LFC Requester: Rachel Mercer-Garcia	
-------------------------------------	--

# AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2025 REGULAR SESSION

# WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO:

# Analysis.nmlegis.gov

{Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF}

# **SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Ch Original Correction	x Amendment Substitute	Date 1-31-2025 Bill No: HB 230				
Sponsor:	Reena Szczepanski & Elizabeth Thomson	Agency Name and Code Number:		Regulatior artment	n and Licensing	
Short Title:	Cannabis Testing Certain Employees  II: FISCAL IMPACT	Person Writing Phone: 505-470-	8003	Eden Sayers  Email Eden.sayers@rld.nm.go		V

# APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropri	ation	Recurring	Fund	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

# **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund			
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

#### **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY** House Bill 230 (HB230)

Synopsis: HB230 would prohibit random drug testing for cannabis but would allow testing when there is reasonable suspicion of impairment at work or after certain accidents. Employers may still enforce policies against on-the-job impairment, but positive tests for cannabis must be reviewed by a medical review officer to determine whether there is a legitimate medical explanation. Defining cannabis impairment is the responsibility of the employer. The Department of Health and Workforce Solutions Department must establish guidelines for determining impairment based on research-backed indicators, ensuring fair application of workplace cannabis policies; however, an employee shall not be considered impaired solely because of the presence of metabolites or components of cannabis.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

• No fiscal impact is anticipated for the Regulation and Licensing Department (RLD) if the provisions of HB230 were enacted into law.

#### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

The primary responsibility for implementation falls on the Department of Health, which must assist the Workforce Solutions Department in developing cannabis impairment guidelines. These guidelines must be based on the most reliable research - or evidence-based indicators, including the evaluation of physical symptoms, psychomotor function, and cognitive performance. While HB230 primarily affects employment policies and protections, RLD does not foresee any administrative, operational, or fiscal effects on its divisions.

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

**TECHNICAL ISSUES** 

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

**ALTERNATIVES** 

# WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

The current law under Section 26-2B-9 NMSA 1978, offers some employment protections for medical cannabis patients, however, it does not explicitly prohibit employers from conducting random drug tests for cannabis, if the bill is not enacted employers will continue to be able to conduct random drug tests for cannabis.

## **AMENDMENTS**