NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Leavell	DATE TYPED:	02/03/00)	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Definition of "Health" Insurance				SB	194
				AN	ALYST:	Valenzuela

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01	or Non-Rec	Affected
	NFI		NFI		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Public Regulation Commission (PRC)

Office of the Attorney General (AG)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 194 amends Article 7 of the New Mexico Insurance Code. The bill clarifies the definition of "health" insurance to include stop-loss or excess-loss insurance to an employer or plan sponsor to limit expenses under an employee benefit plan.

Significant Issues

Stop-loss or excess-loss insurance policies limit the liability to self-insured employers for medical procedures that are in excess of a pre-determined amount. For instance, if a medical procedure cost \$100,000, the excess-loss insurance policy would pay for any costs over \$50,000, and the employer would be responsible for \$50,000.

As the law is currently written, these policies can be sold only by property or casualty insurance companies, as opposed to life or health insurance companies. However, clarifying the definition of "health" insurance clears up these jurisdictional issues and thus, life and health insurance companies would be able to sell these policies and the Public Regulation Commission would be able to better regulate the companies who provide these policies.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Senate Bill 194 does not contain an appropriation. There would be no fiscal impact from enactment of this bill.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Enactment of the bill would have only a minor administrative impact on the insurance division of the PRC.

MFV/gm