Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

| SPONSOR    | Munoz                 | ORIGINAL DATE<br>LAST UPDATED | 2/22/09<br><b>HB</b> |           |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| SHORT TITI | LE State Lodgers' Gro | ss Receipts Surtax            | SE                   | 595       |
|            |                       |                               | ANALYST              | Gutierrez |

# **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

|      | Recurring<br>or Non-Rec | Fund<br>Affected |           |  |
|------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|--|
| FY09 | FY10                    | FY11             |           |  |
|      | \$18,459.8              | \$37,288.8       | Recurring | State Lodgers'<br>Education Fund         |
|      | \$11,075.9              | \$22,373.3       | Recurring | State Lodgers' Economic Development Fund |
|      | \$7,383.9               | \$14,915.5       | Recurring | State General<br>Fund                    |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)
Tourism Department (TD)

#### **SUMMARY**

# Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 595 imposes a ten percent "State Lodgers' Surtax" on gross receipts of a hotelkeeper who furnishes tourist accommodations to a renter. For this bill, tourist accommodation is defined as a hotel, apartment, apartment hotel, apartment house, lodge, lodging house, rooming house, motor hotel, guest house, guest ranch, ranch resort, guest resort, mobile home, motor court, auto court, auto camp, trailer court, trailer camp, trailer park, tourist camp, cabin or other premises used for accommodation.

This bill also creates a "State Lodgers' Education Fund" and a "State Lodgers' Economic Development Fund". The state lodgers' education fund will be a non-reverting fund in the state treasury and shall be administered by the public education department (PED). The state lodgers' economic development fund will be non-reverting fund in the state treasury and shall be

## Senate Bill 595 – Page 2

administered by the economic development department (EDD). Both funds shall be invested by the state investment officer in the manner that land grant permanent funds are invested and the income from the investment shall be credited to the funds.

Fifty percent of the revenue from the surtax will be appropriated to the State Lodgers' Education Fund, 30 percent to the State Lodgers' Economic Development Fund, and the final 20 percent to the general fund.

The effective date of this bill's provisions is January 1, 2010.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Since this bill becomes effective January 1, 2010, the effect on FY10 will only be half a year. For this analysis it is assumed that the growth in the accommodations sector will be 0 percent in FY10, 1 percent in FY11 and 2 percent in FY12 and FY13. Taxable gross receipts for the accommodations sector are estimated to be \$738.4 million in FY10 and \$745.8 million in FY11. With a surtax of 10 percent, the revenue from this surtax in FY10 will be \$36.9 million (half of a year) and \$74.6 million in FY11.

This bill creates new funds and provides for continuing appropriations. The LFC has concerns with including continuing appropriation language in the statutory provisions for newly created funds, as earmarking reduces the ability of the legislature to establish spending priorities.

## **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

Currently, local governments in New Mexico already have the option of imposing an occupancy tax on rentals of motel and hotel rooms, trailer camps, etc (see attachment). Receipts from the tax are used to promote tourist attractions and facilities within the state. The surtax proposed in this bill would be an additional 10 percent tax to those who may already be paying up to 5 percent on an occupancy tax.

New Mexico's municipalities and counties are authorized to impose over 4 percent of local option gross receipts taxes (that figure excludes several additional local option taxes that have been authorized for selected local governments). Due to increasing imposition of local option taxes, the statewide gross receipts tax rate is increasing steadily. On average, a local option gross receipts tax of about 2.16 percent will be imposed by local governments statewide by FY10. Combined with the state gross receipts tax of 5 percent, the statewide tax rate is therefore 7.12 percent.

This bill does not specify how PED or EDD are to apply or use the revenue provided to them from the surtax.

# PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

#### TD:

An increase in the cost of an overnight stay in a New Mexico lodging establishment could result in decreased visitation to New Mexico, a key performance measure for TD.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

#### TRD:

The proposal will have a small administrative impact on the Department. It will require new publications, revised CRS forms, revised CRS-Net applications, and distribution changes. These changes can be accomplished with existing Department resources.

The proposal will have a Low IT impact. A new location code will need to be added to GenTax CRS program.

- 1) Changes to configuration for the location codes and reports 40 hours
- 2) Changes to configuration for new transactions 40 hours
- 3) Changes to revenue accounting 40 hours

Total systems impact: 120 hours

### TECHNICAL ISSUES

The new "state lodgers' surtax" should be added to the list of taxes in Section 7-1-2 1978 NMSA that designates which taxes The Tax Administration Act applies to and governs.

BLG/mt

The Legislative Finance Committee has adopted the following principles to guide responsible and effective tax policy decisions:

- 1. Adequacy: revenue should be adequate to fund government services.
- **2. Efficiency:** tax base should be as broad as possible to minimize rates and the structure should minimize economic distortion and avoid excessive reliance on any single tax.
- **3. Equity**: taxes should be fairly applied across similarly situated taxpayers and across taxpayers with different income levels.
- **4. Simplicity**: taxes should be as simple as possible to encourage compliance and minimize administrative and audit costs.
- **5.** Accountability/Transparency: Deductions, credits and exemptions should be easy to monitor and evaluate and be subject to periodic review.

More information about the LFC tax policy principles will soon be available on the LFC website at www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lfc

# REPORTED LODGERS TAX RECEIPTS, BY CITY OR COUNTY -- FY 2008

| _                    |                 |               |              |                 |                  |                  |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Tax<br>Rate*         | City/County     | 3Q07          | 4Q07         | 1Q08            | 2Q08             | FY2008           |
| 5.0%                 | Alamogordo      | \$105,383     | \$102,461    | \$82,611        | \$119,707        | \$410,162        |
| 5.0%                 | Albuquerque     | 1,913,860     | 3,050,011    | 2,190,195       | 3,218,588        | 10,372,654       |
| 1.0%                 | ABQ Hospitality | 382,772       | 610,002      | 438,039         | 643,718          | 2,074,531        |
| 5.0%                 | Angel Fire      | 32,768        | 76,720       | 153,002         | 23,455           | 285,945          |
| 5.0%                 | Artesia         | 49,536        | 39,021       | 50,945          | 54,336           | 193,838          |
| 5.0%                 | Aztec           | 4,122         | 5,193        | 1,785           | 8,107            | 19,207           |
| 4.0%                 | Belen           | 0             | 40,762       | 17,359          | 19,896           | 78,017           |
| 3.0%                 | Bernalillo      | 32,784        | 31,574       | 25,789          | 31,890           | 122,037          |
| 3.0%                 | Bloomfield      | 18,089        | 18,187       | 13,256          | 18,064           | 67,596           |
| 3.0%                 | Capitan         | 1,774         | 1,508        | 780             | 1,226            | 5,288            |
| 5.0%                 | Carlsbad        | 181,314       | 149,738      | 147,885         | 205,962          | 684,899          |
| 3.0%                 | Carrizozo       | 2,525         | 1,897        | 2,963           | 1,892            | 9,277            |
| 4.0%                 | Chama           | 48,957        | 30,590       | 9,676           | 9,866            | 99,089           |
| 4.0%                 | Cimarron        | 6,495         | 6,465        | 2,652           | 3,771            | 19,383           |
| 5.0%                 | Clayton         | 49,499        | 34,625       | 25,826          | 38,720           | 148,670          |
| 5.0%                 | Cloudcroft      | 44,929        | 30,245       | 26,189          | 25,351           | 126,714          |
| 5.0%                 | Clovis          | 128,849       | 131,367      | 93,321          | 142,139          | 495,676          |
| 5.0%                 | Columbus        | 846           | 55           | -85             | 2,602            | 3,418            |
| 3.5%                 | Corrales        | 2,010         | 1,785        | 1,608           | 2,372            | 7,775            |
| 3.0%                 | Cuba            | 2,241         | 1,507        | 1,745           | 2,465            | 7,958            |
| 5.0%                 | Deming          | 109,113       | 91,994       | 99,170          | 103,991          | 404,268          |
| 3.0%                 | Eagle Nest      | 10,902        | 5,044        | 5,803           | 5,690            | 27,439           |
| 5.0%                 | Eddy County     | 24,854        | 12,097       | 5,469           | 15,488           | 57,908           |
| 5.0%                 | Elephant Butte  | 9,370         | 7,706        | 4,263           | 8,360            | 29,699           |
| 5.0 <i>%</i><br>5.0% | Espanola        | 28,619        | 19,634       | 22,595          | na               | na na            |
| 5.0 <i>%</i><br>5.0% | Farmington      | 291,951       | 330,487      | 265,128         | 317,056          | 1,204,622        |
| 5.0 <i>%</i><br>5.0% | Fort Sumner     | 7,566         | 7,191        | 5,599           | 7,484            | 27,840           |
| 5.0%<br>5.0%         | Gallup          | 285,611       | 265,447      | 250,448         | 262,536          | 1,064,042        |
|                      | •               | 19,385        | 16,057       | 13,644          | 40,911           | 89,997           |
| 5.0%                 | Grant County    |               | 91,439       | 74,593          | 96,391           | 370,767          |
| 5.0%                 | Grants          | 108,344       | 91,439       | 133             | 90,391<br>7      | 151              |
| 3.0%                 | Hatch           | na<br>477.060 |              |                 | 196,392          | 734,069          |
| 5.0%                 | Hobbs           | 177,962       | 187,280      | 172,435         | 842              | 2,889            |
| 3.0%                 | Hurley          | 534           | 156<br>5 105 | 1,357<br>2,937  | 2,796            | 15,626           |
| 5.0%                 | Jemez Springs   | 4,698         | 5,195        |                 |                  | 1,806,211        |
| 5.0%                 | Las Cruces      | 424,572       | 432,715      | 470,096         | 478,828          |                  |
| 4.0%                 | Las Vegas       | 94,929        | 36,060       | 33,122          | 107,047          | 271,158          |
| 4.0%                 | Lincoln County  | 28,832        | 15,243       | 10,694<br>1,695 | 13,941<br>3,099  | 68,710<br>10,284 |
| 3.0%                 | Logan           | 3,455         | 2,035        |                 |                  | 253,707          |
| 5.0%                 | Lordsburg       | 71,075        | 61,736       | 65,317          | 55,579<br>62,106 |                  |
| 5.0%                 | Los Alamos      | 76,588        | 53,446       | 42,914          | 62,196           | 235,144          |
| 4.0%                 | Los Lunas       | 35,840        | 16,002       | 12,981          | 19,154           | 83,977<br>66 310 |
| 5.0%                 | Lovington       | 12,326        | 22,520       | 13,802          | 17,662           | 66,310           |
| 3.0%                 | Luna County     | 559           | 1,402        | 1,040           | 1,037            | 4,038            |
| 4.0%                 | Magdalena       | 1,009         | na           | 3,817           | 1,478            | na<br>2 000      |
| 5.0%                 | Mesilla         | 0             | 0            | 532             | 3,348            | 3,880            |
| 3.0%                 | Milan           | 1,105         | 1,090        | 767             | 1,046            | 4,008            |
| 5.0%                 | Moriarty        | 43,745        | 40,438       | 25,514          | 83,421           | 193,118          |
| 3.0%                 | Mountainair     | 2,365         | 1,787        | 3,046           | 2,263            | 9,461            |
| 5.0%                 | Portales        | 23,001        | 23,623       | 20,380          | 23,798           | 90,802           |
| 5.0%                 | Raton           | 145,202       | 96,558       | 63,969          | 90,757           | 396,486          |
| 5.0%                 | Red River       | 173,756       | 67,776       | 146,749         | 88,561           | 476,842          |
| 3.0%                 | Rio Arriba Coun | 1,616         | 17,874       | 3,685           | 5,250            | 28,425           |
| 5.0%                 | Rio Rancho      | 96,718        | 101,685      | 85,114          | 108,789          | 392,306          |
| 5.0%                 | Roswell         | 269,794       | 224,221      | 218,134         | 245,088          | 957,237          |
| 5.0%                 | Ruidoso         | 455,793       | 256,105      | 292,295         | 219,660          | 1,223,853        |
| 5.0%                 | Ruidoso Downs   | 66,282        | 44,808       | 29,348          | 35,323           | 175,761          |
| 5.0%                 | Sandoval Count  | 5,816         | 4,422        | 3,060           | 3,435            | 16,733           |
| 5.0%                 | San Miguel Cou  | 22,677        | 8,869        | 1,042           | 5,897            | 38,485           |
| 5.0%                 | Santa Fe        | 2,639,724     | 2,578,055    | 1,502,666       | 2,069,389        | 8,789,834        |

| 2.0% | SF Conv. Ctr. Fi | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0         |
|------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 4.0% | Santa Fe Count   | 161,551 | 106,332 | 51,188  | 87,016  | 406,087   |
| 5.0% | Santa Rosa       | 128,150 | 89,520  | 76,635  | 109,174 | 403,479   |
| 3.0% | Sierra County    | 6,966   | 1,969   | 1,853   | 3,576   | 14,364    |
| 5.0% | Silver City      | 70,176  | 47,001  | 70,565  | 77,657  | 265,399   |
| 5.0% | Socorro          | 80,506  | 99,628  | 78,475  | 90,436  | 349,045   |
| 3.0% | Springer         | 5,435   | 608     | 2,707   | 3,935   | 12,685    |
| 5.0% | Taos             | 308,608 | 247,260 | 173,254 | 280,563 | 1,009,685 |
| 5.0% | Taos County      | 88,280  | 57,489  | 50,362  | 56,864  | 252,995   |
| 5.0% | Taos Ski Valley  | 12,448  | 7,234   | 180,454 | 90,738  | 290,874   |
| 5.0% | Truth or Consec  | 48,686  | 40,467  | 34,772  | 44,446  | 168,371   |
| 5.0% | Tucumcari        | 147,269 | 108,107 | 82,747  | 138,602 | 476,725   |
| 5.0% | Vaughn           | 17,308  | 16,734  | 16,885  | 18,269  | 69,196    |
| 3.0% | Williamsburg     | 1,265   | 1,324   | 597     | 1,304   | 4,490     |

- na Not available at time of posting.
- \* Tax rates as shown are effective as of the last quarter of data shown for that area.

Note: Local governments in New Mexico have the option of imposing a lodgers tax on rentals of motel and hotel rooms, trailer camps, etc. Receipts from the tax are used to promote tourist attractions and facilities within the state. The laws were amended in 1983 to include use of proceeds to defray operating costs of such facilities and to include performing arts facilities.

Source: New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration, Local Government Division.

Table prepared by: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico.