Tax Credit Program. Because of this and the role of the Economic Development Department in the administration of Finance New Mexico, LLC (a CDE which would potentially be applying to receive state new markets tax credits), the EDD could be seen as having a material conflict of interest in running this program.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Recording, monitoring and tracking this credit will be manually intensive, requiring one half an FTE at a cost of \$40,000. Coordination will be required between the agencies to monitor the certificates and the decertifications and managing the reporting requirements and posting the amounts claimed and allowed to the web sites. A process for recapturing will be needed, but this could be done with minimal adjustments to the current system. A claim form will be needed at a cost of about \$2,000 to create. Monitoring the annual credit caps would also create administrative burdens.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

Page 3, line 6, the "or" between "revenue department" and "insurance division" should be "and".

Page 34, lines 19-20 and 22 refer to the New Mexico Finance Authority and should refer to Economic Development Department.

Also, there is a \$16 million cap on credits issued for any one year, but it should be noted that this is effectively negated by the "carry forward" concept which allows the taxpayer to use the tax credit in any of the next five taxable years instead of the current year.

Page 23, paragraph F, Partnerships do not owe corporate income tax, nor do owners who are individuals, so they may not claim this credit. This paragraph seems to say otherwise.

SS/svb