Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Trujillo	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	03/10/09	НМ	88		
SHORT TITL	E Alcoholic Beverag	ge Sale Pricing Hearings		SB			
			ANAL	YST	Francis		
<u>APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)</u>							

Appropr	iation	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY09	FY10		
	NFI		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to several bills – see below for details

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Memorial 88 requests that the interim Revenue Stabilization and Tax Policy committee (RSTP) to conduct a study of the wholesale and retail pricing structure of alcoholic beverages in New Mexico, the lack of fair competition in the retail sale of alcoholic beverages, and the effects of the lack of fair competition on the proliferation of alcoholic beverages. RSTP is requested to develop recommendations for specific legislation for changes needed and to endorse these changes.

The memorial cites the following as reasons for the request:

- There is currently strict regulation on sales, service, possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages
- There is regulation to prevent unfair competition for some trade issues but not pricing practices between wholesalers and retailers
- High volume retailers receive discounts and incentives from wholesalers which allows these retailers to undercut retailers who do not receive discounts placing them at a competitive disadvantage
- Since high volume retailers have a cost advantage they can sell more increasing the volume sold.
- Prior to 1966, there were regulations regarding pricing.

House Memorial 88 – Page 2

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no appropriation or revenue impacts.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

HB57/SB487	LOCAL LIQUOR SURTAX IMPOSITION & RATES	Allows additional counties to impose a local liquor excise tax
HB78/SB665	MALT BEVERAGES AS SPIRITUOUS LIQUOR	Increases tax on malt beverages
HB805	LIQUOR EXCISE TAX DISTRIBUTION ADMINISTRATION	Changes the distribution to DFA of local DWI fund
SB578	LOCAL OPTION HOSPITALITY LIQUOR TAX ACT	Allows an additional "on-premises" tax for locals
SB660	LIQUOR TAX FOR ALCOHOL DETOX & TREATMENT	Dedicates a distribution to Taos County

NF/svb