Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR		an, B	CRIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED		НВ	329/aHBIC
SHORT TITI	LE	Prevailing Wage R	ate on Public Works Pro	ojects	SB	
				ANAI	YST	Peerv-Galon

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY09	FY10	FY11	3 Year	Recurring	Fund
				Total Cost	or Non-Rec	Affected
Total		\$32,600.0	\$32,600.0	\$65,200.0	Recurring	Federal Funds/Capital Outlay/Severance Tax Bonds/General Obligation Bonds
Total	\$27,700.0	\$30,100.0	\$14,400.0	\$72,200.0	Recurring	State Road Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Public School Facilities Authority (PSFA)

Regulation and Licensing Department (RLD)

General Services Department (GSD)

Workforce Solutions Department (WSD)

Public Education Department (PED)

State Personnel Office (SPO)

Higher Education Department (HED)

New Mexico Corrections Department (NMCD)

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD)

Department of Transportation (DOT)

Associated Contractors of New Mexico (ACNM)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of HBIC Amendment

The House Business and Industry Committee amendment for House Bill 329 on page 5, line 17, strikes "and", and inserts the following: (2) the director shall give due regard to information obtained during the director's determination of the prevailing wage rates and the prevailing fringe benefit rates made pursuant to this subsection; (3) any interested person shall have the right to submit to the director written data, personal opinion and arguments supporting changes to the prevailing wage rate and prevailing fringe benefit rate determination. The succeeding

paragraphs are also renumbered accordingly. The amendment also clarifies that the definition "director" means the director of the division.

Synopsis of Original Bill

House Bill 329 amends the Public Works Minimum Wage Act by inserting new language in lieu of section (B) that currently requires the Labor and Industrial Division (LID) to conduct an annual wage survey by using the voluntary submission of data from contractors. The new language will allow the LID director to set the prevailing wage rates on public works projects by using collective bargaining agreements. The proposed legislation also clarifies, by statute, that fringe benefits are included in the prevailing wage.

The proposed legislation repeals Section 13-4-12 NMSA 1978 which defines the term "wages" and provides a listing of what fringe benefits are. The proposed legislation add a new section 13-4-10.1 NMSA 1978 which defines director, division, fringe benefit, labor organization and wage.

The proposed legislation also aligns the monetary thresholds for contractor registration with the Labor Relations Division of WSD and the minimum bid that is subject to wage rates by raising the bid value for registration from \$50,000 to \$60,000.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The fiscal impact of House Bill 329 is estimated by GSD, PSFA and NMDOT to be around \$137.4 million over the next three fiscal years (FY09-FY11). In FY10, GSD is estimating a fiscal impact of \$1 million, PSFA is estimating a fiscal impact of \$31.6 million and NMDOT is estimating a fiscal impact of \$30.1 million.

The Associated Contractors New Mexico (ACNM) provided a comparison of collective bargaining rates in New Mexico to Davis Bacon wage rates (Attachment 3). ACNM noted that the comparison shows an increase, on average, of 50 percent in labor costs when collective bargaining rates are applied.

ACNM also noted that the proposed legislation may significantly increase costs for NMDOT projects. On the initial craft requirements alone, the increase in cost is projected to be between \$14 and \$16 million. Additionally, ACNM estimates that as additional crafts are added in the future the cost increases will rise to as much as \$60 million. ACNM expressed concern that now is not the time to add additional costs to NMDOT, especially with the shortages of resources for the department.

State agencies have had difficulty determining fiscal impact regarding this bill, even to the extent of one agency submitting and retracting its fiscal analysis, due to not having the needed information to determine a dollar amount fiscal impact. PSFA reported they needed from Workforce Solutions Department (WSD) a comparisons of current wage rate calculations to collective bargaining agreements in order to able to calculate the direct fiscal impact. PSFA, GSD and NMDOT reported they requested this comparison information from WSD. However, the state agencies were unable to acquire the information from WSD.

The LFC requested, on behalf of the state agencies, current wage rate calculations compared to collective bargaining agreements from WSD. WSD reported that only NMDOT requested comparison information, and the department provided prevailing wage information to them. WSD noted it indicated to NMDOT that they do not have collective bargaining agreement information as that information is not provided to WSD and belongs to the individual bargaining units for dozens of crafts across the state. WSD did provide the LFC with a contact within the

New Mexico Building and Construction Trades Council that did have information on prevailing wages and collective bargaining agreement wages. LFC acquired the comparison information and forwarded it to PSFA and GSD for fiscal impact analysis (Attachment 1).

NMDOT submitted an analysis indicating that the proposed legislation would have a \$60 to \$90 million impact on the department's construction program. NMDOT requested that this be withdrawn since absent WSD data they could not ensure their estimate was accurate.

NMDOT stated the fiscal impact analysis previously issued by the department was withdrawn because it was based upon incomplete and flawed data as follows: the previous analysis was based on out-of-state collective bargaining prevailing wage rate information for the laborer and mechanic classifications, which is higher than New Mexico's existing prevailing wage rate. The New Mexico collective bargaining prevailing wage rate has since been provided by WSD. This information establishes that, while the in-state collective bargaining prevailing wage rate is, in some instances, higher than the New Mexico prevailing wage rate for certain classifications, the overall fiscal impact to NMDOT is less than originally calculated using out-of-state collective bargaining prevailing wage rate information. WSD has assured that there will not be a need to use out-of-state collective bargaining prevailing wage rate information to calculate the New Mexico prevailing wage rate as provided by the proposed legislation.

Based on the information provided by WSD on the collective bargaining prevailing wage rates in New Mexico, the NMDOT now concludes House bill 329 has a fiscal impact to the NMDOT.

In calculating the impact NMDOT makes the following assumptions based upon NMDOT's transportation-related construction activities: First, approximately 25 percent of NMDOT's construction costs are attributable to direct labor. Second, approximately 87.1 percent of this direct labor cost is comprised of wages paid to non-supervisory laborers.

NMDOT estimates its construction program over the next three years as follows:

	FY09	FY10	FY11	Total
State Transp Imprv Program (STIP)	162.0	162.0	142.0	466.0
Gov Richardson's Inv Partn (GRIP)	168.0	207.0	96.0	471.0
Am Recov & Reinv Act (Stimulus)	126.0	126.0	0.0	252.0
	456.0	495.0	238.0	1189.0

Based on NMDOT's comparison of the collective bargaining agreement wage information as compared to New Mexico's current prevailing wage information provided by WSD, NMDOT would expect to experience a net increase in direct labor costs, including fringe benefits, of 32.1 percent as follows:

Net Increase in Labor Costs for NMDOT Projects: Comparison between CBA rates and NM prevailing
wage rates
Assume:

32.1% increase as noted abo	ve
-----------------------------	----

FY09			FY10			FY11			TOTALS			
	Fed'l	State	Total	Fed'l	State	Total	Fed'l	State	Total	Fed'l	State	Total
STIP	9.04	2.26	11.3	9.04	2.26	11.3	7.92	1.98	9.9	26	6.5	32.5
GRIP	9.36	2.34	11.7	11.52	2.89	14.4	5.36	1.34	6.7	26.24	6.66	32.9
Stim	8.8	0.0	8.8	8.8	0.0	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6		17.6

	27.2	4.6	31.8	29.36	5.14	34.5	13.28	3.32	16.6			
			for	each of t	Ov he indica	verall Est	timated li given us	mpact to se of CB/	NMDOT A wages	69.84	13.16	83

NMDOT, however, calculates a 27.9 percent impact because NMDOT contractors currently pay more than the New Mexico prevailing wage in several classifications.

paid by Assume	Net Increase in Labor Costs for NMDOT Projects: Comparison between CBA rates and adjusted rates paid by Contractors over the prevailing wage rate Assume: 27.9% increase as noted above											
		FY09			FY10			FY11		Т	OTALS	
	Fed'l	State	Total	Fed'l	State	Total	Fed'l	State	Total	Fed'l	State	Total
STIP	7.84	1.96	9.8	7.84	1.96	9.8	6.88	1.72	8.6	22.64	5.66	28.3
GRIP	8.16	2.04	10.2	10.06	2.52	12.6	4.64	1.16	5.8	22.88	5.72	28.6
Stim	7.7	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.3	0.0	15.3
	23.7	4	27.7	25.6	4.48	30.1	11.52	2.88	14.4			
	Overall Estimated Impact to NMDOT for each of the indicated FY's given use of CBA wages									60.82	11.38	72.3

PSFA stated the total fiscal impact of using collective bargaining agreements to set the prevailing wages for public works projects in New Mexico is difficult to determine as it will vary by type of project and the categories of labor required to perform the work.

PSFA reported based on collective bargaining agreement wage information provided to the PSFA, it is estimated that there will be a 1 to 4 percent increase in the Type "B" – General Building classification of construction which is typical for public school buildings. Type "A" – Streets, Highway, Utility & Light Engineering classifications for projects such as site work, fields and parking lots at schools will increase by over 37.8 percent. Based on estimated annual expenditures of state and local school construction sources of \$500 million, there will be an annual fiscal impact of up to \$31.6 million.

Delta of Labor Cost Increase of 1%

Delta of Material Cost Increase of 1%

Public School Finance Authority Fiscal Impact Analysis

(\$ MILLIONS)

	Total	Annual Rate of Exp.	Est. Expenditures
Local GO Bonds Outstanding 12/31/08	1,239.4	15%	185.9
2 mill Levy (SB-9) Local Capacity 12/31/08	96.8	70%	67.7
PSCO State Participation (avg. FY05-FY09)	202.0	100%	202.0
SB9 State Participation FY09	20.0	70%	14.0
Legislative Appropriations (avg (FY05-FY09)	36.2	100%	36.2
Total			505.9
Inputs of School Construction		Ratio	Est. Expenditures
Labor		50%	252.9
Materials		50%	252.9

1%

1%

\$

\$

2.529

2.529

Ratio of Rate Type on Average Construction

	Project		re of Labor Cost
A Rates	25%	\$	0.632
B Rates	75%	\$	1.897
		\$	2.529
	Delta	F	iscal Impact \$
A Rates	38%	\$	24.028
B Rates	4%	\$	7.588
	TOTAL ESTIMATED IMPAG	`Т	

TOTAL ESTIMATED IMPACT

(Millions): \$ 31.616

PSFA stated in examining the data provided, it is important to note that there were examples of extreme outliers and many classifications of labor which did not have comparable collective bargaining rates. As WSD has stated that the current wage rates closely mirror the collective bargaining rates already, it is unclear how these current rates could have been calculated when some of the provided collective bargaining rates are up to \$5.81 per hour lower.

PSFA noted a 2002 report *The Effects of the Exemption of School Construction Projects from Ohio's Prevailing Wage Law* conducted by the Legislative Service Commission reported that the exemption of school construction from the State's collective bargaining method of determining prevailing wages had an overall savings of 10.7 percent . Also, a 1999 report from the State of Alaska estimates that using collective bargaining agreements in lieu of surveys increased rates of different labor classifications by 2 percent to 10.5 percent with an average of approximately 5 percent.

PSFA reported the true cost of the impact of the bill is difficult to estimate as it is not known how many contractors and subcontractors chose not to bid on public works projects due to the administrative burden of the process. Many small contractors lack to resources to comply with the requirements which reduces the pool of available bidders. Limited competition, especially in the rural areas in New Mexico, has greatly contributed to the huge escalations in construction costs. Between 2005 and 2007 costs for rural school construction in NM increased as much as 85 percent, while construction in urban areas rose approximately 30 percent. These figures when compared to other regional states which saw approximately 20 percent increases in construction costs over the same period would tend to indicate that some other factors are affecting New Mexico construction prices beyond the higher material and fuel costs that were universally experienced.

PSFA noted although recent bids have revealed a more favorable square foot cost for school construction due to the downturn in the economy and reduction in fuel prices, there has also been a reduction in revenues to fund schools which have severely impacted the State's ability to meet the \$3.6 billion in school construction needs.

GSD stated if wage rates as determined by collective bargaining agreements rather than a survey of prevailing wages results in higher wage rates, costs for capital projects managed by Property Control Division (PCD) could go up. Increases could impact projects in rural areas and small towns more than in larger cities.

GSD reported collective bargaining agreement data recently made available makes possible a rough estimate of the fiscal impact of this bill on PCD capital projects. PCD projects a 4 percent average increase in labor costs for a Type "B" - General Building classification, the most common project type for PCD. It is not prudent to try to predict future funding for PCD capital projects, however taking a five year average of appropriations gives a rough estimate to predict future expenditures. The five-year average of appropriations to PCD is \$53 million.

GSD noted a comparison of the collective bargaining agreements and WSD wage rates shows an average 4 percent increase over all job classifications. For a total estimated expenditure on construction only (no "soft" costs such as design, testing, etc.) of \$53 million annually, the estimated impact is \$1.0 million based on about 50 percent of total construction costs attributable to labor.

From 2002-2008 there is \$1.5 billion in outstanding capital outlay projects that are at least \$60,000 or greater. Based on the GSD and PSFA analysis of an increase of 4 percent for "Type B – General Building" and 50 percent of construction cost for labor, the impact of House Bill 329 on the outstanding capital outlay projects would be around \$30 million.

PED reported that school districts may incur additional bid prices due to increased operational overhead being applied to bidder. A reduction in the number of qualified bidder applicants may cause delays in the awarding of public works projects especially in the smaller rural districts. PED noted this may raise construction costs and result in funding and project delays.

WSD reported the use of collective bargaining agreements to calculate the prevailing wages would result in savings to the department by dispensing with the need to conduct an annual field survey. WSD estimates the saving would be approximately \$61,000 by eliminating employee hours and annual maintenance fee of software.

HED reported that higher education institutions have different reactions to the fiscal impact of the proposed legislation. They generally note the wages required under both the federal and state prevailing wage laws help ensure that talented craftsmen are employed on major public works projects. One higher education institution indicates that union and nonunion laborers have generally received similar levels of pay and does not expect a significant fiscal impact. Another higher education institution anticipates an increased cost from the enactment of the proposed legislation but indicates the exact impact would be difficult to determine.

EMNRD reported that the level of financial impact of the proposed legislation will depend greatly on the fringe benefit rate determined by LID. Fringe benefits for state employees are approximately 28 percent of salary. A reasonable working number for labor costs on a public works job is 50 percent of the cost of a project is labor expense.

NMCD stated the fiscal impact is minimal since the cost of benefits is currently included in the prevailing wage rates.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

GSD noted the House Business and Industry Committee amendment allows for other input from interested persons, to the director in making a wage rate determination.

WSD reported the House Business and Industry Committee amendment addresses "fairness" issues raised by the Associated General Contractors.

SPO reported the House Business and Industry Committee amendment restores authority to the division director of the Labor Relations Division of WSD in areas where the locality does not have a collective bargaining agreement in place, but still requires the division director to solely determine the prevailing wage and fringe benefit salary levels by the sole use of collective bargaining agreements where they exist.

SPO noted the House Business and Industry Committee amendment allows "any" interested person to have the right to submit to the director written data, personal opinions and arguments supporting changes to the prevailing wage rate and prevailing fringe benefit rate determination. This could significantly delay the determination of the prevailing rate. By allowing any interested person to submit information, the division director must analyze every additional submission (even if it is not factual and/or a personal opinion) to determine if it is credible and if it should be included in the analysis. There is the potential for parties or industry groups with self-serving interests to provide irrelevant, fabricated or biased information as fact. This could result in additional costs to taxpayers.

GSD reported that the threshold for wage determination was raised to \$60,000 in 2005. (Please See Attachment 2)

PSFA reported New Mexico is one of 32 states with prevailing wage laws. The 18 states that do not have prevailing wage laws include: Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, and Virginia. As of 2005 only four other states (Ohio, Mass., NJ, & NY) had adopted collective bargaining agreements to determine the prevailing wage. It is reported that over 90 percent of construction workers in New Mexico are nonunion. The WSD by rule uses the "modal" rate which is the wage most frequently occurring in the survey and commonly closely mirrors the organized labor wages:

Results of Different Formulas for Calculating Prevailing Wage Rates									
Hypothetical employee wage rates	Simple average (sum of diff. rates/# of diff. rates)	Median (middle number)	Mean (sum of all rates/total # of rates)	Modal digit (most common number)	Greatest number, but at least 40%				
10.75, 11.25, 11.50, 11.90, 12.25, 12.25, 13.50, 13.75, 15.04, 15.04, 15.04, 15.04, 15.04, 15.04, 15.04, 15.04,	12.46	13.75	13.48	15.04	15.04				

PSFA noted "fringe benefits" are currently included in the definition of wages in Section 13-4-12 NMSA 1978 which would be repealed and replaced with new definitions. It is unclear as to the intent as the definitions are similar. Noted differences are sick leave not related to the job, bonuses, profit-sharing plans, and other "authorized expenses incurred during the course of employment" which are not defined.

SPO noted the proposed legislation excludes outstanding contracts and invitations from its requirements. The proposed legislation also removes the flexibility and authority of the division

director of the Labor Relations Division of WSD and locks the division director into obtaining salary data from only one source. This could prove to be problematic in obtaining current and relevant prevailing wage data.

WSD reported labor organizations have indicated that conducting a full fledged field survey still results in a prevailing wage that is within three percent of those set by collective bargaining agreements. WSD noted the reason for this is that many public works projects are conducted by contractors who pay collective bargaining agreements rates, and these rates are submitted to the Labor Relations Division as part of the prevailing wage survey each year. In many instances, the collective bargaining agreement rate prevails in the field survey. WSD stated that the collective bargaining agreement rates already guides the rate of prevailing wages in the state.

EMNRD stated adding a fringe benefit rate to labor rates will increase the cost of performing public works projects statewide.

NMCD stated the prevailing wage rates could increase due to the proposed method of determining the rates. NMCD noted it is possible that if the wages and benefits are set too high, fewer contractors will bid on public work projects.

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials ("AASHTO") and National Cooperative Highway Research Program ("NCHRP") currently ranks the NMDOT third among the fifty states in its cost-effectiveness in delivering road construction projects.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

PSFA reported increases in construction costs will limit the number of awards made by the PSCOC to bring schools to the statewide adequacy standards and resulting reduction of the overall facility condition index of public school facilities throughout the state.

GSD noted an increase in construction costs will reduce available funds for "brick and mortar" in Property Control Division projects by about 2 percent.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

GSD stated the alignment of registration and bid threshold at \$60,000 will simplify administration of the wage rates in Property Control Division projects.

PSFA stated it is unclear how often the collective bargaining agreements will be negotiated and any effect on other classifications of labor that are not currently covered by collective bargaining agreements.

DUPLICATION

House Bill 329 duplicates Senate Bill 33.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

WSD reported that the Labor and Industrial Division's (LID) name has changed to the Labor and Industrial Bureau of the Labor Relations Division.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

SPO reported there are many unions that have agreements with public and private signatory employers in all areas of New Mexico. It is unclear as to how the prevailing wage rate will be determined if data is derived from multiple agreements in the "locality".

SPO stated that many organizations do not bargain for specific "fringe benefits" or these are not specifically laid out in the agreement. Therefore, it is unclear on how the determination of fringe benefits will be determined if the division director is unable to go outside of collective bargaining agreements. SPO reported the original language in the existing subsection B provides enough flexibility to the division director to obtain relevant data.

WSD stated the proposed legislation allows for adoption of regulation to further clarify the process in establishing rates via collective bargaining agreements.

GSD reported if the prevailing wage for public work projects were determined using "union" wages, workers might be more willing to work for union contractors or on government projects than for nonunion contractors and private projects making the latter less competitive. GSD also stated the proposed legislation could be challenged for violating the equal protection clause of the New Mexico State Constitution, Article II, Section 18.

NMDOT reported with regard to federal-aid projects, federal wage law and the Davis-Bacon Act both control. Federal wage law and the Davis-Bacon Act provide a minimum prevailing wage threshold and do not preempt a state's wage laws. Under the Davis-Bacon Act, governing federal public works projects, the prevailing federal rate is set by the Secretary of Labor. The Act requires that construction workers receive "wages that will be determined by the U.S. secretary of labor to be prevailing for the corresponding classes of laborers and mechanics employed on projects of a character similar to the contract work in the city, town, village, or other civil subdivision of the state in which the work is to be performed...." The federal and state prevailing wage rates may or may not be the same for a given job classification. Where the federal and state rates are different, and where a public works project is funded with both state and federal funds, generally the higher of the two rates is applied to a contract. With regard to the requirements of the Davis-Bacon Act, the proposed legislation has no impact on federal participation of NMDOT projects.

PSFA stated in regards to the federal Davis Bacon Act, there have been long-standing concerns raised as to the antiquated and unreliable process which utilizes "prevailing wage" for affected workers through surveys that collect data on wages and fringe benefits paid to workers in similar job classifications on comparable construction projects in the same geographical area which may not accurately reflect wages paid in the local area.

ALTERNATIVES

PSFA stated a statewide audit and cost-benefit analysis of the changing methodology of calculating the prevailing wage rates should be performed prior to enactment of the measures specified in the proposed legislation.

ACNM recommends amending House Bill 329 to exempt NMDOT from the proposed legislation in order to avoid additional labor costs. ACNM also suggests that if NMDOT can not be exempt that ACNM be the designated agency to work with Workforce Solutions Department to develop the transportation prevailing scales because of the unique aspects of the transportation industry.

Attachment 1

TYPE "A" - STREET, HIGHWAY, UTILITY & LIGHT ENGINEERING

Effective January 1, 2009

	1,200				
Trade Classification	Base Rate	Fringe Rate	Total	CBA total	Diff
Bricklayer/Blocklayer/Stonemason	13.24	0.26	13.50	Х	Х
Carpenter/Lather	12.23	0.44	12.67	29.34	16.67
Cement Mason	15.58	0.26	15.84	Х	Х
Ironworker	19.82	5.85	25.67	33.82	8.15
Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray)	16.13	0.44	16.57	Х	х
Electricians (outside)					
Groundman	26.73	11.37	38.10	38.1	0
Equipment Operator	29.55	11.37	40.92	40.92	0
Lineman/Wireman or Tech	30.14	11.37	41.44	41.44	0
Cable Splicer	31.32	11.37	42.69	42.69	0
Plumber/Pipefitter	19.00	4.20	23.20	37.33	14.13
Laborers					
Group I	12.40	0.35	12.75	15.69	2.94
Group II	12.70	0.35	13.05	15.99	2.94
Group III	13.10	0.35	13.45	16.39	2.94
Operators					
Group I	15.75	0.26	16.01	21.60	5.59
Group II	15.95	0.26	16.21	22.32	6.11
Group III	16.53	0.26	16.79	22.43	5.64
Group IV	16.55	0.26	16.81	22.51	5.70
Group V	16.53	0.26	16.79	22.58	5.79
Group VI	16.70	0.26	16.96	22.77	5.81
Group VII	16.75	0.26	17.01	23.15	6.14
Group VIII	16.90	0.26	17.16	23.38	6.22
Group IX	17.40	0.26	17.66	Х	Х
Group X	18.20	0.26	18.46	Х	Х
Truck Drivers					
Group I	13.29	0.26	13.55	Х	Х
Group II	13.49	0.26	13.65	Х	Х
Group III	13.69	0.26	13.95	Х	Х
Group IV	13.89	0.26	15.15	х	Х

NOTE: SUBSISTENCE AND INCENTIVE PAY DO NOT APPLY TO TYPE "A" CONSTRUCTION.

TYPE "B" - GENERAL BUILDING

Effective January 1, 2009

Outside Classifications Groundman 23.89 8.62 \$0.55 33.06 33.06 30.6 0		Effective J	anuary 1, 2009			1		1
Trade Classification State Fringe Rate Pringe Rate State S					0.1			
Asbestos Worker - Heat & Frost Insulator 26.54 9.71 \$0.20 \$8.45 \$8.45 \$0.45 \$0.65 \$0.61 \$0.62 \$0.23 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.20 \$0.23 \$0.20 \$0.2	Totale Classification	Dana Bata	Frience Data			T-4-1	CD A	D:#
Insulator 26.54 9.71 \$0.20 \$8.45 \$3.45 \$0.20 \$8.65 \$3.45 \$0.20 \$8.65 \$3.65 \$0.20 \$2.30 \$0.20 \$2.23 \$0.20 \$0.20 \$2.23 \$0.20 \$		Base Rate	Fringe Rate	р	incentive Rates	Total	CBA	DIII
Bileclayer/Blocklayer/Stonemason 22.30 6.00 \$0.62 28.29 28.22 0.63		26.54	0.71	\$0.20		26.45	26.45	0
Bricklayer/Blocklayer/Stonemason 22.30 6.00 \$0.62 28.29 28.92 0.83								
Carpenter/Lather	Dollermaker	10.40	3.70	Ψ0.20		22.50	^	^
Carpenter/Lather	Bricklaver/Blocklaver/Stonemason	22 30	6.00	\$0.62		28 29	28 92	0.63
Cament Mason								
Count Coun								
Dutside Classifications	Electricians			40.0.				
Groundman								
Equipment Operator 26.71 8.62 \$0.55 35.88 35.88 0.0		23.89	8.62	\$0.55		33.06	33.06	0
Lineman/Tech	Equipment Operator	26.71				35.88		
Cable Splicer								
Sound Classifications								
Cable Splicer	Inside Classifications							
Cable Splicer	Wireman/Technician	27.30	8.31	\$0.54	Refer to Note 1	36.15	36.15	0
Sound Classifications								
Installer								
Technician		22.06	8.06	\$0.23		30.35	х	х
Soundman	Technician							х
Elevator Constructor	Soundman	25.68	8.06	\$0.23		33.97	х	х
Glazier 20.15 4.03 \$0.34 24.52 25.25 0.73 Ironworker 23.65 9.68 \$0.53 Refer to Note 2 33.86 33.82 -0.04 Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray) 16.60 4.00 \$0.35 20.95 21.05 0.10 0.10 Paper Hanger 19.71 8.42 \$0.35 28.48 x x x x x x x x x	Elevator Constructor	28.30	12.96			41.81	х	х
Ironworker 23.65 9.68 \$0.53 Refer to Note 2 33.86 33.82 -0.04 Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray) 16.60 4.00 \$0.35 2.95 2.105 0.10 Alexandro	Elevator Constructor Helper	15.55	3.56	\$0.25		19.36	х	х
Painter (Brush/Rolleri/Spray)	Glazier	20.15	4.03	\$0.34		24.52	25.25	0.73
Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray) 16.60 4.00 \$0.35 20.95 21.05 0.10 Paper Hanger 19.71 8.42 \$0.35 28.48 x Drywall Finisher/Taper 20.60 4.03 \$0.35 24.98 26.08 1.10 Plasterer 18.65 6.80 \$0.35 24.98 26.08 1.10 Plasterer 18.65 6.80 \$0.35 24.98 26.08 1.10 Plasterer 18.65 6.80 \$0.35 25.80 27.54 1.74 Plumber/Pipefitter 27.14 10.19 \$0.70 Refer to Note 3 38.03 37.96 -0.07 Roofer 15.18 0.50 \$0.53 16.21 x Sheetmetal Worker 25.35 13.82 \$0.52 Refer to Note 4 39.69 x Sheetmetal Worker 25.35 13.82 \$0.52 Refer to Note 4 39.69 x Sprinkler Fitter 22.58 11.62 \$0.26 34.46 41.25 6.79 Tile Setter 18.92 1.16 \$0.00 20.08 x Tile Setter 18.92 1.16 \$0.00 20.08 x Tile Setter 14.02 1.02 \$0.00 15.04 x Laborers	Ironworker				Refer to Note 2			-0.04
Paper Hanger	Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray)	16.60	4.00	\$0.35		20.95	21.05	0.10
Drywall Finisher/Taper 20.60		19.71	8.42	\$0.35		28.48	х	х
Plasterer	Drywall Finisher/Taper	20.60	4.03	\$0.35		24.98	26.08	1.10
Plumber/Pipefitter								
Roofer					Refer to Note 3			
Sheetmetal Worker 25.35 13.82 \$0.52 Refer to Note 4 39.69 x Soft Floor Layer 18.78 4.54 \$0.35 23.67 25.07 1.40 Sprinkler Fitter 22.58 11.62 \$0.26 34.46 41.25 6.79 I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter 18.92 1.16 \$0.00 20.08 x x X I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter 18.92 1.16 \$0.00 20.08 x x X I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter I1.62 Sprinkler I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter I1.62 Sprinkler Fitter I1.62 Sprinkler I1.62 Sprinkl	Roofer							
Soft Floor Layer	Sheetmetal Worker				Refer to Note 4			х
Tile Setter								1.40
Tile Setter	Sprinkler Fitter	22.58	11.62	\$0.26		34.46	41.25	6.79
Comp	Tile Setter	18.92	1.16	\$0.00		20.08	х	х
Group I 14.16 4.12 \$0.27 18.55 20.65 2.10 Group III 14.73 4.12 \$0.27 19.12 21.30 2.18 Group III 15.03 4.12 \$0.27 19.42 22.27 2.85 Group IV 15.13 4.12 \$0.27 19.52 24.50 4.85 Group V 15.33 4.12 \$0.27 19.72 x x x Group VI 15.48 4.12 \$0.27 19.87 x x x C Operators 19.64 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group III 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group III 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.18 27.15 0.97 Group III 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.26 27.23 0.97 Group IV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group IV 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.38 27.	Tile Setter Helper	14.02	1.02	\$0.00		15.04	х	х
Group II	Laborers							
Group III	Group I	14.16	4.12	\$0.27		18.55	20.65	2.10
Group IV 15.13 4.12 \$0.27 19.52 24.50 4.98 Group V 15.33 4.12 \$0.27 19.72 x x Group VI 15.48 4.12 \$0.27 19.87 x x Operators Group I 19.64 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group II 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.18 27.15 0.97 Group III 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.26 27.23 0.97 Group IV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group V 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.55 0.97 Group VII 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.55 0.97 Group VI	Group II	14.73	4.12	\$0.27		19.12	21.30	2.18
Group V 15.33 4.12 \$0.27 19.72 x x Group VI 15.48 4.12 \$0.27 19.87 x x Operators Group I 19.64 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group II 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.18 27.15 0.97 Group III 20.76 4.95 \$0.55 26.26 27.23 0.97 Group IIV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group V 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.38 27.35 0.97 Group VII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VIII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.58 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 22.16 4.95 \$0.55 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck	Group III	15.03	4.12	\$0.27		19.42	22.27	2.85
Group VI 15.48 4.12 \$0.27 19.87 x x Operators Group I 19.64 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group II 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.18 27.15 0.97 Group III 20.76 4.95 \$0.55 26.26 27.23 0.97 Group IV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group V 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.38 27.35 0.97 Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VIII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VIII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.88 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 22.16 4.95 \$0.55 26.88 27.55 0.97	Group IV	15.13	4.12	\$0.27		19.52	24.50	4.98
Operators 19.64 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group II 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.18 27.15 0.97 Group III 20.76 4.95 \$0.55 26.26 27.23 0.97 Group IV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group V 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.38 27.35 0.97 Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VIII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.58 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 22.16 4.95 \$0.55 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck Drivers 77truck Drivers 77fee 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.2	Group V	15.33		\$0.27				х
Group I 19.64 4.95 \$0.55 25.14 26.11 0.97 Group III 20.68 4.95 \$0.55 26.18 27.15 0.97 Group III 20.76 4.95 \$0.55 26.26 27.23 0.97 Group IV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97 Group V 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.38 27.35 0.97 Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.58 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck Drivers 7.06 28.63 0.97 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck Drivers 8.550 \$0.35 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III	Group VI	15.48	4.12	\$0.27		19.87	х	х
Group II								
Group III								
Group IV 20.82 4.95 \$0.55 26.32 27.29 0.97								
Group V 20.88 4.95 \$0.55 26.38 27.35 0.97 Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 26.58 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 22.16 4.95 \$0.55 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck Drivers Group I 18.88 5.50 \$0.35 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.28 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82								
Group VI 20.98 4.95 \$0.55 26.48 27.45 0.97 Group VII 21.08 4.95 \$0.55 25.88 27.55 0.97 Group VIII 22.16 4.95 \$0.55 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck Drivers Group I 18.88 5.50 \$0.35 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group III 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.13 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.21 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.28 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82								
Group VII								
Group VIII 22.16 4.95 \$0.55 27.66 28.63 0.97 Truck Drivers Group I 18.88 5.50 \$0.35 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.23 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.48 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Truck Drivers Group I 18.88 5.50 \$0.35 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.23 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group I 18.88 5.50 \$0.35 24.73 20.82 -3.91 Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.21 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.88 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.24 20.82 -4.62		22.16	4.95	\$0.55		27.66	28.63	0.97
Group II 19.00 5.50 \$0.35 24.85 20.82 -4.03 Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.23 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.82 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group III 19.08 5.50 \$0.35 24.93 20.82 -4.11 Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.23 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82 -4.48 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group IV 19.20 5.50 \$0.35 25.05 20.82 -4.23 Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82 -4.48 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group V 19.25 5.50 \$0.35 25.10 20.82 -4.28 Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VIII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82 -4.48 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.48								
Group VI 19.35 5.50 \$0.35 25.20 20.82 -4.38 Group VII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82 -4.48 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group VII 19.45 5.50 \$0.35 25.30 20.82 -4.48 Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group VIII 19.59 5.50 \$0.35 25.44 20.82 -4.62								
Group IX 19.74 5.50 \$0.35 25.59 20.82 -4.77								
	Group IX	19.74	5.50	\$0.35		25.59	20.82	-4.77

NOTE: SUBSISTENCE AND INCENTIVE RATES BY TRADE & LOCATION

- #1 Inside Electricians working at a Los Alamos County job site get \$4.10/hr. subsistence pay plus base/fringe.
- #1 Inside Electricians working at a Lea County job site get \$75.00/day subsistence pay plus
- #2 Ironworkers working on projects 50+ miles over the most direct regularly traveled route from Albuquerque, or the employee's home, whichever is closer, shall be paid \$5.00/hr. subsistence plus base/fringe. The "Big I" Interchange in Albuquerque, or the employee's home, respectively shall be used as basing points. The current State of New Mexico Official Highway Map shall be the reference for routes and distances. All of Santa Fe County shall be \$2.00/hr subsistence area.
- #3 Plumbers/Pipefitters working at a Los Alamos County job site get \$.80/hr. incentive pay plus
- ${\it \#4-Sheet Metal Workers working at a Los Alamos County job site get \$2.00/hr.\ incentive pay plus}$
- #4 Sheet Metal Workers living 60+ miles from a San Juan County job site get \$3.00/hr. subsistence pay plus base/fringe.
- #4 Sheet Metal Workers working 90+ miles from contractors homebase & employees home get \$50.00/day subsistence pay plus base/finge.

Attachment 1

TYPE "C" - RESIDENTIAL

Effective January 1, 2009

Effective January 1, 2009						
Trade Classification	Base Rate	Fringe Rate	Apprenticeship	Total	СВА	Diff
Asbestos Worker - Heat & Frost Insulator	\$18.97	\$3.02	\$0.20	\$22.19	36.45	14.26
Boilermaker	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		Х
Bricklayer/Blocklayer/Stonemasor	\$18.00	\$4.76	\$0.25	\$23.01		Х
Carpenter/Lather	\$20.86	\$6.25	\$0.35	\$27.46	29.69	2.23
Millwright/ Piledriver	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	32.74	32.74
Cement Mason	\$17.60	\$4.91	\$0.25	\$22.76	27.15	4.39
Electricians Outside Classifications						
Groundman	\$24.46	\$10.88	\$0.28	\$35.62	35.62	0
Equipment Operator	\$27.28	\$10.88	\$0.28	\$38.44	38.44	0
Lineman/Technician	\$27.87	\$10.88	\$0.28	\$39.03	39.03	0
Cable Splicer	\$29.05	\$10.88	\$0.28	\$40.21	40.21	0
Inside Classifications	* 45.00	A 0.00	00.40	010.10	10.10	
Wireman/Tech	\$15.00	\$3.00	\$0.19	\$18.19	13.19	-5.00
Cable Splicer Sound Classifications	\$16.73	\$3.00	\$0.19	\$19.92	14.92	-5.00
Installer	¢10.00	¢1 01	00.00	¢11.01	v	
Technician	\$10.00 \$11.55	\$1.01 \$1.01	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$11.01 \$12.56		X X
Soundman	\$13.62	\$1.01	\$0.00	\$14.63		X
Elevator Constructor	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		X
Elevator Constructor Helpei	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		X
Glazier	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	25.18	25.18
Ironworker	\$18.42	\$6.35	\$0.48	\$25.25	33.82	8.57
Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray)	\$9.79	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9.79	16.60	6.81
Paperhanger	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	17.60	17.6
Drywall Finisher	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	22.96	22.96
Plasterer	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Х	Х
Plumber/Pipefitter	\$24.87	\$8.97	\$0.15	\$33.99	26.08	-7.91
Roofer	\$9.37	\$1.93	\$0.00	\$11.30		Х
Sheet Metal Worker	\$24.13	\$11.49	\$0.54	\$36.16	39.59	3.43
Softfloor Layer	\$19.17	\$4.78	\$0.35	\$24.30	24.97	0.67
Sprinkler Fitter	\$24.00	\$2.09	\$0.10	\$26.19	23.82	-2.37
Tile Setter	\$9.88	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9.88		X
Tile Setter Helper Operators	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Х	Х
Group I	\$13.63	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$16.40	v	х
Group II	\$14.67	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$17.44		X
Group III	\$14.75	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$17.52		X
Group IV	\$14.81	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$17.58		X
Group V	\$14.87	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$17.64		X
Group VI	\$14.97	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$17.74		Х
Group VII	\$15.07	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$17.84	х	х
Group VIII	\$16.15	\$2.47	\$0.30	\$18.92	Х	Х
Laborers						
Group I	\$12.60	\$4.12	\$0.26	\$16.98	19.11	2.13
Group II	\$13.17	\$4.12	\$0.26	\$17.55	20.01	2.46
Group III	\$13.47	\$4.12	\$0.26	\$17.85	20.96	3.11
Group IV	\$13.57	\$4.12	\$0.26	\$17.95	21.81	3.86
Group VI	\$13.77 \$13.92	\$4.12 \$4.12	\$0.26 \$0.26	\$18.15 \$18.30		X X
Truck Drivers	φ13.92	Ψ4.12	φ0.20	φ10.30	^	^
Group I	\$14.88	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14.88	х	х
Group II	\$15.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.00		х
Group III	\$15.08	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.08		х
Group IV	\$15.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.20	х	х
Group V	\$15.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.25	Х	Х
Group VI	\$15.35	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.35	Х	Х
Group VII	\$15.45	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.45		Х
Group VIII	\$15.59	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.59		Х
Group IX	\$15.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.74	Х	Х

NOTE: SUBSISTENCE AND INCENTIVE PAY DO NOT APPLY TO TYPE "C" CONSTRUCTION.

TYPE "H" - HEAVY ENGINEERING

Effective January 1, 2009

Encouve o	anuary 1, 200s		Apprenticeshi			
Trade Classification	Base Rate	Fringe Rate	р	Total	СВА	Diff
Asbestos Worker - Heat & Frost Insulator	26.54	9.71	0.20	36.45	36.45	0
Boilermaker	18.50	3.31	0.56	22.37	х	х
Bricklayer/Blocklayer/StoneMason	20.78	4.73	0.54	26.05	26.05	0
Carpenter/Lather	22.94	6.06	0.35	29.35	29.69	0.34
Millwright/Piledriver	26.38	5.96	0.40	32.74	33.18	0.44
Cement Mason	20.85	7.10	0.00	27.95	27.15	-0.80
Electricians						
Outside Classifications						
Groundman	23.89	10.55	0.55	34.99	34.99	0
Equipment Operator	26.71	10.55	0.55	37.81		0
Lineman/Tech	27.30	10.55	0.55	38.40		0
Cable Splicer	28.48	10.55	0.55	39.58		0
Inside Classifications						
Wireman/Tech	27.30	8.62	0.54	36.46	36.46	0
Cable Splicer	29.03	8.62	0.54	38.19		0
Sound Classifications	25.00	0.02	0.04	00.10	00.10	·
Installer	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	v	х
Technician	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	†	x
Soundman	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	†	X
Glazier	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Ironworker	27.65	9.69	0.53	37.87		0.05
Painter (Brush/Roller/Spray)	16.00	3.78	0.00	19.78		4.62
Plumber/Pipefitter	27.14	10.20	0.70	38.04		-0.08
Roofer	19.33	11.21	0.70	30.77		x
SheetmetalWorker	26.35	13.40	0.53	40.28		0.34
Operators	20.00	10.10	0.00	10.20	10.02	0.01
Group I	21.31	6.77	0.34	28.42	23.42	-5.81
Group II	21.51	6.77	0.34	28.62		-5.81
Group III	22.09	6.77	0.34	29.20		-5.81
Group IV	22.03	6.77	0.34	29.22		-5.81
Group V	22.11	6.77	0.34	29.22		-5.81
Group VI	22.26	6.77	0.34	29.37		-5.81
Group VII	22.31	6.77	0.34	29.42		-5.81
Group VIII	22.46	6.77	0.34	29.57		-5.81
Group IX	22.96	6.77	0.34	30.07	24.26	-5.81
Group X	23.76	6.77	0.34	30.87	25.06	-5.81
Laborers						
Group I	15.34	4.40	0.27	20.01	20.97	0.96
Group II	15.64	4.40	0.27	20.31		1.30
Group III	15.94	4.40	0.27	20.61		
Group IV	16.51	4.40	0.27	21.18		
Group V	16.76	4.40	0.27	21.43		х
Group VI	15.49	4.40	0.27	20.16		х
Group VII	15.64	4.40	0.27	20.31		х
Group VIII	15.89	4.40	0.27	20.56		х
Group IX	16.09	4.40	0.27	20.76	х	х
Group X	16.76	4.40	0.27	21.43	х	х
Truck Drivers						
Group I	15.05	5.09	\$0.35	20.49	21.07	0.58
Group II	15.25	5.09	\$0.35	20.69		0.38
Group III	15.45	5.09	\$0.35	20.89		
Group IV	15.65	5.09	\$0.35	21.09		

NOTE: SUBSISTENCE AND INCENTIVE PAY DO NOT APPLY TO TYPE "H" CONSTRUCTION.

Attachment 2

Dollar Threshold Amount for Contract Coverage

Dollar Threshold Amount for Contract Coverage Under State Prevailing Wage Laws January 1, 2009

Table of Dollar Threshold Amounts for Contract Coverage Under State Prevailing Wage Laws				
STATE 1	THRESHOLD AMOUNT			
Alaska	\$ 2,000			
Arkansas	75,000			
California	1,000			
Connecticut	400,000 for new construction			
	100,000 for remodeling			
Delaware	100,000 for new construction			
	15,000 for remodeling			
Hawaii	2,000			
Illinois	None			
Indiana	150,000			
Kentucky	250,000			
Maine	50,000			
Maryland	500,000			
Massachusetts	None			
Michigan	None			
Minnesota	25,000 where more than one trade is involved			
	2,500 where a single trade is involved			
Missouri	None			
Montana	25,000			
Nebraska	None			
Nevada	100,000			
New Jersey	2,000			
40.5	10,743 if the work is done for municipality			
New Mexico	60,000			
New York	None			

Ohio	73,891 for new construction <u>2</u> /
	22,166 for remodeling <u>2</u> /
Oregon	50,000
Pennsylvania	25,000
Rhode Island	1,000
Tennessee	50,000
Texas	None
Vermont	100,000
Washington	None <u>3</u> /
West Virginia	None <u>4</u> /
Wisconsin	
State and Municipal contracts	234,000 where more than one trade is involved; 48,000 where a single trade is involved
State highway contracts	None
Wyoming	25,000

Footnotes:

- 1/ Eighteen States do not have prevailing wage laws. These States are Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, and Virginia.
- 2/ **Ohio**. Beginning January 1, 1996, and every two years thereafter, threshold amounts will be adjusted according to the change in the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census Implicit Price Deflator for Construction, provided that no increase or decrease may exceed 6 percent for the two-year period.
- 3/ **Washington**. A separate law applicable only to State college/university construction provides for a \$25,000 threshold amount.
- 4/ **West Virginia**. A \$50,000 threshold is applicable for projects of the West Virginia Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council.

States Without Prevailing Wage Laws

Alabama - repealed in 1980

Arizona - invalidated by 1980 court decision Repealed in referendum in 1984

Colorado - repealed in 1985

Florida - repealed in 1979

Georgia -

Idaho - repealed in 1985

Iowa -

Kansas - repealed in 1987

Louisiana - repealed in 1988

Mississippi -

New Hampshire - repealed in 1985

North Carolina -

North Dakota -

Oklahoma - invalidated by 1995 court decision

South Carolina -

South Dakota -

Utah - repealed in 1981

Virginia -

Office of Performance, Budget, and Departmental Liaison Wage and Hour Division Employment Standards Administration U.S. Department of Labor

This document was last revised in December 2008; unless otherwise stated, the information reflects requirements that were in effect, or would take effect, as of January 1, 2009.

Type "A" Street Highway, Utility & Light Engineering			
Effective January 1, 2009			
	Base		
Trade Classification	w/Fringe		
Bricklayer/Blocklayer/Stonemason	\$13.50		
Carpenter/Lather	\$12.67		
Cement Mason	\$15.84		
Ironworker (Reinforcing)	\$25.67		
Ironworker (Structural)	\$25.67		
Painter (Brush/roller/Spray)	\$16.57		
Painter (Brush/roller/Spray)	\$16.57		
Electricians (Outside)			
*Groundman	\$38.10		
*Equipment Operator	\$40.92		
*Lineman/Wireman/Tech	\$41.51		
*Cable Splicer	\$42.69		
PLUMBERS			
Plumber/Pipefitter	\$23.20		
Plumber/Pipefitter	\$23.20		
LABORERS			
*Group I	\$12.75		
*Group II	\$13.05		
*Group III	\$13.45		
OPERATORS			
*Group I	\$16.01		
*Group II	\$16.19		
*Group III	\$16.79		
*Group IV	\$16.81		
*Group V	\$16.79		
*Group VI	\$16.96		
*Group VII	\$17.01		
*Group VIII	\$17.16		
*Group IX	\$17.66		
*Group X	\$18.46		
TRUCK DRIVERS			
	¢12 FF		
*Group I *Group II	\$13.55 \$13.75		
*Group II	\$13.75 \$13.95		
*Group IV	\$13.95 \$14.15		
σιουρ τν	Ş14.15		

Davis Bacon Wage				
	\$ Increase/Decrease of	% of Required		
Total	Required NMDOT	NMDOT		
	No Data Available	N/A		
\$8.55	-\$4.12	67.48%		
\$8.44	-\$7.40	53.28%		
\$8.46	-\$17.21	32.96%		
\$10.65	-\$15.02	41.49%		
\$9.55	-\$7.02	57.63%		
\$9.29	-\$7.28	56.07%		
\$23.32	-\$14.78	61.21%		
\$24.91	-\$16.01	60.87%		
\$25.84	-\$15.67	62.25%		
\$27.67	-\$15.02	64.82%		
\$23.73	\$0.53	102.28%		
\$22.93	-\$0.27	98.84%		
\$6.90		54.12%		
\$6.90	-\$5.65 -\$6.15	52.87%		
\$6.90	-\$6.55	51.30%		
		31.30%		
40.00				
\$8.32	-\$7.69	51.97%		
\$8.52	-\$7.67	52.63%		
\$9.10	-\$7.69	54.20%		
\$9.12	-\$7.69	54.25%		
\$9.12	-\$7.67	54.32%		
\$9.27	-\$7.69	54.66%		
\$9.32	-\$7.69	54.79%		
\$9.47	-\$7.69	55.19%		
\$9.97	-\$7.69	56.46%		
\$10.77	-\$7.69	58.34%		
\$7.01	-\$6.54	51.73%		
\$7.21	-\$6.54	52.44%		
\$7.41	-\$6.54	53.12%		
\$7.61	-\$6.54	53.78%		

	CB based on 2006 Data				
CB Total	\$ Increase/Decrease of Required NMDOT	% of Required NMDOT			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
\$30.18	\$4.51	117.57%			
\$30.18	\$4.51	117.57%			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
		i 			
\$26.34	-\$11.76	69.13%			
\$33.41	-\$7.51	81.65%			
\$38.76	-\$2.75	93.38%			
\$37.60	-\$5.09	88.08%			
		i 			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
\$14.56	\$1.81	114.20%			
\$14.86	\$1.81	113.87%			
\$15.26	\$1.81	113.46%			
\$19.89	\$3.88	124.23%			
\$20.64	\$4.45	127.49%			
\$20.75	\$3.96	123.59%			
\$20.83	\$4.02	123.91%			
\$20.90	\$4.11	124.48%			
\$21.09	\$4.13	124.35%			
\$21.47	\$4.46	126.22%			
\$21.70	\$4.54	126.46%			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	 	i 			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			
	No Data Available	N/A			