

**NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.**

**Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.**

### **FISCAL IMPACT REPORT**

SPONSOR:	Smith	DATE TYPED:	02/06/00	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Gaming Control Board Membership			SB	421
				ANALYST:	Williams

### **APPROPRIATION**

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01		
See Narrative					

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Gaming Control Board (GCB)

## **SUMMARY**

### Synopsis of Bill

This bill would authorize two additional appointed members to the Gaming Control Board. One individual must be Native American selected by the Governor from a list of three persons nominated by agreement of the tribal gaming nations, tribes or pueblos. The second individual would be appointed by the Governor from a list of three people nominated by nonprofit organizations holding gaming operator licenses.

## **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

See below.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

These positions would be full time employees at cabinet secretary level salaries and would be eligible for per diem and mileage reimbursement. GCB estimates this amount at \$205.0 in FY01. The bill does not contain an appropriation.

## **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

The Gaming Control Act may need to be modified to address political affiliation and to update a majority vote of a larger board.

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

GCB notes the potential for these board members to have or be viewed as having a conflict of interest.

AW/gm