

<b>LFC Requester:</b>	<b>Scott Sanchez</b>
-----------------------	----------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

**WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO**

[AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov](http://AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov) and email to [billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov](mailto:billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov)

*(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

**Date Prepared:** 02/13/2025 *Check all that apply:*  
**Bill Number:** HB414 Original  Correction   
 Amendment  Substitute

**Sponsor:** Susan Herrera, Rebecca Dow, Mark Duncan **Agency Name and Code Number:** 770-NMCD  
**Short Title:** County Sheriff Inmate Transpo Reimbursement **Person Writing:** Anisa Griego-Quintana  
**Phone:** 505-479-2296 **Email:** anisa.griego-quinta@cd.nm.gov

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY25	FY26		
0	0	N/A	N/A

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate expenditure decreases)

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY25	FY26	FY27		
0	0	0	N/A	N/A

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

### **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis: House Bill 414 would provide for per diem expenses for sheriffs, deputy sheriffs, and guards, to be paid by counties on behalf of which expenses are incurred, for transporting an inmate, a person charged with the commission of a crime, or a person in custody of a law enforcement agency.

#### **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The proposed bill would not result in any additional cost or operational change for Corrections Department. The department would continue to handle transportation internally for inmates in its custody, including transfer between facilities and medical treatment. In these cases, the department absorbs the associated costs without the need for county involvement.

However, when an inmate is required to appear in a different county for a court hearing, the responsibility for the transportation shifts to the respective county sheriff's office. In these instances, the county would assume responsibility for the transport and any associated per diem expenses, as outlined in the proposed bill.

The bill uses the term "guard" but does not specify whether it refers to county jail employees or correctional officers employed by the Corrections Department. This lack of clarification could create confusion about which personnel are for the per diem payments.

#### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

None for the Corrections Department.

#### **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

None for the Corrections Department.

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

None for the Corrections Department.

#### **CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

None for the Corrections Department.

#### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

None for the Corrections Department.

#### **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

None for the Corrections Department.

**ALTERNATIVES**

None for the Corrections Department.

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

Status quo.

**AMENDMENTS**

None proposed by the Corrections Department.