

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 01/26/12
 LAST UPDATED 02/03/12 **HB** 60

SPONSOR Begaye

SHORT TITLE Third Degree Aggravated Battery Change **SB** _____

ANALYST Sánchez

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY12	FY13	FY14	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		93.3	93.3	186.6	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB145

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

- New Mexico Corrections Department (NMCD)
- New Mexico Sentencing Commission (NMSC)
- Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
- Public Defender Department (PDD)
- Attorney General’s Office (AGO)
- Parole Board (PD)
- Department of Public Safety (DPS)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 60 would expand the third degree felony crimes of aggravated battery and aggravated battery against a household member to include batteries committed by strangulation. Strangulation is defined in the bill as the compression or application of pressure to a person’s neck or throat that obstructs the person’s blood flow or ability to breathe. Third degree felonies carry a three year prison term.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

According to the New Mexico Corrections Department (NMCD), the expansion of this crime is unlikely to result in a substantial number of new third degree felony convictions or in substantial increases to NMCD prison population or probation/parole caseloads. If the Legislature and

Governor choose to pass this bill in the interests of improving or enhancing public safety, NMCD estimates that it will result in a total of four new felony convictions during the relevant three year period, resulting in an incarceration cost of \$280,000 to NMCD. This is only an estimate, and it could be high or low. NMCD cannot control who is convicted and sentenced to NMCD's custody or probation supervision. There is no appropriation in the bill to cover these increased incarceration or probation/parole supervision costs.

The classification of an inmate determines his or her custody level, and the incarceration cost varies based on the custody level and particular facility. The cost to incarcerate a male inmate ranges from an average of \$36,700 per year in a state owned/ operated prison to \$30,093 per year in a contract/private prison (where primarily only level III or medium custody inmates are housed). The cost to house a female inmate at a privately owned/operated facility is \$32,408 per year. Because the capacities of medium and higher custody state owned prisons are essentially at capacity, any net increase in inmate population will likely have to be housed at a contract/private facility.

The cost per client in Probation and Parole for a standard supervision program is \$2,608 per year. The cost per client in Intensive Supervision programs is \$1,068 per year. The cost per client in Community Corrections is \$5,524 per year. The cost per client per year for female residential Community Corrections programs is \$39,149 and for males is \$16,805.

Any fiscal impact on the judiciary would be proportional to the enforcement of the new law and commenced prosecutions. New laws and amendments to existing laws and new hearings have the potential to increase caseloads in the courts, thus requiring additional resources to handle the increase.

There might be a minor increase in the number of trials due the perception of an increase in penalty for any battery involving choking. These would probably be absorbed in the ordinary course of business by the courts, DAs and PDD.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The Attorney General's Office reports the following: "The Domestic Violence Abuse prevention coalitions and entities have identified "strangulation" as a **significant lethality indicator** in domestic violence cases. Adding "strangulation" to section C(3) and in the definitions in new section (D) is intended to identify and recognize strangulation as a serious and potentially lethal form of domestic abuse.

See Violence: Recognition, Management and Prevention, Parts I through III, article in the Journal of Emergency Medicine, Vol21, No. 3, (2001), a study by Indiana University School of Medicine, Stanford Medical School and Office of the San Diego City Attorney.

See also: Jail Rare for Domestic Violence, *2 in more than 174 cases spent more than one week in jail, police reports say, Journal Santa Fe, article, Thursday Jan 19, 2012 quoting remarks from David River, co-facilitator with Santa Fe Coordinated Community Response presentation on Wednesday, January 18, 2012.

This bill attempts to clarify the domestic violence connection by amending section C of NMSA 30-3-16. Aggravated Battery Against a Household Member, by adding "strangulation" to the

enumerated types of aggravated battery and a definition which distinguishes the act of strangulation.”

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

The courts are participating in performance based budgeting. HB 60 may have an impact on the measures of the courts in the following areas:

- Cases disposed of as a percentage of cases files
- Percent change in case filings by case type

The NMCD’s performance measures should not be significantly impacted by the requirements of this bill.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The NMCD should be able to administratively absorb and provide relevant services to the additional offenders at current staffing levels if the bill only results in a few additional convictions.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

According to the AGO, it will be status quo. Failure to recognize a well-known and documented aspect of domestic violence could result in serious cases not being investigated or charged.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

Would it require medical testimony to convict?

Would it have an unintended consequence of making it difficult to establish without obtaining expert medical testimony?

Could be covered under existing statutes, if personnel were sufficiently trained to include.

ABS/lj:amm