Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Cisı	neros	DATE TYPED	2/14/2004	HB	
SHORT TITI	LE	Expand County Gros	s Receipts Tax Autl	hority	SB	88\aSFC\aSFL#1
				AN	ALYST	Taylor

REVENUE

Estimated	l Revenue	Subsequent	Recurring	Fund	
FY04	FY05	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected	
	Indeterminate	Indeterminate	Recurring	County Funds	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SFL Amendment #1

The Senate Floor Amendment #1 adds language relating to temporary provisions of the law. The amendment says that an ordinance imposing the county fire protection excise tax after July 1, 2004 is not subject to the existing law's ten year time limit and requirement that subsequent ordinances be enacted for succeeding periods of not more than five-years. These provisions are struck in the original bill. It also says that delayed repeals in those ordinances will be ineffective.

Synopsis of SFC Amendments

The Senate Finance Committee Amendments do the following:

- 1. Add an emergency clause to the bill. The effective dates for the various sections remain unchanged.
- 2. Strikes language pertaining to the "county correctional facility gross receipts" that says that the tax can be imposed only once for the period necessary for the repayment of bonds.

Senate Bill 88/aSFC/aSFl#1 -- Page 2

Synopsis of Original Bill

Senate Bill 88 makes changes to local option gross receipts taxes.

<u>Section 1</u> increases the county gross receipts tax rate by one sixteenth percent from three-eighths percent to seven-sixteenths percent. Additional revenue may be used for general purposes.

<u>Section 2</u> changes make the referendum provisions for enacting the third one-eighth and the one-sixteenth increment so they are the same as those for the first one-eighth (see description for sections 5 and 6).

<u>Section 3</u> eliminates the county fire protection excise tax provisions that limit how long the tax is in effect to 10 years and requires subsequent ordinances be limited to five-years, subject to voter approval requirements.

<u>Section 4</u> eliminates the county emergency and emergency medical services tax provisions that limit how long the tax is in effect to 10 years and requires subsequent ordinances be limited to five-years, subject to voter approval requirements.

<u>Section 5 and 6</u> change provisions of the county correctional facility gross receipts tax act. <u>Section 5</u> alters the definition of "county". The old definition, which restricted the tax to specific counties, is eliminated; the new definition makes the act apply to any county in the state.

Section 6 eliminates provisions that limit how long the tax is in effect to 10 years and require subsequent ordinances be limited to five-years, subject to voter approval requirements. It also expands the purposes for which the tax revenue may be used to include operation and maintenance of such facilities and transportation of prisoners. Current provisions governing imposition of the tax are stripped and new provisions substituted. The new provisions address when referendum approving the tax would be required. In counties with referendum provisions in their charter, petitions meeting the requirements of the charter for seeking a referendum would have to be met. In all other counties, an election would be required when a petition requesting an election is filed with the county clerk within 30 days of enactment of the ordinance by the governing body. The petition would have to be signed by 5 percent of the voters registered to vote in the most recent general election. Approval by 50 percent or more of the voters is required for the ordinance to go into effect. If not approved, the governing body could not submit the question of imposing the tax for one year. (Note: these voter approval provisions mirror those that currently govern the first one-eight percent of the county gross receipts tax).

The effective date is July 1, 2004.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The fiscal implications of this bill pertain to county funds only. The impact is indeterminate because it is not known how many counties would impose the additional taxing authority allowed. It is also unknown whether voters would approve increases. The TRD analysis shows that if all qualified counties imposed the additional authorizations in FY05, the county gross receipts tax would yield an additional \$23.1 million and the correctional facilities gross receipts tax \$41.3 million. The TRD analysis, which is attached, also reports the potential impact on a county by county basis.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD reports moderate administrative impacts that can be absorbed with existing resources.

BT/yr

Attachment