AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

<u>AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov</u> and email to <u>billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov</u>
(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Andicate i	f the analysis is on a	n original bill, amendm	ent. substitute. or a	a correction of a	nrevious hill}
mullium i	i iiic uiiuiysis is vii u	n viiginai viii, amenam	ciii, suosiiiuie, oi i	i correction of a	picrious oili

Date Prepared:	05FEB25	25 Check all that app		ll that apply:	
Bill Number:	HB260	Original	\underline{X}	Correction	
		Amendment	_	Substitute	

Agency Name

Rep. Yanira Gurrola, Rep. and Code

Sponsor: Eleanor Chavez Number: 790 – Department of Public Safety

Short Allowable responses to student Person Writing: Matthew Broom, Deputy Chief

Title: behavior Phone: 5757601485 Email: matthew.broom@dps.nm.gov

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected	
NFI	NFI	NFI	N/A	

(Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

	Estimated Revenue		Recurring	Fund
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected
NFI	NFI	NFI	NFI	N/A

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	NFI	NFI	NFI	NFI	NFI	N/A

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:

Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act: Related to 2023 SB387; SM68

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

This bill proposes changes to the Public-School Code to clearly define restraint that is an allowable response to student behavior and defining and prohibiting types of restraint and seclusion that are not allowed. Adds specificity to the staff training and reporting requirements in existing school safety plans.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

No fiscal implications to DPS.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

This bill concerns schools and changes to the Public School Code establishing policies and procedures for training and use of positive behavior interventions and supports, de-escalation and physical restraint techniques in a School Safety Plan that complies with PED guidelines, and is approved by PED. While the bill provides for methods of restraint and remediation for poor behavior of children to mitigate the imminent danger of serious harm along with training of personnel, reporting of incidents and documentation, those responsibilities do not fall on the scope of responsibilities of DPS. The bill comes under the purview mainly of PED, with some likely effects on CYFD.

The bill states, "If a school summons law enforcement instead of using a physical restraint [or seclusion] technique on a student, the school shall comply with the reporting, documentation and review procedures ..." in Section 1 (I). Section 1 (J) states, "The provisions of this section shall not be interpreted as addressing the conduct of law enforcement or first responders." Both these subparagraphs clearly show it is not law enforcement or DPS who must conform to the requirements to the conduct called for in the bill and it is the school not law enforcement or DPS who is responsible for reporting, documentation and review procedures.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

No performance implications to DPS.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

No administrative implications to DPS.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

No conflict, duplication, companionship, or relationship to DPS.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

No technical issues to DPS.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

No other substantive issues to DPS.

ALTERNATIVES

Not applicable as there is no impact on DPS.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status quo will remain.

AMENDMENTS

None at this time.