NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC's office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Ingle DATE T	YPED: <u>2/07/02</u>	НВ	
SHORT TITLE	: Alcohol Treatment Facilities an	nd Services	SB	297
		ANAL	YST:	Neel

#### **REVENUE**

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected	
FY02		FY03			
	(331.0)	(3,650.0)		Recurring	State General Fund
		608.3		Recurring	Curry County
		608.3		Recurring	De Baca County
		608.3		Recurring	Guadalupe County
		608.3		Recurring	Quay County
		608.3		Recurring	Roosevelt County
		608.3		Recurring	San Miguel County
		331.0		Nonrecurring	Shared among All Counties

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

**Duplicates HB294** 

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

#### **SUMMARY**

## Synopsis of Bill

This bill increases the percentage of state Liquor Excise Tax revenue distributed to the Local DWI Grant Fund administered by the Local Government Division of the Department of Finance and Administration. Currently, 34.57% of net Liquor Excise Tax revenue is distributed to the Local DWI Grant Fund. This percentage would be changed to 44.52%.

#### Senate Bill 297 -- Page 2

Additional appropriations totaling \$3.65 million would be appropriated from the DWI Grant Fund for distribution to six counties to fund alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities. Curry, De Baca, Guadalupe, Quay, Roosevelt and San Miguel counties would each receive annual appropriations of \$608,333.

Amounts for other beneficiaries of the fund, the formula distribution to all counties and the \$2 million reserved for grants to local governments, would be mostly unchanged from current amounts.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The revenue table reflects that increased distributions impact the general fund. The fiscal year 2002 impact results from the July 1 effective date of the bill. The first revised distribution to the Local DWI Grant Fund would be transferred in mid-July, 2002, affecting June 2002 state general fund revenue. The fiscal year 2002 amount is slightly more than  $1/12^{th}$  of the full year amount because summer liquor tax revenues are stronger than other periods of the year.

The precise timing of the distribution of the additional \$331 thousand in FY 2003 "shared among all counties" has not been determined, and may depend on the timing of distributions to the particular counties with alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities. Presumably at some point the \$331 thousand FY 2002 liquor tax revenue would be available for the formula distribution to all counties under Section 11-6A-6 NMSA 1978 (Section 3 of the bill). This portion of the fiscal impact would be non-recurring.

#### **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

TRD notes the following legislative history:

The DWI Grant Fund was originally created by Laws 1993, Chapter 65, Section 3 (Chapter 11, Article 6A NMSA 1978) as part of a major liquor tax increase and DWI prevention effort. From 1993 through 1997 the fund was financed by state general fund appropriation. The 1993 legislation appropriated \$5.5 million for the 1993-94 fiscal year to the newly created Local DWI Grant Fund for use in grants to local communities to fund innovative programs and services dealing with DWI, alcoholism and alcohol abuse. Appropriations to the fund for fiscal years 1994 through 1997 were roughly \$5 million per year. Also in fiscal year 1993-94, an additional \$5.1 million was appropriated to the newly created DWI Program Fund administered by DFA for use in new state agency programs meeting the guidelines of the Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse Prevention, Screening and Treatment Act. The Community DWI Fund was appropriated \$9.2 million, replacing the old earmarked tax to the Community Alcoholism Treatment and Detoxification Fund.

1997 legislation (Laws 1997, Chapter 182 – 1997 HB-107) directed 27.2% (\$9.7 million) of state liquor excise tax revenue from the State General Fund to the Local DWI Grant Fund, and created a new quarterly distribution by formula to counties amounting to available money in the fund less \$2 million reserved for local government grants.

2000 legislation (Laws 2000, Chapter 83 – 2000 SWMC Substitute for SB-96) increased the DWI Grant Fund distribution to 32.7% (an additional \$2.0 million) of liquor excise tax revenue for fiscal year 2002 only, and appropriated the additional amount to Bernalillo County (\$1.7 million) and San Juan County (\$0.3 million) to fund alcohol detoxification

# Senate Bill 297 -- Page 3

and treatment facilities.

2001 legislation (Laws 2001, Chapter 112 – HB-103) permanently directed 34.57% of state liquor excise tax revenue to the Local DWI Grant Fund, beginning in fiscal year 2002. An additional \$0.5 million was appropriated from the DWI Grant Fund for distribution to Santa Fe County (\$0.3 million) and Rio Arriba County (\$0.2 million) to fund alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities.

The one-time distributions to Bernalillo County (\$1.7 million) and San Juan County (\$0.3 million) formerly specified for fiscal year 2002 to fund alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities was made permanent.

SN/njw