NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC's office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Hamilton	DATE TYPED:	01/23/02	НВ	210
SHORT TITLE	E: Increase Annual Ear	ning Cap for Retire	es	SB	
ANALYST				YST:	Gilbert

### **APPROPRIATION**

	Appropriation Contained		<u> </u>		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
F	Y02	FY03	FY02	FY03		
			NFI			PERA Trust Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to: SB144

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA)

#### **SUMMARY**

### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 210 amends Section 10-11-8 NMSA 1978 to allow Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) retirees to return to work for any PERA affiliated public employer and earn up to \$25,000 per year without suspension of their retirement benefits. Reemployed retirees, however, would not accrue additional service credit for such employment.

# Significant Issues

The current earning limit for PERA retirees returning to work for a PERA affiliated employer is \$15,000 per year. This bill would give state and local governmental entities greater flexibility in hiring PERA retirees.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

According to PERA's actuary, increasing the PERA earnings amount up to \$25,000 per calendar year from the current \$15,000 would have minimal impact on their fund.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

Passage of this bill would require PERA to revise their board procedures and update member/retiree information packets.

# **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

PERA believes that HB 210 **would not** violate the Constitution of New Mexico, Article XX, §22(E), which prohibits modifications to public employment retirement systems that do not enhance or preserve the actuarial soundness of the affected trust fund.

LG/ar