LFC Requester:	Felix Chavez
Li C ixcquestei.	TCIIA CHAVEZ

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION

SECTION Indicate if an		an original bill, amendmen	t, substitute or a correction of	a previous bill,	}		
Date Prepared: 02/04/2:		02/04/25	Check all that apply:				
Bill Number: HB 2		HB 244	Ori	ginal X	Co	orrection	
			Amend	ment	Sı	ubstitute	
Rep. Mark Dunca Rep. D. Wonda J Rep. Charlotte Li Sponsor: Rep. Andrea Ree		Wonda Johnson narlotte Little	Agency Name and Code Number:				
			Person Writing Analysis:	ASG Sere	ASG Serena Wheaton		
Short Magistrate Judge Minimum Title: Age		Phone: 505-537-7676					
Title:	Age		_ Phone:	505-537-	7676		
			_	505-537-′ legisfir@s		ov	
	II: FISC	CAL IMPACT APPROPRIA	Email: TION (dollars in thou	legisfir@			
	II: FISC	CAL IMPACT APPROPRIA Appropriation	Email: TION (dollars in thou	legisfir@	nmag.gc	Fund	
	II: FISC	CAL IMPACT APPROPRIA	Email: TION (dollars in thou	legisfir@	nmag.gc		
SECTION	II: FISC	CAL IMPACT APPROPRIA Appropriation	Email: TION (dollars in thou	legisfir@	nmag.gc	Fund	
ECTION	II: FISC	CAL IMPACT APPROPRIA Appropriation FY expenditure decreases)	Email: TION (dollars in thou	legisfir@	nmag.gc	Fund	
SECTION	II: FISC	CAL IMPACT APPROPRIA Appropriation FY expenditure decreases)	TION (dollars in thousand	legisfir@	nmag.go	Fund	

(Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurri ng	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

BILL SUMMARY

<u>Synopsis</u>: HB 244 would add a new qualifier to NMSA 1978, Section 35-2-1 (2015), requiring a magistrate judge to be at least twenty-eight years of age at the time of the election to the position. The language otherwise tracks the current language of the statutory section.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Section 35-2-1(A) describes two ways to obtain the position of magistrate, either by election or appointment. *Id.* HB 244 does not appear to tie the new age limit requirement to magistrate judges at time of appointment, only at time of election.

HB 244 does not address whether this new age qualification would apply to presently sitting magistrate judges.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

N/A

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

N/A

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

N/A

TECHNICAL ISSUES

N	/	Δ
1 1	1.	$\overline{}$

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

N/A

ALTERNATIVES

N/A

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status quo.

AMENDMENTS