LFC Requester: Sam Lesemann
-----------------------------

## **AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

<u>AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov</u> and email to <u>billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov</u>

(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

#### **SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION** {Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill} **Date Prepared**: 2/3/2025 *Check all that apply:* **Bill Number:** HB 220 Original \_\_ Correction \_\_ Amendment \_\_ Substitute \_\_ **Agency Name** NM Department of Homeland and Code Security and Emergency Tara L. Lujan, Joseph L. **Sponsor:** Sanchez Number: Management-79500 **Ignition-Resistant Construction Person Writing** Matthew Stackpole **Short** Phone: 505-699-5807 Email Matthew.stackpole@dhsem.nm.go Title:

## **SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

### **APPROPRIATION** (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring		
\$0	\$0	NA	NA	

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate expenditure decreases)

## **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

	Estimated Revenue			Fund
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected
\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

#### **ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	NA	NA

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

<u>Synopsis:</u> HB 220 introduces a new section to Chapter 47, Article 1 NMSA 1978 to establish Unreasonable Restrictions on Ignition Resistance and Conditions posing fire hazards. This bill outlines the restrictions within a deed, contract, security instrument, transfer, or sale of any interest in real property that prohibits unreasonable ignition relating to the construction landscape and the reduction of fire hazards.

This bill further introduces a new Section such as establishing Restrictions on Ignition-Resistant Construction or Landscaping prohibited. The provision is set to read the restrictions on associations to make it illegal to impose rules that prohibit or unreasonably restrict the installation or maintenance of ignition-resistant construction while recommending landscaping projects or construction by limiting the use of highly flammable materials. This bill sets requirements for the design, placement, material, and appearance of fire resistance construction or landscaping to be processed within 60 days for approval. If the association does not decide within 60 days, the applications must be automatically approved.

HB 220 clarifies that this new section does not alter existing bona fide safety requirements that are consistent with applicable building codes, and nationally recognized safety standards by the construction industry division of regulation and licensing department. Overall, HB 220 focuses on fire mitigation specifically preventing conditions or restrictions that limit the use of fire-resistant building materials, and landscaping that can help reduce the risk of fire hazards.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

DHSEM is unaware of the fiscal implications.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

DHSEM is not aware of any significant issues with HB 220.

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

It is unclear what enforcement provisions may be necessary to ensure compliance with the new protections and restrictions.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

DHSEM is not aware of any significant issues with HB 220.

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

HB 220 may impact future training and code regulations in the future.

## **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

NA

## OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

NA

## **ALTERNATIVES**

NA

# WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

If HB 220 is not enacted communities and homeowners could face higher economic losses in high-risk fire zones.

# **AMENDMENTS**