

April 6, 2009

HOUSE EXECUTIVE MESSAGE NO. 16

The Honorable Ben Luján and
Members of the House of Representatives
State Capitol Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Honorable Speaker Luján and Members of the House:

Pursuant to the Constitution of the State of New Mexico, Article IV, Section 22, I hereby VETO and return HOUSE BILL 340, as amended, which was enacted during the Forty-Ninth Legislature, First Session, 2009.

I am a strong supporter of the automotive industry generally, and especially those New Mexicans who make their livelihoods in this industry. I have heard and appreciate the thoughts of those auto dealers concerned about potential deleterious effects of adopting stricter emission standards in the near term. And in an ideal world I, too, would prefer that the federal government address this issue by implementing strict, enforceable national standards that would cover all states uniformly. This is not happening, however, at the federal level in the time frame I believe necessary to protect human health and solve the problem of climate change, which becomes more pressing by the day. The standards that New Mexico adopted more than a year ago are designed to reduce air pollutants that harm public health and the environment, such as volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen and greenhouse gas emissions from transportation, which is the third largest and fastest growing source of greenhouse gas emissions in the state.

Having said that, all of these issues are most properly addressed through executive function, not through legislative directive. I am therefore vetoing HB 340, as amended on the basis that it encroaches on what is properly executive authority. I am, however, concurrently directing the New Mexico Environment Department to work with New Mexico auto dealers and other interested parties to discuss an implementation schedule for clean car standards that is not unduly burdensome on our state's auto industry but which also begins to address environmental concerns meaningfully and in an appropriate

time frame. It may be that a delay in implementation is prudent and acceptable, but that should be determined by an informed, direct dialogue between interested parties and my administration.

Respectfully yours,

Bill Richardson
Governor

RECEIVED FROM THE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR:

Time: _____ a.m./p.m. by: _____
Date: _____ 2009
Chief Clerk of the House

Time: _____ a.m./p.m. by: _____
Date: _____ 2009
Secretary of State