NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC's office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Sa	lazar	DATE TYPED:	2/5/02	HB	HJM 69
SHORT TITLI	Ξ:	PRC Representation	of Public Interest		SB	_
				ANALY	ST:	Valenzuela

#### **APPROPRIATION**

Appropriatio	on Contained	▲		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY02	FY03	FY02	FY03		
		NFI			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Legislative Finance Committee files Public Regulation Commission Office of the Attorney General

## SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Joint Memorial 69 requests the Public Regulation Commission revise its definition and application in rate cases of the term "public interest" to reflect "consumer interest" to ensure consumer concerns are represented and considered in these proceedings. The joint memorial requires the PRC to report on its efforts before the Legislative Finance Committee, during its annual budget hearing.

#### Significant Issues

The intent of the joint memorial is to ensure the PRC utility division focus attention on the interest of consumers. Currently, the PRC attempts to balance the interests of public utility companies with those of the ratepayers. An implicit message in the joint memorial is that consumer interests have not been represented.

Deregulation of the electricity (delayed until 2007) and telecommunications markets has spawned concern for consumers about fairness and equity in the promulgation of rules. Complicated issues such as stranded costs, the impact of wholesale power markets, interconnection agreements, the quality of service standards, etc., have become the primary and debatable policy issues, as opposed

to the rate-of-return analyses performed pre-deregulation. The joint memorial notes that individual consumers, who have engaged in the rulemaking process, could be at a substantial disadvantage in presenting its case against the utility company's experienced legal counsel.

The Attorney General's Office states that its statutory authority is to represent consumer interests before the PRC.

# **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

HJM 69 does not carry an appropriation and should not have an administrative or fiscal impact on the PRC or Attorney General's Office.

# **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

Consumers may be unaware that the AG Office represents its interests, which may result from confusion over the appropriate agency to contact regarding complaints or concerns about utility policy. Both the PRC and the Attorney General have offices that receive consumer complaints and concerns.

# **POSSIBLE QUESTIONS**

Does the utility division staff consider only formal testimony of individual consumers or does it consider relevant consumer complaints or concerns about utility companies or policy in its formulation of its filings to the PRC?

How does the Attorney General receive consumer concerns to understand their impact on utility issues before the PRC and how does it represent those concerns? Does AG staff meet with consumers on a routine basis? Does the AG staff meet only with consumer special interest groups ?

MV/njw:ar