LFC Requester:	
zr e riequestert	

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov

	N I: GENERAL IN analysis is on an origina		<u>DN</u> nt, substitute or a correction of a p	previous bill}	
	Date Prepared:	3/6/25	Check all that apply:		
	Bill Number:	HB 582	Original	X Correction	
			Amendment Substitute		
Sponsor:	Statewide Construction		Agency Name and Code Mu Number:	nicipal League (ML)	
Short			Person Writing	Jacob Rowberry	
Title:	Templates		Phone: 505-992-3538	Email jrowberry@nmml.org	

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring		
		İ		

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		Minimal	Minimal	Minimal	Recurring	Municipalities

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The fiscal impact to municipalities would likely be minimal. Municipalities may incur some limited additional administrative and staff costs to administer the provisions of HB582.

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

House Bill 582 preempts local control by explicitly removing local zoning authority for master plan developments with fewer than 2,500 dwelling units. Additionally, HB582 is overly prescriptive to local governments by mandating the use of standardized procedures and application templates for construction projects statewide developed by the Construction Industries Division of RLD. Further, the provisions within HB582 state a submitted permit shall be automatically approved if a decision is not issued within the stated timeframe, which could lead to inadequate permitting oversight and increase potential safety risks. HB582 is also administratively burdensome to local governments by requiring a notice of permit denial or conditional approval be sent through certified mail, in addition to electronically.

Planning and zoning decisions should remain at the local level given the significant differences in needs and local approaches across the state regarding community construction development and permitting. Local government oversight of zoning and permitting of master plan developments of all size—in alignment with other zoning and permitting processes—is important to properly address and balance local community needs. The proposed standardization also ignores local governments' individual approaches to procedures and applications for construction projects which could have adverse effects.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL AMENDMENTS