LFC Requester:	Rachel Mercer-Garcia

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

<u>AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov</u> and email to <u>billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov</u>
(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

	I: GENERAL IN analysis is on an original		<u>DN</u> nt, substitute or a correction	of a previous bill}	
	Date Prepared	2/5/25 Check all that apply:			
	Bill Number:	HB0246		x_{-} Corre	
			Amendr	nent Subs	titute
			Agency Name and Code	Dept. of Wor	kforce Solutions-631
Sponsor: Patricia Roybal Caballero		Number:			
			Person Writing Analysis:	Sarita Na	air
Short				Email	
Title:	Increase Minima	ım Wage	Phone: 505-263-	-3187 :	Evan.Sanchez@dws.nm.gov

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund	
FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected	
0	0			

⁽Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY26	FY27	FY28	or Nonrecurring	Affected
0	0	0		

⁽Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY26	FY27	FY28	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	0	0	0	0		

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

<u>Synopsis</u>: House Bill 246 (HB 246) proposes significant changes to the state's Minimum Wage Act (MWA), including:

- 1. **Minimum Wage Increase** Beginning January 1, 2026, the minimum wage for employees in New Mexico would be set at \$17.00 per hour.
- 2. Elimination of Tipped Minimum Wage and Tip Credits The prior system allowing lower minimum wages for tipped employees would be eliminated. Employers would instead pay tipped employees at least the new minimum wage, with no reliance on tip credits.
- 3. **Annual Inflation Adjustments** Section 2(C) of HB 246 tasks the Workforce Solutions Department (DWS) with calculating and publishing the annual inflation-adjusted minimum wage. Beginning in 2027, DWS would determine the new minimum wage each year using the Consumer Price Index and notify employers by November 1 of the updated wage rate for the following year. Notably, the bill specifies that the wage cannot be adjusted downward even if the cost of living decreases.
- 4. **Repeal of Certain Exemptions** The bill would remove several exemptions from the definition of "employee," including those related to federal and state government employees; employees of educational, charitable, religious or nonprofit organizations; registered apprentices; seasonal employees; and certain agricultural workers.
- 5. Overtime Pay The bill would maintain existing overtime provisions, requiring one and one-half times an employee's regular hourly rate for work exceeding 40 hours per week.
- **6. Repeal of Section 50-4-23 NMSA 1978 -** This would eliminate DWS's authority to issue special exemption certificates permitting employers to pay disabled workers less than the minimum wage, subject to certain program requirements.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

If approved, there will be minimum wage rate increases at a yearly interval. There are minimal operational costs internal to Labor Relations focusing on printed materials with the updated pay rate.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

It is estimated that there are about 32,000 workers in New Mexico receiving the minimum wage of \$12.00 an hour. In the time allotted for analysis, DWS was not able to estimate the number of individuals receiving the tipped minimum wage, the local minimum wage, or a wage subject to

the exemptions that this bill would eliminate.

Removal of the exemption for federal employees presents legal and jurisdictional concerns. Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution, states generally cannot impose wage mandates on federal entities. This raises the question of whether New Mexico courts could enforce the Minimum Wage Act against federal employers, particularly since state district courts, where these cases are typically filed, may lack jurisdiction over federal agencies. The enforceability of this provision is highly questionable and could be subject to legal challenge.

Removal of the exemption for employees of the state and its political subdivisions may increase litigation, judgments and settlements involving state employers. Currently, state employers are covered by the minimum-wage provisions of the MWA (Section 50-4-22(A)) but not any other of its provisions, such as the overtime-pay and liquidated damages provisions. Liquidated damages under the MWA are substantial; essentially, these damages can be two times the amount of unpaid or back wages that are owed. If passed, HB 246 would subject state employers to these provisions, potentially expanding its exposure to lawsuits and financial risks. The Risk Management Division of the General Services Department could probably provide estimates of the financial impact to taxpayers if the bill pass.

Section 50-4-29 of the Minimum Wage Act states that any existing minimum wage, maximum hour, or other working condition standards that are more favorable to employees than those under the Act shall remain in full force and effect. The preemption language in Section 50-4-20 might also need to be expanded to align with HB 246's sweeping structural changes.

Programs that permit payment of sub-minimum wages to individuals with disabilities, such as those authorized under Section 14(c) of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) (29 U.S.C. § 214(c)), were originally designed to facilitate employment opportunities for disabled workers by allowing employers to pay wages commensurate with an individual's productivity. The intent was to encourage the hiring of individuals whose disabilities might otherwise make employers hesitant due to perceived lower productivity. However, over time, significant concerns have emerged regarding the implementation and impact of these programs. Critics argue that they often fail to provide the intended rehabilitative benefits and can lead to exploitation. *See* U.S. Dept. of Labor: "US Department of Labor Announces Proposed Rule to Phase Out Certificates Allowing Payment of Less Than Minimum Wage to Workers With Disabilities," (Dec. 3, 2024), available at https://www.dol.gov/newsroom/releases/whd/whd20241203?utm_source=chatgpt.com (last viewed Feb. 5, 2025).

In December 2024, the U.S. Department of Labor proposed a rule to phase out the issuance of new 14(c) certificates and establish a three-year period to transition existing certificate holders to pay at least the federal minimum wage. *Id.* Thus, it appears HB 246's intent to eliminate exemption certificates at the state level may be consistent with similar proposals at the federal level.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Section 2(C) of HB 246 tasks DWS with calculating and publishing the annual inflation-adjusted minimum wage. Beginning in 2027, DWS must determine the new minimum wage each year using the Consumer Price Index and notify employers by November 1 of the updated wage rate for the following year.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The Labor Relations Division Wage & Hour Bureau may see increased claims activity when the Minimum Wage Act is applicable to state employees to the extent state agencies fail to comply, resulting potentially with investigations of wage claims against other state agencies. Exemption requests will no longer be reviewed and approved for nonprofit, seasonal laborers, and religious organizations. In addition, changes of this significance will likely require strong outreach and education for employers and workers. It is not clear whether DWS would be expected to do that work; the Department is not funded to do that work.

Enforcement resources to support this legislation in Labor Relations include updating publication materials, online trainings, and online language relating to the proposed \$17 / hour minimum wage.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Any increase in the minimum wage would have a ripple effect on statutes that relate to the minimum wage. For example, an increase in the minimum wage will increase the taxable wage base, thus increasing the amount that employers pay in unemployment insurance taxes. Because wages are higher, unemployment benefits will also increase. If Paid Family & Medical Leave were to pass in the form currently provided in HB 11, the minimum benefit would also increase. Other statutes may have a similar relationship.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Currently, twelve states adjust their minimum wage annually. These are marked with an asterisk below. Florida, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, Ohio, Oregon, South Dakota, and Washington State.

The minimum wages of other states in the country are shown below. Source: <u>Minimum Wage Rates</u> by State 2025; https://www.minimum-wage.org/wage-by-state

State Name	Minimum Wage Rate per hour
Alabama	\$7.25
Federal	\$7.25
Georgia	\$7.25
Idaho	\$7.25
Indiana	\$7.25
Iowa	\$7.25
Kansas	\$7.25
Kentucky	\$7.25
Louisiana	\$7.25
Mississippi	\$7.25
New Hampshire	\$7.25
North Carolina	\$7.25
North Dakota	\$7.25
Oklahoma	\$7.25
Pennsylvania	\$7.25
South Carolina	\$7.25

Tennessee	\$7.25
Texas	\$7.25
Utah Wisconsin	\$7.25
	\$7.25
Wyoming	\$7.25
West Virginia	\$8.75
Puerto Rico	\$9.50
Montana*	\$10.30
Michigan	\$10.33
Ohio*	\$10.45
Minnesota	\$10.85
Arkansas	\$11.00
South Dakota*	\$11.20
Alaska	\$11.73
Nebraska	\$12.00
Nevada*	\$12.00
New Mexico current	\$12.00
Virginia	\$12.00
Missouri*	\$12.30
Florida*	\$13.00
Delaware*	\$13.25
Vermont	\$13.67
Hawaii	\$14.00
Illinois	\$14.00
Rhode Island	\$14.00
Maine	\$14.15
Oregon*	\$14.20
Arizona*	\$14.35
Colorado*	\$14.42
Maryland	\$15.00
Massachusetts	\$15.00
New York	\$15.00
New Jersey*	\$15.13
Connecticut	\$15.69
California	\$16.00
Washington*	\$16.28
District of Columbia	\$17.00
New Mexico proposed by HB 246*	\$17.00

ALTERNATIVES

DWS is not aware of whether other minimum wage legislation has been or will be introduced.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Minimum wage laws will remain as they are now.

AMENDMENTS