

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 2/2/07

SPONSOR Campos LAST UPDATED _____ HB _____

SHORT TITLE Capital Projects Act SB 749

ANALYST Kehoe

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY07	FY08		
NFI	NFI	N/A	(See Fiscal Impact Narrative)

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates Senate Bill 639.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 749 enacts the Capital Projects Act; establishes a capital projects council; and provides procedures for evaluating and prioritizing proposed capital projects by December 1, 2008, to recommend funding priorities to the 2009 Legislature and each year thereafter.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Senate Bill 749 does not contain an appropriation. However, the bill allows the council, subject to appropriation, to appoint and employ the necessary professional, technical and clerical assistance to support the Council in its duties and further requires the Council to prepare an annual operating budget for submission to the Legislature. In addition, a provision of the bill allows the public members of the proposed Council to receive per diem and mileage pursuant to the Per Diem and Mileage Act. The bill allows the Council to apply for and receive grants, gifts, donations, and bequests.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Senate Bill 749 creates the Capital Projects Act for the purpose of creating a council of experts to

evaluate and prioritize proposed statewide and local capital outlay projects and to monitor and oversee projects authorized by the Legislature to ensure appropriations are expended in the most cost-effective manner.

The Capital Projects Council would consist of twelve members, six appointed by the governor who may be cabinet secretaries or public members; one member representative of Indian nations, tribes or pueblos. Public members appointed by the governor are required to have expertise in capital financing, planning or construction. Other members of the council would consist of the state engineer, executive directors of the Commission of Higher Education, New Mexico Municipal League, New Mexico Association of Counties, and the New Mexico Finance Authority, or their designees. The Council may also appoint such committees and subcommittees as necessary to carry out its duties.

The purpose of the Council is to coordinate all planning, oversight, monitoring and reporting functions of state government regarding capital projects authorized by law. Capital projects and capital improvements funded pursuant to the Public School Capital Outlay Act and the Public School Capital Improvements Act are exempted from the council's authority and those capital projects authorized by legislation enacted after July 1, 2008 that specifically exclude capital projects from the provisions of the Act. The Council would begin to organize, perform its duties and accept proposals for capital projects and prioritize the proposals after July 1, 2008--the effective date of the Act; and by December 1, 2008 and each year thereafter, make recommendations to the Legislature for funding the prioritized projects.

After July 1, 2008, any agency, political subdivision, instrumentality or institution of the state may submit a proposal for a capital project to the Council. Each proposal would be required to contain the following: 1) a detailed description; 2) an explanation of need; 3) a description of the prime beneficiaries; 4) the estimated total cost and an explanation of how the cost was derived; 5) the amount and source of any matching funds; 6) an explanation of how the project will be implemented and maintained, and the amount and source of estimated maintenance costs; and 7) any other information required by the rule of the Council. Upon receipt of the proposal, the Council will conduct site visits and public hearings, as necessary, and then list all proposals in order of priority. The prioritized projects, methodology, and the Council's recommendations will be submitted to the 2009 Legislature for proposed legislation and consideration.

The bill requires all agencies, institutions, instrumentalities, and political subdivision of the state to assist the council with its duties. In addition, all entities receiving government-funded capital projects would be required to assist the council in carrying out the provisions of the Capital Projects Act. Specific duties of the Council include improving the process used to plan and prioritize capital projects and the use of available capital funding; establish criteria for evaluating, monitoring and overseeing capital project requests and developing reporting requirements to ensure cost-effective implementation consistent with funding authorizations.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The State of New Mexico has allocated over \$4 billion in the last ten years for special, state and local capital improvements statewide. Traditionally, funding for capital outlay is derived from various sources: severance tax bonds, general obligation bonds, general fund, state road fund and other state funds (Miner's Trust Fund, Irrigation Water Construction Fund, Penalty & Interest Fund, et. al). Total capital appropriations have varied from less than \$50 million in 1991 to in

excess of \$700 million in 2006. Given the disparity between capacity and needs from year to year, projects should be carefully prioritized and selected based on emergency situations, public health and safety issues, federal mandates, preservation of the state's assets, continuation projects requiring additional funding for completion, and projects with other funding sources to maximize state dollars.

The proposed Council would consolidate capital planning into a comprehensive statewide function. With so many critical capital needs and limited resources, the proposed Council could provide valuable insight into priorities, especially on a local level. With a standardized request, review and reporting process, there could be better utilization of scarce capital funds and more accountability for appropriate and timely expenditures. It is a responsible approach to capital planning, which would be enhanced by encouraging coordination on a local and regional level to surface community priorities and ensure all capital needs are adequately considered.

The Property Control Division of the General Services Department (GSD) is the major recipient of capital project appropriations which support new construction and renovation, and repair and equipment replacement at the state's almost 850 buildings throughout New Mexico. Projects for state-owned public facilities compete with other local projects for capital dollars, but coordination at a local level to surface community priorities is not part of the process. In order to protect the public's real estate assets, a more comprehensive approach to recognizing problems and evaluating priorities is needed.

GSD and DFA currently and jointly administer the four-year plan which requires agencies to submit by July 1st each year their projected capital program for the next four year period, and by mid-September the specifics of requests for the upcoming legislative session. The review and recommendation process each autumn includes DFA/GSD hearings with agencies and results in the Governor's capital budget. It is unclear whether this process would continue or be replaced by the Capital Projects Council.

The State Board of Finance (BOF) maintains a report by agency reflecting sold, expended and balances for each project authorized for funding from general obligation and severance tax bonds. A separate report provides the amount, in aggregate, of unexpended bond proceeds for each series of bonds. A direct correlation between the DFA and BOF reports is impractical due to a number of factors. Bond sales are issued in multiple series and may contain partial amounts sold in separate issues. The DFA monitoring system relies on agency reported data that is not audited. Also, bond expenditures are made on a reimbursement basis of actual expenditures, but expenditures reported in the monitoring system may include payments from other funds that have not been submitted for reimbursement.

The Local Government Division (LGD) serves as a clearinghouse for all state and federal grant or loan programs for local community infrastructure development. The LGD administers a Local Infrastructure Capital Improvements Plan (ICIP) to assist local governments in submitting data to support their requests for appropriations. The plan is not statutorily created and requires "volunteer" participation by municipalities, counties, and special districts (Native Americans, water associations, fire districts and soil and water districts). Only a small percent of all planned priorities listed on the ICIP are funded by direct legislative appropriations.

Each year, the LGD develops a list of the top five planned and supported project priorities of all municipalities, counties, tribal entities, and several water associations and special districts and provides a copy of the report to legislators at the beginning of each legislative session.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Senate Bill 749 duplicates Senate Bill 639 in its entirety.

LK/mt