

<b>LFC Requester:</b>	<b>Jeannae Leger</b>
-----------------------	----------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

**WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO**

**[AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov](http://AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov) and email to [billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov](mailto:billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov)**

*(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

**Date Prepared:** 02/12/2025 *Check all that apply:*  
**Bill Number:** HB 358 Original  Correction   
 Amendment  Substitute

**Sponsor:** Randall T. Pettigrew **Agency Name and Code** 41700  
**Short Title:** INTERIM ADMIN. RULES OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE **Number:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Person Writing** Gerardo Fierro **Phone:** 505-629-3175 **Email** Gerardo.fierro@nmba.nm.gov

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY25	FY26		

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate expenditure decreases)

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY25	FY26	FY27		

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
<b>Total</b>						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

### **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

##### Synopsis:

The bill proposes the establishment of an **Interim Administrative Rules Oversight Committee**.

#### **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

If HB 358 is enacted, the NMBA, like other state agencies, may be subject to additional oversight. This could potentially lead to increased administrative responsibilities, such as preparing reports or attending committee meetings, which might have minor fiscal implications.

#### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

##### **1. Increased Administrative Burden on NMBA**

- Issue: If the NMBA is required to submit rules, policies, or project plans for review by the new oversight committee, it could create delays in project implementation and increase the administrative workload.
- Impact: NMBA staff may have to allocate additional time and resources to compliance, documentation, and committee meetings, which could slow down ongoing infrastructure projects.

##### **2. Possible Delays in Border Development Act Implementation**

- Issue: The Border Development Act aims to facilitate infrastructure and economic development at New Mexico's border. However, if HB 358 subjects new rules and administrative actions under this act to additional review, it may slow down approvals for trade zones, investment incentives, or infrastructure projects.
- Impact: Key initiatives, such as port-of-entry upgrades, public-private partnerships (PPPs), and trade corridors, could face bureaucratic delays.

##### **3. Funding and Budgetary Considerations**

- Issue: If HB 358 results in additional reporting requirements or delays in rule approvals, it may impact NMBA's ability to secure funding for projects through legislative appropriations or federal grants.
- Impact: NMBA could experience delays in funding disbursement, making it harder to execute projects under the Border Development Act.

#### **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

##### **1. Slower Decision-Making and Project Approvals**

- Longer timelines for approving infrastructure projects.
- Loss of competitiveness.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

### **1. Increased Administrative Burden on NMBA Staff**

- Issue: Additional compliance, reporting, and oversight requirements could increase the workload on NMBA's already limited staff.
- Impact:
  - Diverts resources away from project execution and stakeholder engagement.
  - Creates inefficiencies as staff must spend more time on bureaucratic processes.
  - Potential need for additional personnel or consulting support, increasing costs.

## **CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

Mentioned in the above sections

## **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

Mentioned in the above sections

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

## **ALTERNATIVES**

## **WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

NM Border Authority will continue to support the development of infrastructure supporting the Trade Port concept in Southern Dona Ana County, and potentially in Luna and Hidalgo Counties.

## **AMENDMENTS**