Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	McSorley	DATE TYPED	01/31/04	HB	
SHORT TITL	E Public Defender Cap	ital Felony Contract	Funds	SB _	275

ANALYST Bransford

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY04	FY05	FY04	FY05	or Non-Rec	Affected
	\$517.0			Non-Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent	Recurring	Fund
FY04	FY05	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected
	\$517.0		Recurring	New Capitol Felony
				Representation
				Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Duplicates HB 255 Relates to SB 234

SOURCES OF INFORMATION LFC Files

Responses Received From Public Defender Department (PDD) Administrative Office of the District Attorneys (AODA) Attorney General (AG)] Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 255 appropriates \$517 thousand from the general fund to the Public Defender Department for the purpose of creating the capital felony representation fund. The bill

Senate Bill 275 -- Page 2

authorizes PDD to use money in the fund to contract for representation of defendants in capital felony cases.

Significant Issues

PDD reports there are a limited number of defense attorneys in New Mexico professionally qualified to represent defendants accused of a capital crime. The department reports having difficulty recruiting contract attorneys on a flat fee basis. In addition, both the New Mexico State Bar and the American Bar Association recommend that attorneys representing capital defendants be compensated on an hourly basis.

The AG reports that while the apparent purpose of the bill is to ensure adequate funding for representation of defendants in death penalty cases, it may go beyond its purpose by proposing to fund "capital felony cases" which can include first degree murder cases in which the death penalty is not sought.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

This bill creates the new Capital Felony Representation Fund and appropriates \$517 thousand in general fund to the Fund. This is a non-recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of a fiscal year shall not revert to the general fund.

Continuing Appropriation

This bill creates a new fund and provides for continuing appropriations. The LFC objects to including continuing appropriation language in the statutory provision for newly created funds. Earmarking reduces the ability of the legislature to establish spending priorities.

PDD is responsible for reporting fund balance projections to the legislative finance committee annually.

The AG is concerned the bill may also have indeterminate fiscal implications for the AG and the District Attorneys prosecuting capital felony and death penalty cases at the trial level and the criminal appeals level. Increased funding for representation of defendants in capital felony and death penalty case should also include increased funding for the prosecution to keep the criminal justice system balanced.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

PDD reports the administrative impact can be managed with current resources.

RELATIONSHIP

This bill duplicates HB 255 and relates to SB 234 which makes an appropriation of \$695 thousand to the PDD to continue legal representation for the defendants in capital felony cases as a result of the prison riot at the Guadalupe county correctional facility.

Senate Bill 275 -- Page 3

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The bill does not clarify whether contracts for representation of defendants in capital felony cases would be exclusively funded from the "capital felony representation fund" or whether the public defender could continue to pay for such contracts from the department's operating budget. In addition, it may be necessary to clarify whether or not the fund could be used to pay for expert witnesses and other costs related to the case.

VB/lg:dm