NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Lyons		DATE TYPED:	02/28/01	HB	
SHORT TITLE: Regulations for Land		ord Takings		SB	527	
	_			ANAL	YST:	Dotson

APPROPRIATION

Appropriatio	on Contained	Estimated Add	litional Impact	Recurring	Fund Affected
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02	or Non-Rec	
	NFI				

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Conflicts with <u>HB 798</u> Relates to <u>HB 797</u>

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Game and Fish Department

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

SB 527 modifies regulations which provide for landowner takings of animals. The State Game Commission is provided with the authority to adopt a minimum number of interventions prior to implementing the killing provision. It also adds language that requires the landowner and department to establish a process for salvaging the game meat.

Significant Issues

According to Game and Fish Department, the new language would provide a mechanism for the landowner to implement interventions.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

The new language of this bill would allow department interventions the opportunity to work before being rejected by landowners. It would allow interventions to be taken seriously and increase the overall performance of the department's depredation management program.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Senate Bill 527 -- Page 2

SB 527 requires the State Game Commission to formulate and adopt new regulations that set the minimum number of interventions. It would also require the Game and Fish Department and the landowner to establish a process for salvaging the meat.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Abuse could occur because "crop damage" is currently an undefine term.

According to the Game and Fish Department, some landowners demand additional licenses and threaten if the additional licenses were not awarded, game would be killed using the existing law. The proposed amendment would alleviate this type of situation and better address animal damage issues.

The Game and Fish Department suggests the following:

Page 3, line 2 insert:
...for good cause <u>and in writing</u> the interventions offered...
Page 3, line 4 insert:
... to demonstrate in writing that the property depredation is...

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

- 1. Is pasture considered a crop?
- 2. Is BLM leased pasture considered a crop?

PD/ar