## US 550 SAFETY

## NMDOT

OCTOBER 5,2017

## History

- NM 44 from Bernalillo to Bloomfield 151 miles
- Two lane road with narrow lanes, nonexistent shoulders, limited sight distance and passing distance, narrow bridges, nonforgiving roadside features
- Crash rate of 1.56 million vehicle miles


## New road improvements

- Narrow lanes were replaced
- 10’ shoulders provided
- Seven bridges were reconstructed
- Re-alignment of severe curves
- Improved sight distance
- Many Fixed objects removed
, Roadside Flattened


## Typical 1976 NM 44 roadway cross section



## Typical reconstructed cross section



## Roadside Treatments



## Benefits

- Economic Development
- Travel time, design speed of 65 MPH , posted at 70 MPH
- Safety, horizontal curves with advisory speed of 65 MPH
- Seven Vertical curves with supplemental signs


## Quick crash comparison

- 1999 reconstruction
- Crashes 3 years before 1999
- Crashes 2002 to 2003



## Crashes before and after

- Before averaged 85
- After 106
- After Properly located
- Change of pattern of crashes
- $43 \%$ opposite direction crashes changed to 11\%
- Rear-end and side swipe increased from 25\% to 60\%
- Fixed objects increased from 25\% to 60\% but they were hitting softer targets like guardrail and fences


## Other crashes

- No Passing Zone crashes dropped from 18\% to 3\%
- Alcohol crashes dropped from 12\% to 7\%
- Crashes in clear weather dropped from 79\% to 72\%
- At first glance $25 \%$ increase shows no benefit but higher severity decreased


## 2013 study

- Senate Memorial 36 for feasibility of installing center guardrail to prevent opposite direction crashes.
- CH2M Hill did a study using Highway Safety Manual
- Crashes from 2006 to 2010 data to establish pattern
- Crash severity, date and time, location, environmental condition, among others


## Result

- Total 754 crashes
- 30\% injury or fatality
- 37 fatalities as the result of 26 crashes
- $15 \%$ cross median, $30 \%$ multi-vehicle
- Of fatal and injury, cross median was 14 out of 26 (54\%)
- 38 incapacitating which 15 were cross median


## Focus areas

| Focus Area | Top Segments | Number of Focus Area Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iotal Crashes without Animal crashesa | MP 110 to MP 120 MP 103 to MP 113 | 59 |
| Fatal Crashes | MP 83 to MP 93 | 6 |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes | MP 14 to MP 24 <br> MP 99 to MP 109 <br> MP 101 to MP 111 <br> MP 112 to MP 122 | 28 |
| Cross-Median Crashes | MP 107 to MP 117 MP 108 to MP 118 MP 109 to MP 119 MP 110 to MP 120 MP 114 to MP 124 | 19 |
| Alcohol-Related Crashes | MP 2 to MP 12 <br> MP 14 to MP 24 <br> MP 15 to MP 25 <br> MP 16 to MP 26 <br> MP 17 to MP 27 <br> MP 18 to MP 28 <br> MP 91 to MP 101 <br> MP 94 to MP 104 <br> MP 99 to MP 109 <br> MP 100 to MP 110 | 5 |

## Recommended study site

- MP 83 to MP 94
, MP 107 to MP 121

| Segment | Milepost | Total | Total <br> w/o <br> Animal | Fatal | Fatal <br> and <br> Injury | Cross- <br> Median | Fatal <br> Cross- <br> Median | Fatal <br> and <br> Injury <br> Cross- <br> Median | Alcohol <br> Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MP 83-94 | 60 | $\underline{55}$ | $\underline{6}$ | 21 | 17 | $\underline{4}$ | 10 | 3 |
| 2 | MP 107-121 | $\underline{97}$ | $\underline{78}$ | 4 | 19 | $\underline{10}$ | 1 | $\underline{11}$ | 3 |

## Segment 1: MP 83 to MP 94

US 550 Safety Analysis (Segment 1: Milepost $\mathbf{8 3}$ to Milepost 94)


## Segment 2: MP 107 to MP 121

US 550 Safety Analysis (Segment 2: Milepost 107 to Milepost 121)


## Potential future Segment Study

| Segment | Milepost | Crash Frequency |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total (without Animal) | Fixed Object | Animal | Alcohol-Related |
| A | MP 57-67 | 47 | $\underline{23}$ | 13 | 2 |
| B | MP 68-78 | 31 | 11 | 60 | 1 |
| C | MP 91-101 | 58 | 19 | 14 | 5 |

# Mitigation and crash modification factors (CMF) 

| Countermeasure | CMF Std. Error | Applicable Crash Types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weather Station, Pavement Condition Monitors | Related to Variable Speed Limit \& Advanced Warning Signs (see below) |  |
| Advance Warning Signs | Will be updated with current research | All Types (All severities) |
| Changeable Speed Warning Signs | 0.54 | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Types } \\ \text { (All severities) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed Signs | . 87 0.09 | All Types (Injury) |
|  | 0.71 | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Types } \\ \text { (non-Injury) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Increase Pavement Marking Retroreflectivity | Will be updated with current research | All Types (Night) |
| Centerline/Edgeline Rumble Stripes | Will be updated with current research | All types, crossmedian, night crashes |
| Highway/Intersection Lighting | 0.72 0.06 | All Types (night) (Injury) |
|  | 0.83 0.07 | All Types (night) (non-Injury) |
| Median Barrier Treatment - install any type of median barrier (width of median unspecified) | 0.57 0.10 | All Types (cross-median) (fatal) |
|  | 0.70 0.06 | All Types (Injury) |
| Speed Enforcement | Will be updated with current research | All Types |

## Study Conclusion

- While Senate Memorial 36 has suggested that center guardrails along US 550 could be a potential solution, there are other factors to consider and that this treatment isn't necessarily the most reasonable first course of action
Potential effects to cross median traffic which would necessitate providing u-turn and/or turnaround locations
- Other countermeasures, such as ITS, changes to pavement marking, signing, lighting, and increased enforcement may reduce the frequency of all crashes including cross-median without the costs and impacts of installing median barrier.
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