LFC Requestor: Self Assigned

# 2025 LEGISLATIVE SESSION AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS

**Section I: General** 

Chamber: House Category: Bill

Number: 267 Type: Introduced

**Date** (of **THIS** analysis): 02/05/2025

**Sponsor(s):** Jennifer Jones and Elizabeth "Liz" Thompson

Short Title: Allow Experienced Physician Assistants to Supervise

Reviewing Agency: Agency 665 - Department of Health

Analysis Contact Person: Arya Lamb

**Phone Number:** 505-470-4141 **e-Mail:** arya.lamb@doh.nm.gov

# **Section II: Fiscal Impact**

#### **APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation Contained		Recurring or	Fund	
FY 25	FY 26	Nonrecurring	Affected	
\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	N/A	N/A	

#### **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or	
FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	Nonrecurring	<b>Fund Affected</b>
\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	N/A	

#### **ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non- recurring	Fund Affected
Total	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	N/A	N/A

#### Section III: Relationship to other legislation

Duplicates: None

Conflicts with: SB 266 which would eliminate the need for a Physician or any Supervisor of

Physicians Assistants

Companion to: None

Relates to: None

Duplicates/Relates to an Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act: None

#### **Section IV: Narrative**

#### 1. BILL SUMMARY

#### a) Synopsis

SB 267 would amend the Physician Assistant Act, adding a definition for "supervising physician assistant", who is licensed to practice medicine, has completed three or more years of clinical practice as a physician assistant, and has received approval to supervise newly licensed physician assistants pursuant to rules promulgated by the board.

Is this an amendment or substitution?  $\square$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Is there an emergency clause?  $\square$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

#### b) Significant Issues

SB 267 would create a new licensure for supervising physician assistants, which would allow for physician assistants to be supervised by either a licensed physician or a supervising physician assistant. The supervising physician assistant would oversee the newly licensed physician assistant while consulting with the licensed physician.

SB 267 would allow physician assistants to act as independent physicians. The American Medical Association in a 2018 policy statement indicated resistance to physician assistants functioning independently (<a href="https://www.ama-assn.org/sites/ama-assn.org/files/corp/media-browser/public/arc-public/state-law-physician-assistant-scope-practice.pdf">https://www.ama-assn.org/sites/ama-assn.org/files/corp/media-browser/public/arc-public/state-law-physician-assistant-scope-practice.pdf</a>). The American Medical Association asserted the importance of ensuring input from both physician assistants and physicians, which is vital to ensuring the quality of health care provided.

States across the country offer various levels of requirements for physician assistants ranging from little to no supervision, to reduced supervision. (https://www.bartonassociates.com/blog/physician-assistant-pa-independent-practice/)

#### 2. PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

	• Does this bill impact the current delivery of NMDOH services or operations?
	□ Yes ⊠ No
	If yes, describe how.
	• Is this proposal related to the NMDOH Strategic Plan? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	☐ Goal 1: We expand equitable access to services for all New Mexicans
	☐ Goal 2: We ensure safety in New Mexico healthcare environments
	☐ Goal 3: We improve health status for all New Mexicans
	☐ <b>Goal 4</b> : We support each other by promoting an environment of mutual respect, trust, open communication, and needed resources for staff to serve New Mexicans and to grow and reach their professional goals
2	FISCAL IMPLICATIONS
٥.	<ul> <li>If there is an appropriation, is it included in the Executive Budget Request?</li> </ul>
	☐ Yes ☐ N/A
	• If there is an appropriation, is it included in the LFC Budget Request?
	☐ Yes ☐ N/A
	<ul> <li>Does this bill have a fiscal impact on NMDOH? □ Yes ⋈ No</li> </ul>
1	ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS
т.	Will this bill have an administrative impact on NMDOH? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No.
5.	DUPLICATION, CONFLICT, COMPANIONSHIP OR RELATIONSHIP
	SB 267 is related to 266 which would remove the supervision requirement and allow physician assistance to act independently.
6.	<b>TECHNICAL ISSUES</b> Are there technical issues with the bill? □ Yes ⋈ No
7.	LEGAL/REGULATORY ISSUES (OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES)
	ullet Will administrative rules need to be updated or new rules written? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No
	• Have there been changes in federal/state/local laws and regulations that make this
	legislation necessary (or unnecessary)?   Yes  No
	<ul> <li>Does this bill conflict with federal grant requirements or associated regulations?</li> <li>☐ Yes ☒ No</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Are there any legal problems or conflicts with existing laws, regulations, policies, or</li> </ul>
	programs? ☐ Yes ⊠ No

Regulations in NMAC 16.10.15 would need to change.

# 8. DISPARITIES ISSUES

None

# 9. HEALTH IMPACT(S)

None

# 10. ALTERNATIVES

None

# 11. WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

If SB 267 does not pass, physician's assistants will continue to be overseen by a supervising physician.

# 12. AMENDMENTS

None