NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

### FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Wright	DATE TYPED:	02/3/00	HB	HJM 39/aHAGC
SHORT TITLE: Use NN		Agriculture Products in School Meals		SB	]
				ANALYST	Baca

## **APPROPRIATION**

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01	or Non-Rec	Affected
	NFI				

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC files, State Department of Education (SDE)

# SUMMARY

### Synopsis of Amendment

The amendment adopted by the House Agriculture and Water Resources Committee inserts a requirement that the SDE and the Department of Agriculture submit a joint report to the Legislative Council Service by October 30, 2000. The memorial is otherwise unchanged.

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Joint Memorial 39 requests that SDE and the New Mexico Department of Agriculture collaborate to evaluate the opportunities for public schools to use New Mexico agricultural products in preparing school meals.

#### Significant Issues

The memorial calls for a study to increase the consumption of New Mexico agricultural products by the state's school children who participate in the school lunch program. The SDE analysis points out that USDA distributes allocated commodities to public schools based on student participation as well as the dollar amount of commodities to be allocated to the state. School districts select which commodities to use, and any change in the distribution and use of agricultural products would require a policy change at the federal level.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The SDE analysis indicates resources, including staff time, would be needed but, apparently, not additional resources.

# ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

SDE and the Department of Agriculture would be required to collaborate in conducting the study.

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

The memorial does not state whether the results of the study are to be presented to any legislative committee or the Governor or any other policy-making entity. And, no deadline is set for completion of the study.

LB/njw