

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 1/20/08  
 LAST UPDATED 1/31/08      HB 148

SPONSOR Picraux

SHORT TITLE Employee Wellness Program Tax Credit      SB \_\_\_\_\_

ANALYST Francis

### REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY08	FY09	FY10		
	(\$218.7)	(\$1,531.0)	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Duplicates SB148  
 Relates to SB225, HB62 and HB147

### ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY08	FY09	FY10	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
<b>Total</b>		\$162.85	\$162.85	\$315.7	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From  
 Department of Health (DOH)  
 Health Policy Commission (HPC)  
 Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)  
 New Mexico First

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 148 creates a new credit against personal and corporate income tax liability for employers who have a qualified “wellness” program in place. The credit cannot exceed the product of \$200 and the number of up to 200 NM resident employees plus the product of \$100 and the number of employees for over 200 NM resident employees. So a company with 100 employees would be eligible for a credit of \$20,000 (100 employees x \$200) while a company

with 300 employees would be eligible for a credit of \$50,000 (200 employees x \$200 + 100 employees x \$100).

DOH, in consultation with HPC, TRD and the Workforce Solutions Department, is charged with certifying a company’s wellness program which must contain at least three of the following:

- Health awareness: dissemination of health information and screening for employees
- Employee engagement: a committee to engage employees and employee tracking
- Behavioral change: programs that provide counseling, seminars, on-line programs or self-help materials to address behavioral health issues such as smoking, obesity, stress, fitness, nutrition, substance abuse, depression and mental health promotion
- Supportive environment: policies and services that promote healthy lifestyles including tobacco use policies, availability of nutritious food, stress management and the encouragement of physical activity

The credit will be available for tax years 2008 to 2017 and will only apply to current tax year liability.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The fiscal impact has been determined by using information from Indiana’s experience with a similar wellness credit. Indiana saw that it took about six months to get the certification procedures and criteria up and running and then the take-up of employers was about twenty per month. Following the Indiana experience, the assumed take-up rate is 5 per month and it is also assumed that 75 percent of the companies that take advantage of the credit are companies with more than 100 employees and 25 percent are companies with 50 to 99 employees. **This is a revised impact from the previous FIR pursuant to more information about the ability of companies to retool existing wellness programs to become certified.** Wellness programs, particularly given the criteria laid out in HB148, are more attractive to larger employers due to the fixed costs associated with it. The average cost of the credit per employee is based on the attached document from the Texas Department of State Health Services.

**FISCAL IMPACT OF WELLNESS CREDIT**

		Company Size					
		50-99	Greater than	Total	Average		
		employees	100	Employees	Benefit =		
			employees		\$100 per		Fiscal Year
		months			employee		of Impact
Average Employment		68	269				
2008	2	3	8	2,188	218,750		FY09
2009	12	18	53	15,313	1,531,250		FY10
2010	12	33	98	28,438	2,843,750		FY11
2011	12	48	143	41,563	4,156,250		FY12

It should be noted that TRD has estimated a much higher impact based on a different methodology. The impact here shows a much slower rate of adoption than the TRD analysis and is largely based on the Indiana experience. However, the different estimates point to significant upside risk in this estimate and that if the credit is adopted much faster than shown here, the credit becomes significantly more expensive.

## SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

### DOH:

Nearly 890,000 cases of seven common chronic diseases — cancers, diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, stroke, mental disorders, and pulmonary conditions — were reported in New Mexico in 2003. (The Milken Institute. *An Unhealthy America: The Economic Burden of Chronic Disease*. October 2007.

<http://www.chronicdiseaseimpact.com/ebcd.taf?cat=state&state=NM>)

These conditions shorten lives, reduce quality of life, and create considerable burden for caregivers. The Milken Institute has estimated that between 2003 and 2023, NM could potentially save \$6.3 billion (or 26.4%) in direct medical expenditures and lost productivity due to chronic diseases if moderate changes were made toward prevention and screening. The leading causes of preventable disease and death are tobacco use, lack of adequate physical activity, and poor nutritional practices.

Lack of physical activity and poor nutritional habits are believed to be the biggest contributors to overweight and obesity. Overweight and obesity in adults increase the risk of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, asthma, arthritis, some cancers, and poor functional health status. Chronic diseases such as these are responsible for six out of every ten deaths in New Mexico.

The worksite is an ideal setting for health education and disease prevention programs because employees spend significant hours at work.

Individuals with lower incomes and educational attainment tend to have poorer health status when compared to people earning more money and with higher education. By reaching New Mexico residents at their worksites, HB148 could positively impact non-professional populations that have been identified to have higher rates of obesity, tobacco use, substance abuse, depression, and poor nutrition and physical activity behaviors.

The February 2007 issue of *State Legislatures*, a National Conference of State Legislatures publication, reported on wellness programs and found at the time seven states had tax credits including Hawaii, Iowa, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island and Wisconsin. “The idea is to provide employers—especially smaller businesses—with income, franchise or corporate tax credits for wellness programs such as nutrition, weight management, smoking cessation or substance abuse counseling, or purchasing or maintaining fitness equipment.”

### According to NCSL:

Investing in employee health also pays off. Healthy workers are more productive. An analysis of 32 studies of workplace wellness initiatives found 28 with an average return on investment of \$3.48 per \$1 in program costs, as reported in 2001 in the *American Journal of Public Health*. Citibank saved \$8.9 million over two years after investing \$1.9 million for wellness initiatives, translating into a return of \$4.70 for each dollar spent on the wellness program. Motorola saw a return of \$3.93 for every dollar spent on its wellness program, and saved nearly \$10.5 million annually in disability expenses for program participants compared to non-participants.

Corroborating NCSL, HPC cites research that indicates that workplaces with employee health programs demonstrate a 2% to 5% increase in productivity and that those with health promotion

programs save an average of \$3.50 for every dollar spent, as measured by reduced absenteeism and health care costs. Workplaces with wellness programs also report fewer work-related injuries and lower stress levels.

### **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

DOH reports that the certification of wellness programs may be better handled by TRD. However, TRD does not have the clinical experience to effectively evaluate a wellness program. HPC may be an alternative entity to certify wellness programs.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

According to DOH, the agency is identified as a lead agency to implement key components of HB148: reviewing, issuing, or declining certification of eligibility to all New Mexico employers that apply, and promoting the wellness tax credit program. These duties would require 2 new FTE, at an estimated recurring cost of \$132,850.

According to TRD, one FTE would be needed by RPD, at a cost of \$30,000, to manage a manual process of tracking and reviewing the credits. A claim form and instructions would be needed at a cost of approximately \$1,000 to develop. Current publications would need to be changed. Coordination would be required between the various agencies to administer the credit and to establish the rules and guidelines.

### **CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

SB148 is a duplicate of HB148.

There are many health related bills this session. SB225, HB147 and HB62 have proposed creating a health coverage authority that would be charged with developing wellness programs and if created this authority may be a better entity to certify credits than DOH.

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

There may be confusion about “NM resident” employees and border communities like Santa Teresa or Gallup where employees may come from other states.

NF/mt

Attachment